

listed in Section D.1.b, potential improvements for pipelining consideration include (but are not limited to):

- (a) Sandy Lane 2-lane Extension, from the south property line to the north property line (Williams Road) and from Williams Road to Corkscrew Road. Consistent with the County's long-range plan for Sandy Lane as a 2-lane collector and the County's standards for collector roads, no more than 100 feet of right-of-way and 2 lanes of construction will be eligible for credits against the proportionate share obligation. The reasonable cost of providing the railroad crossing between Williams Road and Corkscrew Road will be eligible for credits against the project's proportionate share obligation. If the Developer chooses to build more than 2 lanes, it will be at the Developer's sole expense.
- (b) Interim improvements not requiring right-of-way at the Corkscrew Road/I-75 interchange (subject to FDOT approval).

The estimated costs of any improvements made by the Developer (including design, right-of-way acquisition, drainage, permitting, water retention, construction, and the like) must be documented and submitted to the County for review and approval. The County reserves the right to obtain its own estimates for comparison purposes. Credit against the proportionate share obligation will be based on the final actual costs of the agreed upon improvements. Any right-of-way granted to the County will be valued as of the day prior to the DRI and zoning approval and subject to the compliance with applicable LDC provisions. Credit for the construction costs will be subject to the provisions of the County Land Development Code and standard practice related to project timing. The improvements must be built to applicable County or State standards and accepted for maintenance in accordance with the requirements of the responsible jurisdiction.

d. *Build out Extension*

(1) Requirement for Reanalysis

The original DRI Development Order approval indicated that extension of the build out date beyond 2007 may alter the project's impact to the area road network. Under the Second

DRI Development Order amendment, the Developer was obligated to file a complete traffic re-analysis in order to achieve an extension of the build out date beyond December 2007. However, a three-year statutory extension of the build out date was granted by 2007 legislation; and a two-year statutory extension of the build out date was granted by 2009 legislation.

As a result of HB 7207, Executive Order Numbers 11-128 (extended by 11-172 and 11-202), 12-140 (extended by 12-192 and 12-217) and 12-199, and §252.363, Florida Statutes, the DRI build out date was automatically extended to April 7, 2019. However, concurrency vesting was not automatically extended. The traffic analysis submitted by the Developer demonstrated that the DRI project will not significantly or adversely impact any of the relevant road segments up to December 31, 2017. A subsequent analysis included in the May 10, 2013 NOPC resulted in an extension of concurrency vesting until December 31, 2019. Analyses performed for subsequent seventh and eighth amendments to the DRI resulted in an extension of concurrency vesting to December 31, 2024. The extension of the build out date after December 31, 2024 will, therefore, require an additional traffic assessment to the Village of Estero for review and approval.

The assessment must include, but is not limited to, identification of the adjusted phasing, the level of development anticipated for the revised phasing, estimated traffic impacts, needed improvements, and the project's proportionate share of those improvements.

The assessment must include a cumulative analysis of the project's traffic impacts. The assessment must also identify mitigation for significantly and adversely impacted road segments by cumulative project traffic at the extended build out year in accordance with the Transportation Uniform Standard Rule in the Florida Administrative Code. Prior to conducting a reassessment analysis, the Developer must attend a transportation methodology meeting with the Village of Estero, and other review agencies as necessary, to establish the appropriate methodology.

The traffic assessment will be prepared by the Developer following generally acceptable transportation planning procedures consistent with the standards in effect at the time of reanalysis. Payment of additional mitigation, if any,

resulting from the traffic assessment must be specified in an amended development order. The development order must be amended via a Notice of Proposed Change to reflect the revised phasing and additional mitigation.

The Village of Estero will provide credit against the recalculated proportionate share for all mitigation paid through the date of the new traffic assessment. Proportionate share payments previously made by the Developer will be adjusted to then current year dollars. This will be accomplished by increasing the principal amount paid by an amount equal to the increase as determined in the State Highway Bid Index for the State of Florida, published in the Engineering News Record, using an average of the last four quarterly factors. This increase will be expressed as a percentage and will be measured from the index published for the fourth quarter of 2001 to the index published in the then latest available edition.

Under no circumstances will reimbursement be granted for any portion of a payment made in exchange for concurrency vesting, regardless of the outcome of a reanalysis.

(2) Alternative for Reanalysis

(a) *Extension of Build out.*¹²

If all or a part of the Regional Retail Center has received building permits prior to December 31, 2006, the Developer may choose to pay the traffic mitigation for some or all of the balance of the development

¹² The developer paid the lump sums required to exercise Mitigation Option 2 in December 2004 and December 2005. The second DRI Development Order Amendment adopted August 1, 2006 served to extend the build out date to December 31, 2007. In accord with the terms of the original DRI Development Order approval, the one-year extension to 2007 was the maximum extension that could be approved without a complete traffic reanalysis. Adoption of HB7203 resulted in a three-year statutory extension of the DRI build out date to December 31, 2010. The Developer submitted an abridged traffic analysis demonstrating that the concurrent status of the project could also be extended to December 31, 2010 because no additional roadways would be significantly or adversely impacted by the statutory extension of the build out date.

A second statutory extension of the build out date was granted to 2012 under SB 360 as adopted June 1, 2009. This second extension was not based upon additional traffic analysis due to the Board adoption of Resolution 09-06-22. Therefore, impacts from 2010 forward must be addressed in a subsequent extension of the build out beyond 2012.

A third statutory extension of the build out date was granted under HB 7207 and Executive Orders 11-128 and 12-140. With this third extension the Developer submitted a traffic analysis for a new build out scenario demonstrating that the DRI project will not significantly or adversely impact any of the relevant road segments. Based upon this analysis, concurrency vesting was extended to December 31, 2017.

Concurrency vesting was subsequently extended to December 31, 2024 pursuant to subsequent seventh and eighth amendments.

through build out in a lump sum at the time the extension application is approved. Full payment of the required mitigation pursuant to Mitigation Option 2 constitutes an election under this section. This section is not intended to supersede the standard submittal requirements for a typical Notice of Proposed Change under state law.

(b) *NOPC filed to extend build out beyond 2012.*

If the entirety of the Coconut Point DRI is not built out by September 4, 2028, the NOPC requesting a build out date extension must be accompanied by a complete cumulative traffic reanalysis, as contemplated by the June 15, 2005 RPC recommendation. The traffic impact analysis must date back to 2010 and address all relevant impacts moving forward from December 31, 2010.

3. **Comprehensive Plan Mitigation**

An amendment to the Future Land Use Map, to change 435 acres from “Rural” to “Urban Community” was necessary to accommodate the approval of this DRI. To support the Map amendment, an analysis different from the DRI Transportation Analysis was necessary. This Comprehensive Plan analysis required review of the effects of the proposed DRI project in the year 2020 on the planned, financially feasible roadway network. The result of this analysis indicated that four road segments, beyond those planned for improvement as part of the 2020 financially feasible roadways network plan, will fail with the addition of the Coconut Point (aka Simon Suncoast) project. The failure for three of the identified segments will likely be addressed through other means, but the segment of US 41 from Koreshan Boulevard to Alico Road is projected to fail even after the six-lane improvement identified in paragraph D.1.b.

The comprehensive plan amendment transmittal package approved by the Board of County Commissioners on December 13, 2001, indicated that appropriate traffic impact mitigation must be provided at the time of rezoning or DRI development approval.

The costs for needed improvements beyond those planned in the 2020 Financially Feasible Plan are solely the responsibility of the Developer, and are treated much as a proportionate share obligation. In this case, the Developer has estimated that the provision of dual left turn lanes at a number of key intersections along the impacted segment of US 41 will improve the capacity enough to allow satisfactory operation. The Developer estimated that the cost of providing these turn lanes would be roughly \$692,000, not including the costs of maintenance of traffic, mobilization and permitting. The Developer’s proportionate share of the cost of the turn lanes is \$170,000. This figure has been added to the project’s DRI proportionate share, as noted above.

4. Access and Site Related Improvements

In addition to the proportionate share obligation set forth above, the Developer is responsible for its share of the following site-related roadway and intersection improvements: all internal roadways, all intersection improvements, including signalization, turn lanes, deceleration lanes, and other improvements deemed necessary by the County Engineer and consistent with the Village of Estero Land Development Code for the Project's access points onto U.S. 41, Coconut Road, and Williams Road. The improvements include the installation of a signal coordination system on U.S. 41 from Pelican Colony Boulevard to Williams Road. During the local development order review process, site-related improvements must be evaluated based on weekday, PM peak hour conditions. Saturday mid-day conditions must be considered in the design of turn lanes due to the retail component of the DRI. Site-related improvements are not eligible for credit against impact fees and may not be used to offset the proportionate share obligation. Project accesses onto US 41 are subject to obtaining a connection permit from FDOT.

5. Committed Improvements^{13 14}

<u>Roadways</u>	<u>Roadway Improvements</u>	<u>Start Year</u>	<u>Improvement</u>
Alico Road			
– US 41 to Seminole Gulf Railway		02	4 Lanes
– Seminole Gulf Railway to I-75 West Ramps		02	6 Lanes
Ben Hill Griffin Parkway/Treeline Avenue			
– Alico Road to Daniels Parkway		02	4 Lane Ext.
Bonita Beach Road			
- Imperial Street to I-75		03	6 Lanes

¹³ As of the date the Third DRI DO was adopted, many of the improvements identified as committed are complete. The completed improvements include Alico Road, Ben Hill Griffin/Treeline, Bonita Beach Road, Livingston/Imperial, Three Oaks from Coconut Road to Corkscrew Road, US 41 and Williams Road. Three Oaks from Corkscrew Road to Alico Road is currently under construction. Construction of Three Oaks Parkway from Alico to Daniels Parkway is delayed; and the Metro Parkway project is currently not funded.

¹⁴ As of the date the Fifth DRI DO was adopted the following improvements were under construction: Metro Parkway Extension and the widening of a portion of I-75 to six lanes; and the segment of Three Oaks from Alico to Corkscrew is complete.

Livingston/Imperial Connection		
– Immokalee Road to Bonita Beach Road	U/C	2 Lane Ext.
Metro Parkway		
– U.S. 41/Alico Road to Ben Pratt/Six Mile Cypress Pkwy (including interchange) 04		6 Lane Ext.
Three Oaks Parkway		
– S. of Coconut Road to Williams Road	U/C	4 Lane Ext.
– Williams Road to Corkscrew Road	U/C	4 Lane Ext.
– Corkscrew Road to Alico Road	03	4 Lanes
– Alico Road to Daniels Parkway	03	4 Lane Ext.
US 41		
– Old 41 (Collier County) to N. of Bonita Beach Road	03	6 Lanes
- San Carlos Boulevard to Alico Road	U/C	6 Lanes
Williams Road		
– River Ranch Road to Three Oaks Parkway	02	2 Lane Ext.

The Regional Retail Center has the potential to create a temporary burden on the transportation network. The following Staging Schedule is an effort to minimize the temporary transportation burden while providing the Developer with the ability to obtain building permits for vertical construction of retail uses. Issuance of any building permit for vertical construction will require prior compliance with the mitigation options set forth in condition D.2. The "Maximum Square Footage" column identifies the maximum gross retail square footage for which building permits allowing vertical construction may be issued prior to the corresponding date, unless the improvements identified "to Avoid Interim Level of Service Problem" are under construction on or before the identified date. If all required interim improvements are completed or under construction on or before the identified date, then building permits for the maximum amount of retail square footage as identified in conjunction with the corresponding date may be issued.

<u>Date</u>	<u>Maximum Square Footage</u>	<u>Needed Improvements to Avoid Interim Level of Service Problem</u>	
		<u>Route</u>	<u>Limit</u>
Adoption of DRI DO AND	400,000	Not Applicable	Not Applicable

Compliance
with Cond. D.2

July 1, 2004	800,000	U. S. 41 - 6 Lane	Collier County line to Bonita Beach Road
July 1, 2005	1,200,000	Three Oaks Ext. 4L OR Livingston Rd./ Imperial St. 4 Lane	Terry St. to Coconut Rd. Immokalee Rd. to E.Terry St.
July 1, 2006	1,800,000	US 41-6Lane AND Three Oaks Ext. 4 Lane AND Old 41 - 4 lane AND Metro Pkwy. Ext.- 6 Lane AND Three Oaks Ext- 4 Lane or Treeline Ext.-4L	Corkscrew Rd. to San Carlos Terry St. to Coconut Rd. Rosemary dr. to US 41 Alico Rd. to ben C Pratt/ Six Mile Cypress Pkwy Alico Rd. to Daniels Pkw Alico Rd. to Daniels Pkw.

6. **Annual Transportation Monitoring Program**

a. *Design of Monitoring Program*

The transportation monitoring program will be designed in cooperation with the Village of Estero, Lee County Department of Transportation, the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT), the Southwest Florida Regional Planning Council (SWFRPC), and the Florida Department of Community Affairs (FDCA) prior to submittal of the first report. The methodology of the annual transportation monitoring report may be revised if agreed upon by all parties.

b. *Submittal of Monitoring Report*

The Developer must submit an annual transportation monitoring report to the following entities for review and approval: Village of Estero, Lee County Department of Transportation, FDOT, FDCA, and SWFRPC. The first monitoring report will be submitted one year after the effective date of the DRI Development Order.¹⁵ The Developer must provide written notice to the above review agencies if the Developer concludes that a traffic monitoring report is not required because no traffic impacts have been created. Once the transportation monitoring report has been submitted, a report must be submitted annually thereafter until Project build out, whether actual or declared.

c. *Minimum Requirements for Report Contents*

The monitoring report will measure the Project's actual external roadway impacts and the level of service conditions on the impacted roads and intersections, and determine the timing for needed improvements. The traffic monitoring report must also contain the following information:

- (1) P.M. peak Signalization⁽²⁾⁽³⁾ hour traffic counts with turning movements at the Project's access points onto U.S. 41, Coconut Road, Williams Road, Pelican Colony Boulevard and Sandy Lane, and on the external road segments and intersections identified in Paragraph D.1.b. (Traffic counts/volumes may be obtained from original traffic counts, public agency reports, other monitoring reports, and other available data.)
- (2) A comparison of field measured external Project traffic volumes to the 5,909 total P.M. Peak hour external (including 757 pass-by and 1,032 inter-zonal trip ends) project trip generation from all driveways onto U.S. 41, Coconut Road, Williams Road, Pelican Colony Boulevard and Sandy Lane assumed in the DRI analysis. If an interconnection is provided to The Brooks parcel at the southeast corner of U.S. 41 and Coconut Road, a methodology must be developed to identify pass-through trips generated by The Brooks parcel.
- (3) Estimated existing levels of service and needed improvements for the roads and intersections specified in Paragraph D.1.b. above.

¹⁵ The first monitoring report was submitted in January 2004.

- (4) Estimated future levels of service and needed improvements for the roads and intersections specified in Paragraph D.1.b. above, based on a one-year projection of future volumes. A summary of the status of road improvements assumed to be committed by Village of Estero, City of Bonita Springs, Collier County, Lee County and FDOT.

d. *Implications*¹⁶

- (1) If the transportation monitoring report reveals that the Project trip generation exceeds the original assumptions contained herein, then the statutory provisions regarding substantial deviations will govern.
- (2) Changes to development parameters or build out may require the Developer to rebut the statutory presumption of substantial deviation. In some instances, the evidence necessary to rebut the presumption may involve a comparison of Project trip distribution and assignment.

7. **Pedestrian/Bicycle and Transit Facilities**

The Developer will provide for pedestrian and bicycle facilities and bus stop locations in accordance with the map attached as Exhibit F.

E. VEGETATION AND WILDLIFE/WETLANDS

1. Impacts to the habitat value of the site (i.e. habitat utilized by dispersing juveniles and possible habitat available to adults occupying the Corkscrew area) must be considered during the permitting review process with the SFWMD and the Department of Army Corps of Engineers (ACOE). This impact must be assessed in terms of the type and function of the forested habitat on site, and the site's contribution as a connection between preserve lands to support wide-ranging and wetland dependent species. The Developer will coordinate with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission (FFWCC) to address the impacts the proposed project may have on habitat utilized by wide-ranging listed species including the Florida Panther and Florida Black Bear.

2. The lake designs must include draw down pool features in littoral shelf slopes to favor use by woodstork and other wading birds.

¹⁶ The statutory two-year extension granted under SB 360 did not serve to suspend the Developer's obligation to address impacts identified under this subsection in the event the monitoring report indicates a substantial deviation has occurred.

3. The Developer must follow the Standard U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Protection Measures for the Eastern Indigo Snake; and an Eastern Indigo Snake Protection Plan to be submitted for review and approval by the FFWCC as a condition of local development order approval.

4. The Developer must provide an on-site preserve management plan for review and approval by the FFWCC as a condition of local development order approval.

5. The 482± acre site originally consisted of 36.23± acres of SFWMD jurisdictional wetlands. The Developer is committed to conserving 22.15 acres of jurisdictional wetlands and 4.81 acres of jurisdictional surface waters. An estimated 9.27 acres of jurisdictional wetlands are proposed to be impacted with an additional 14.56 acres of non-jurisdictional surface waters to be filled (borrow lakes). 3.76 acres of the proposed wetland impacts have been previously permitted by the SFWMD and the Army Corp of Engineers (ACOE) under the Sweetwater MPD/Brooks project (e.g., eradication of exotic vegetation and wetland hydro-period enhancement).

6. Prior to impacting the additional 5.51 acres of jurisdictional wetlands, the Developer must modify existing SFWMD and ACOE permits and provide additional mitigation.

7. Wetlands and surface waters remaining on the project site must be protected during construction through the implementation of temporary erosion and sedimentation control procedures.

8. Littoral plantings will be incorporated into the final design of the proposed stormwater management ponds. Plantings of desirable wetland herbaceous plants, to include species such as pickerelweed, maiden cane, and blue flag iris, cypress and black gum.

9. The existing flow-way is part of the Halfway Creek Watershed and headwaters. The 32.7 acre flow-way must be preserved and enhanced. An enhancement plan must be submitted as part of the local development order approval process. This plan must include a restoration planting plan for the 8.49± acres melaleuca dominated slash pine-cypress mixed wetland forest and the 6.84± acre area located in the southeast branch of the flow-way that was previously cleared/disturbed. The restoration planting plan, which is outside of the mitigation requirements under the existing permits, can be utilized as compensatory mitigation for additional wetland impacts during subsequent permitting review processes with the state and federal regulatory agencies.

F. HURRICANE PREPAREDNESS

1. The Developer has stated an intention to utilize various community buildings, which are to be built in several locations throughout the development, as onsite emergency shelters for the project's residents. Based on the estimate of needed shelter

space prepared by the staff of the Southwest Florida Regional Planning Council, the total shelter space provided by the Developer within Coconut Point DRI will be 10,480 square feet.

2. Construction of the buildings to serve, as onsite shelters must be started no later than the issuance of the 100th residential unit certificate of occupancy within each separate community in the overall development. All buildings to be utilized, as shelters must meet the following criteria:

- a. elevated above the Category 3 storm surge level;
- b. constructed in accordance with the requirements in Rule 9J-2.0257(6)(e), FAC, to withstand winds of at least one hundred twenty (120) miles per hour;
- c. all windows in the building are shuttered;
- d. equipped with an emergency power generator with adequate capacity to handle the following:
 - (1) ventilation fans;
 - (2) emergency lighting;
 - (3) life safety equipment (i.e., intercom, fire and smoke alarms); and
 - (4) refrigeration and cooking equipment.
- e. have an auxiliary potable water supply.

3. As an alternative to providing all or part of the shelter space in on-site buildings, the Developer may limit the onsite shelter demand of the project by elevating all or portion of the residential units above 15.9 to 16.8 feet NGVD, if the units are located in these elevation ranges, which is the maximum predicted Category 3 storm surge flooding level. The amount of shelter space to be constructed or shelter impact fees to be paid will be determined by the Lee County Office of Emergency Management.

4. All deeds to property located within the Coconut Point DRI must include or be accompanied by a disclosure statement in the form of a covenant stating the property is located in a hurricane vulnerability zone and that the hurricane evacuation clearance time for Lee County or the Southwest Florida Region is high and hurricane shelter spaces are limited.

5. The Developer is also proposing to develop 370 hotel or motel rooms, within the Coconut Point DRI. Prior to issuance of a local development order for the hotel/motel, the hotel/motel Developer must contact Lee County Emergency Management with

respect to establishing written hurricane preparation and evacuation/sheltering procedures. These procedures must be reduced to a written plan, prepared by the hotel/motel Developer, and approved by Lee County Emergency Management prior to occupancy of the hotel/motel.

6. Mitigation for hurricane evacuation route impacts will be accomplished through implementation of one of the following provisions. The mitigation option to be used must be identified by the Developer as part of the local development order process.

- a. Establish and maintain a public information program within the proposed homeowners associations for the purpose of educating the development's residents regarding the potential hurricane threat; the need for timely evacuation in the event of an impending hurricane; the availability and location of hurricane shelters (specifically including the onsite shelters); and the identification of steps to minimize property damage and protect human life.

In order to use the above mitigation option, the Developer must provide a continuing hurricane awareness program and a hurricane evacuation plan. The hurricane evacuation plan must address and include, at a minimum, the following items: operational procedures for the warning and notification of all residents and visitors prior to and during a hurricane watch and warning period; a public awareness program that addresses vulnerability, hurricane evacuation, hurricane shelter alternatives including hotels, the locations of both the onsite hurricane shelters and onsite or offsite public shelters, and other protective actions that may be specific to the development; identification of who is responsible for implementing the plan; and other items as deemed appropriate. The plan must be developed in coordination with local emergency management officials. In order to use this mitigation option, the final plan must be found sufficient by the reviewing agencies and must address the recommendations provided by the reviewing agencies; or

- b. Alternatively, the Developer must commit to providing roadway capacity improvements above and beyond those improvements required by Rule 9J-2.0255, FAC; or
- c. The Developer must commit to providing funds to be used for the purpose of procuring communications equipment, which would upgrade the existing warning and notification capability of local emergency management officials. In order to use this mitigation option, the Developer must provide reasonable assurance to local emergency management officials regarding the provision's ability to reduce the development's hurricane evacuation impacts. The amount of the funding will be determined and approved by the local emergency management officials.

G. WASTEWATER MANAGEMENT/WATER SUPPLY

1. The Developer will obtain a SFWMD permit for groundwater withdrawals for landscape irrigation, for irrigation well construction, as well as for any dewatering needed to construct the project lakes, roads or building foundations.

2. The Developer will utilize water conserving devices and methods necessary to meet the criteria established in the water conservation plan of the public water supply permit issued to Bonita Springs Utilities (BSU).

3. The Developer will coordinate with BSU or other water supplier to ensure that adequate potable water is available to meet the demands of the project.

4. The Developer will provide any necessary verification to the SFWMD that the Developer's plumbing and irrigation designs are consistent with SFWMD rules.

5. The Developer must demonstrate at the time of local development order approval that sufficient potable water and wastewater treatment capacity is available. If BSU cannot provide the necessary service, then the Developer must obtain service from an alternate provider with capacity or construct on-site interim facilities that satisfy BSU Standards. Interim facilities must be dismantled at the Developer's expense when service by BSU is available.

6. The on-site lakes, wetlands, and storm water management system must be buffered from treated effluent contamination in accordance with SFWMD regulations.

7. Septic systems utilized in conjunction with construction trailers, sales offices and model homes must be temporary. When it is feasible to connect the temporary uses to the regional wastewater treatment facilities, all temporary septic systems must be abandoned or removed by a licensed septic system firm, in accordance with all applicable regulations.

8. The Developer must submit copies of all local development order application plans that include potable water or wastewater collection and distribution systems to BSU. BSU will review the plans for compliance with the BSU specifications manual.

9. Bonita Springs Utilities will evaluate all potable water facilities to ensure that the facilities are properly sized to meet average, peak day, and fire flow demands in accordance with the LDC. The Village of Estero will consult with the appropriate fire protection district to confirm that the fire flow demands will be satisfied by the proposed potable water facility.

10. The Developer must use the lowest, yet acceptable for the intended purpose, quality of water available for all non-potable water purposes.

H. COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

On October 21, 2002 the Board adopted a resolution amending the Lee Plan to reclassify the DRI site to the Urban Community land use category.

I. POLICE AND FIRE PROTECTION

1. The Developer will ensure that first responders to the area are adequately trained by TECO/People Gas to address accidental natural gas releases from the natural gas pipelines that are to be located on or adjacent to the site to ensure the safety of the residents and visitors to the area.

2. The project must be constructed and maintained in accordance with the adopted Life Safety and Fire Code requirements.

3. The owner or operator of a facility qualifying under the Superfund Amendments Reauthorization Act (SARA) Title III of 1986, and the Florida Hazardous Materials Emergency Response and Community Right to Know Act of 1988, must file hazardous materials reporting applications in accordance with §§302, 303, 304, 311, 312, or 313. The applications must be updated annually by each reporting facility.

4. The Developer will provide the Lee County Sheriff's Department with finished shell space in the main regional mall complex (Regional Retail Center) for use as a Sheriff's substation to facilitate law enforcement activities. This space will be provided at nominal cost to the Sheriff's Department.

5. The Fire and EMS impacts of this project will be mitigated by the payment of impact fees in accordance with the schedules set forth in the LDC. However, the Developer must provide the Estero Fire Rescue District with an appropriate parcel (not less than 1 acre in size) for the location of a fire-rescue station and emergency medical services facility on the project site. Upon transfer of this site to the Fire District, the Developer will be entitled to fire impact fee credits in accordance with the LDC.¹⁷

6. The Developer will conduct a comprehensive security study and evaluation during the design and construction of each retail development phase. The purpose of this study is to design and implement site specific security measures. The plan must provide for review on a quarterly basis by regional security audits. A copy of this plan must be submitted to the County as a condition of local development order approval.

7. The water mains, fire hydrants, and site access must be designed and constructed in accordance with Village of Estero regulations and BSU guidelines by

¹⁷ The requirement to provide property to the Estero Fire Rescue District was satisfied by the recording of a deed at OR Book 4097 Page 0672, dated July 31, 2003.

providing large water mains meeting minimum diameters based upon proposed land use, and installation of fire hydrants in suitable locations to provide adequate fire protection coverage. Internal fire sprinkler systems may be required for structures to meet supplemental fire protection.

8. Any on-site facilities with commercial pool operations must comply with appropriate codes and statutes including required safety measures such as chemical sensors, internal alarm systems, or emergency shutdown systems.

J. EDUCATION

1. The education impact of this project will be mitigated by the payment of school impact fees in accordance with the schedules set forth in the LDC. However, the Developer must provide a site at least five acres in size and appropriately located to accommodate the growing school needs in this area of the county. Upon transfer of this site to the School District, the Developer may be entitled to seek school impact fee credits in accordance with the LDC.¹⁸

2. This project will have an impact on the Estero High School and surrounding neighborhood traffic. The Developer will use reasonable efforts to prevent the project's construction traffic from using Williams Road east of the railroad tracks.

III. LEGAL EFFECT AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS DEVELOPMENT ORDER, AND ADMINISTRATIVE REQUIREMENTS

A. Resolution. This Development Order constitutes a resolution of the Village of Estero adopted by the Village in response to the amendment to the DRI filed for Coconut Point DRI.

B. Additional Developer Commitments. All commitments and impact mitigating actions volunteered by the Developer in the ADA and supplementary documents that are not in conflict with conditions or stipulations specifically enumerated above are incorporated by reference into this Development Order. These documents include, but are not limited to the following:

1. The Coconut Point (f/k/a Simon Suncoast) Application for Development Approval, stamped received on September 12, 2000;
2. The Coconut Point DRI sufficiency responses stamped received on February 7, 2001 and April 10, 2001 (transportation) and April 13, 2001; and

¹⁸ Developer transferred two 5-acre parcels to the School Board (instr # 2008000042208) on February 14, 2008. School impact fee credits in the amount of \$280,000 were issued to DMM Development, LLC (acct # 200805851).

3. The governing zoning resolution for the Coconut Point (f/k/a Simon Suncoast) MPD.

C. Master Plan of Development. Map H, dated May 17, 2017, attached hereto as Exhibit "B", is for the current DRI revision and is incorporated by reference. It is understood that because it is a concept plan it is very general. The Developer may modify the boundaries of development areas and the locations of internal roadways to accommodate topography, vegetation, market conditions, traffic circulation, or other site related conditions as long as the modifications meet local development regulations. This provision may not be used to reduce the size of wetland preserve areas. Precise wetland boundaries will be determined by the SFWMD, as delegated by the Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) and the Army Corp of Engineers (ACOE).

D. Binding Effect. The Development Order is binding upon the Developer, its successors and assigns. Where the Development Order refers to lot owners, business owners or other specific reference, those provisions are binding on the entities or individuals referenced. Those portions of this Development Order that clearly apply only to the project Developer are binding upon any builder/developer who acquires a tract of land within the DRI. The Developer may impose or pass on the requirements of this DRI development order to ultimate purchasers through covenants that run with the land and phasing schedule.

E. Reliance. The terms and conditions set out in this Development Order constitute a basis upon which the Developer and the Village of Estero may rely with respect to future actions necessary to fully implement the final development contemplated by this Development Order. The development parameters and phasing schedule upon which this development order approval is based is set forth in Exhibit C. These development parameters may be adjusted to the extent contemplated by, and in accordance with, the Land Use Conversion Table set forth in Exhibit C-1. Change to the development mix or phasing schedule may require a reanalysis of project impacts in order to rebut a presumption of substantial deviation.

F. Enforcement. All conditions, restrictions, stipulations and safeguards contained in this Development Order may be enforced by either party by action at law or equity. All costs of those proceedings, including reasonable attorney's fees, will be paid by the defaulting party.

G. Successor Agencies. References to governmental agencies will be construed to mean future instrumentalities that may be created and designated as successors in interest to, or which otherwise possess, the powers and duties of the referenced governmental agencies in existence on the effective date of this Development Order.

H. Severability. If any portion or section of this Development Order is determined to be invalid, illegal or unconstitutional by a court of competent jurisdiction,

then that decision will not affect the remaining portions or sections of the Development Order, which will remain in full force and effect.

I. Applicability of Regulations. This Development Order does not negate the Developer's responsibility to comply with federal, state, regional and local regulations.

J. Further Review. Subsequent requests for local development permits do not require further DRI review pursuant to §380.06, Florida Statutes. However, upon a finding at a public hearing by the Village that any of the following conditions exist, the Village must order a termination of all development activity in that portion of the development affected by substantial deviation until a DRI Application for Development Approval, Notice of Substantial Deviation or Notice of Proposed Change has been submitted, reviewed and approved in accordance with §380.06, Florida Statutes.

1. There is a substantial deviation from the terms or conditions of this Development Order or other changes to the approved development plans that create a reasonable likelihood of an additional regional impact or any other regional impact created by the change that has not been evaluated and reviewed by the Regional Planning Council; or

2. Expiration of the period of effectiveness of the Development Order. Any request to extend the effectiveness of this Development Order will be evaluated based on the criteria for the extension of the build out date set forth in §380.06(19), Florida Statutes.

3. Conditions in this development order that specify circumstances in which the development will be required to undergo additional DRI review. See 9J-2.025(10).

K. Build out and Termination Dates. The project has a build out date of September 4, 2028, and a termination date of September 5, 2034. The termination date is based on the recognition that a local Development Order is valid for six years after the build out date. No permits for development will be issued by the Village subsequent to the termination date or expiration date unless the conditions set forth in §380.06(15)(g) are applicable.

L. Commencement of Physical Development. As of November 2004, commencement of substantial physical development of the project has occurred. Further development must occur in accordance with the development parameters and phasing schedule set forth in Exhibit C.

M. Assurance of Compliance. The director of the Village of Estero Department of Community Development, or their designee, will be the local official responsible for

assuring compliance with this Development Order. The Village of Estero is primarily responsible for monitoring the development and enforcing the provisions of the development order. No permits or approvals will be issued if the Developer fails to act in substantial compliance with the development order.

N. Credits Against Local Impact Fees. Pursuant to §380.06(16), Florida Statutes, the Developer may be eligible for credits for contributions, construction, expansion, or acquisition of public facilities, if the Developer is also subject by local ordinances to impact fees or exactions to meet the same needs. However, no credit will be provided for internal or external site-related facilities required by Village regulations, or to any off-site facilities to the extent those facilities are necessary to provide safe and adequate services to the development.

O. Protection of Development Rights. The project will not be subject to down-zoning, unit density reduction, or intensity reduction prior to September 4, 2028. If the Village demonstrates at a public hearing that substantial changes have occurred in the conditions underlying the approval of this Development Order, or finds that the Development Order was based on substantially inaccurate information provided by the Developer, or that the change is clearly established by the Village of Estero to be essential to public health, safety and welfare, then down-zoning, unit density reduction, or intensity reduction may occur.

P. Biennial Reports. The Developer must submit a report biennial to the Village of Estero Department of Community Development, the SWFRPC and Florida DCA on Form RPM-BSP-Annual Report-1. The content of the report must include the information set forth in Exhibit D, and must also be consistent with the rules of the FDCA. The first monitoring report was submitted to the DRI coordinator for SWFRPC, DCA, and Lee County no later than one year after the effective date of this Development Order²⁰. Further reporting must be submitted every two years for subsequent calendar years thereafter, until build out, whether actual or declared. Failure to comply with this reporting procedure is governed by §380.06(18), Florida Statutes, which provides for the temporary suspension of the DRI Development Order.

The Developer must file the monitoring reports until actual or declared build out of the project. The Simon Property Group is the party responsible for filing the monitoring reports until one or more successor entities are named in the development order. The Developer must inform successors in title to the undeveloped portion of the real property covered by this development order of the reporting requirement. Tenants or owners of individual lots or units have no obligation to comply with this reporting condition.

²⁰ The first monitoring report was submitted in January 2004.

The Developer must also submit a transportation annual report in accordance with the provisions set forth in Section II.D. of this development order.

Q. Community Development District. The Developer might elect to petition for the formation of a Uniform Community Development District to serve all or a portion of the project pursuant to Florida Statutes, Chapter 190, as it may be in effect from time to time. Lee County hereby gives its approval that any such district may undertake the construction and/or funding of all or any of the mitigation and public infrastructure projects for which the Developer is responsible under the terms of this development order, whether within or without the boundaries of the district, and including the payment of mitigation amounts provided for in this development order, as a co-obligor hereunder. This provision may not be construed to require the approval of any petition to form such a district, and in no event will the Developer be released from its obligations under this development order.

R. Transmittal and Effective Date. The Village will forward certified copies of this Development Order to the SWFRPC, the Developer, and appropriate state agencies. This Development Order is rendered as of the date of that transmittal, but will not be effective until the expiration of the statutory appeal period (45 days from rendition) or until the Florida Department of Economic Opportunity (DEO) has completed its review and has determined not to take an appeal, should that occur prior to the expiration of the 45-day period, or until the completion of any appellate proceedings, whichever time is greater. In accordance with the requirements of §380.06(15)f, Florida Statutes, once this development order is effective, the Developer must record notice of its adoption in the office of the Clerk of the Circuit Court of Lee County.

S. Continued Agricultural Use of Property. Bona fide agricultural uses in existence on the date of this DRI initially approved October 21, 2005 may continue until the first development order approval for a site within the particular tract, as designed on Map H, (excluding public uses mandated by this Development Order). No development activity of any kind may occur on the property, including the clearing of vegetation or cutting of trees, unless such activity is reviewed and approved in accordance with Lee County regulations as if no agricultural use existed on the property. The purpose of the limitation is to eliminate any exemption or other special considerations or procedures that might otherwise be available under the Village of Estero regulations by virtue of the existing agriculture on the property.

(remainder of page intentionally left blank)

Councilmember Levitan made a motion to adopt the Ninth Development Order Amendment and Restatement, seconded by Councilmember Batos. The vote was as follows:

	AYE	NAY
Mayor Boesch	✓	_____
Vice Mayor Ribble	✓	_____
Councilmember Batos	✓	_____
Councilmember McLain	✓	_____
Councilmember Errington	✓	_____
Councilmember Levitan	✓	_____
Councilmember Wilson	✓	_____

DULY PASSED AND ADOPTED this 26th day of July, 2017.

ATTEST:

VILLAGE OF ESTERO, FLORIDA

BY: Kathy Hall
Kathy Hall, MMC, Village Clerk

BY: James R. Boesch, Mayor
James R. Boesch, Mayor

Reviewed for legal sufficiency:

By: Nancy Stroud
Nancy Stroud Esq., Village Land Use Attorney

Exhibits:

- A. Legal Description
- B. Master Plan of Development (Map H) dated 5/17/17
- C. Development Parameters and Phasing Schedule
- C-1 Land Use Conversion Table
- D. Biennial Monitoring Report Requirements
- E. Calculation of Road Impact Fee Obligation
- F. Pedestrian, Bicycle and Bus Stop Plan



950 Encore Way • Naples, Florida 34108 Phone: 239.254.2000 Fax: 239.254.2099

Applicant's Legal Checked
By CSJ 5/15/13
Pg 1 thru 3

RECEIVED
MAY 10 2013

HM PROJECT #1997079
06/03/13
REF. DWG. #A-994-3
PAGE 1 OF 3

LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

A PORTION OF SECTION 9, TOWNSHIP 47 SOUTH, RANGE 26 EAST, LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA, BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

COMMENCE AT THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF SECTION 9, TOWNSHIP 47 SOUTH, RANGE 26 EAST, LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA; THENCE RUN S.88°56'17"W., ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SAID SECTION 9, FOR A DISTANCE OF 5.89 FEET TO A POINT ON THE WESTERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF THE SEABOARD COASTLINE RAILROAD, A 130.00 FOOT RIGHT-OF-WAY, AND THE POINT OF BEGINNING OF THE PARCEL OF LAND HEREIN DESCRIBED; THENCE CONTINUE S.88°56'17"W., ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SAID SECTION 9, FOR A DISTANCE OF 1,733.04 FEET TO A POINT ON THE EASTERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF U.S. HWY. NO. 41 (FLORIDA STATE ROAD NO. 45), A 200.00 FOOT RIGHT-OF-WAY; THENCE RUN N.10°32'05"W., ALONG SAID EASTERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, FOR A DISTANCE OF 971.33 FEET TO THE BEGINNING OF A TANGENTIAL CIRCULAR CURVE, CONCAVE EASTERLY; THENCE RUN NORTHERLY, ALONG SAID EASTERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE AND ALONG THE ARC OF SAID CURVE TO THE RIGHT, HAVING A RADIUS OF 5,606.39 FEET, THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF 04°03'11", SUBTENDED BY A CHORD OF 396.43 FEET AT A BEARING OF N.08°30'30"W., FOR A DISTANCE OF 396.52 FEET TO THE END OF SAID CURVE; THENCE RUN N.88°07'51"E. FOR A DISTANCE OF 747.22 FEET TO A POINT ON A CIRCULAR CURVE, CONCAVE EASTERLY, WHOSE RADIUS POINT BEARS N.82°31'42"E., A DISTANCE OF 3,909.80 FEET THEREFROM; THENCE RUN NORTHERLY, ALONG THE ARC OF SAID CURVE TO THE RIGHT, HAVING A RADIUS OF 3,909.80 FEET, THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF 08°29'31", SUBTENDED BY A CHORD OF 578.92 FEET AT A BEARING OF N.03°13'32"W., FOR A DISTANCE OF 579.45 FEET TO THE END OF SAID CURVE; THENCE RUN N.00°16'56"W., FOR A DISTANCE OF 683.09 FEET; THENCE RUN N.00°16'56"W., FOR A DISTANCE OF 47.04 FEET TO A POINT ON THE SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF COCONUT ROAD, A 150.00 FOOT RIGHT-OF-WAY, THE SAME BEING A POINT ON A CIRCULAR CURVE, CONCAVE NORTHERLY, WHOSE RADIUS POINT BEARS N.10°28'58"W., A DISTANCE OF 2,025.00 FEET THEREFROM; THENCE RUN EASTERLY, ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE AND ALONG THE ARC OF SAID CURVE TO THE LEFT, HAVING A RADIUS OF 2,025.00 FEET, THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF 09°12'27", SUBTENDED BY A CHORD OF 325.07 FEET AT A BEARING OF N.74°56'48"E., FOR A DISTANCE OF 325.42 FEET TO THE END OF SAID CURVE; THENCE RUN N.70°20'35"E., ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE FOR A DISTANCE OF 200.00 FEET TO THE BEGINNING OF A TANGENTIAL CIRCULAR CURVE, CONCAVE SOUTHERLY; THENCE RUN EASTERLY, ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE AND ALONG THE ARC OF SAID CURVE TO THE RIGHT, HAVING A RADIUS OF 3,025.00 FEET, THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF 09°16'04", SUBTENDED BY A CHORD OF 487.89 FEET AT A BEARING OF N.74°58'07"E., FOR A DISTANCE OF 488.42 FEET TO THE END OF SAID CURVE; THENCE RUN N.79°36'39"E., ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, FOR A DISTANCE OF 238.23 FEET TO A POINT ON THE WESTERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF THE SEABOARD COASTLINE RAILROAD, A 130.00 FOOT RIGHT-OF-WAY; THENCE RUN S.00°59'47"E., ALONG SAID WESTERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, FOR A DISTANCE OF 2,869.10 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING; CONTAINING 95.885 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.

AND

A PORTION OF SECTIONS 3, 4, 9, AND 10, TOWNSHIP 47 SOUTH, RANGE 26 EAST, LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA, BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

COMMENCE AT THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF SECTION 9, TOWNSHIP 47 SOUTH, RANGE 26 EAST, LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA; THENCE RUN S.88°56'17"W., ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SAID SECTION 9, FOR A DISTANCE OF 5.89 FEET TO A POINT ON THE

DCI 2013-00010
Naples Fort Myers

ORI 2013-00003

EXHIBIT A

WESTERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF THE SEABOARD COASTLINE RAILROAD, A 130.00 FOOT RIGHT-OF-WAY; THENCE RUN N.00°59'47"W., ALONG SAID WESTERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, FOR A DISTANCE OF 3,021.15 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING OF THE PARCEL OF LAND HEREIN DESCRIBED; THENCE RUN N.00°59'47"W., ALONG SAID WESTERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, FOR A DISTANCE OF 2,320.58 FEET TO A POINT ON THE NORTH LINE OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 10, TOWNSHIP 47 SOUTH, RANGE 26 EAST; THENCE RUN N.00°59'47"W., ALONG SAID WESTERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, FOR A DISTANCE OF 2,692.32 FEET TO A POINT ON THE NORTH LINE OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 4, TOWNSHIP 47 SOUTH, RANGE 26 EAST; THENCE RUN N.00°56'59"W., ALONG SAID WESTERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, FOR A DISTANCE OF 1,590.78 FEET TO THE BEGINNING OF A TANGENTIAL CIRCULAR CURVE, CONCAVE WESTERLY; THENCE RUN NORTHERLY, ALONG SAID WESTERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE AND ALONG THE ARC OF SAID CURVE TO THE LEFT, HAVING A RADIUS OF 5,641.38 FEET, THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF 09°31'27", SUBTENDED BY A CHORD OF 936.68 FEET AT A BEARING OF N.06°42'42"W., FOR A DISTANCE OF 937.76 FEET TO THE END OF SAID CURVE; THENCE RUN N.10°28'26"W., ALONG SAID WESTERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, FOR A DISTANCE OF 98.54 FEET TO A POINT ON THE SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF WILLIAMS ROAD, A 100.00 FOOT RIGHT-OF-WAY; THENCE RUN S.88°20'53"W., ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, FOR A DISTANCE OF 1,029.70 FEET TO THE BEGINNING OF A TANGENTIAL CIRCULAR CURVE, CONCAVE NORTHERLY; THENCE RUN WESTERLY, ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE AND ALONG THE ARC OF SAID CURVE TO THE RIGHT, HAVING A RADIUS OF 7,050.00 FEET, THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF 03°00'00", SUBTENDED BY A CHORD OF 389.09 FEET AT A BEARING OF S.89°50'53"W., FOR A DISTANCE OF 389.14 FEET TO THE END OF SAID CURVE; THENCE RUN N.88°39'07"W., ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, FOR A DISTANCE OF 674.92 FEET TO A POINT ON THE EASTERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF U.S. HWY. NO. 41 (FLORIDA STATE ROAD NO. 45), A 200.00 FOOT RIGHT-OF-WAY; THENCE RUN S.04°52'41"W., ALONG SAID EASTERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, FOR A DISTANCE OF 1,901.57 FEET TO THE BEGINNING OF A TANGENTIAL CIRCULAR CURVE, CONCAVE EASTERLY; THENCE RUN SOUTHERLY, ALONG SAID EASTERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE AND ALONG THE ARC OF SAID CURVE TO THE LEFT, HAVING A RADIUS OF 2,725.19 FEET, THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF 11°32'50", SUBTENDED BY A CHORD OF 548.30 FEET AT A BEARING OF S.00°53'44"E., FOR A DISTANCE OF 549.23 FEET TO THE END OF SAID CURVE; THENCE RUN S.06°40'09"E., ALONG SAID EASTERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE FOR A DISTANCE OF 225.81 FEET TO A POINT ON THE NORTH LINE OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SAID SECTION 4; THENCE CONTINUE S.06°40'09"E., ALONG SAID EASTERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, FOR A DISTANCE OF 2,710.81 FEET TO A POINT ON THE SOUTH LINE OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SAID SECTION 4; THENCE CONTINUE S.06°40'09"E., ALONG SAID EASTERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, FOR A DISTANCE OF 626.03 FEET TO THE BEGINNING OF A TANGENTIAL CIRCULAR CURVE, CONCAVE WESTERLY; THENCE RUN SOUTHERLY, ALONG SAID EASTERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE AND ALONG THE ARC OF SAID CURVE TO THE RIGHT, HAVING A RADIUS OF 11,684.73 FEET, THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF 06°24'13", SUBTENDED BY A CHORD OF 1,294.08 FEET AT A BEARING OF S.03°28'03"E., FOR A DISTANCE OF 1,294.76 FEET TO THE END OF SAID CURVE; THENCE RUN S.00°16'66"E., ALONG SAID EASTERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, FOR A DISTANCE OF 274.74 FEET; THENCE RUN S.46°02'16"E., FOR A DISTANCE OF 677.44 FEET; THENCE RUN S.01°57'26"E. FOR A DISTANCE OF 25.19 FEET TO A POINT ON THE NORTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF COCONUT ROAD, A 150.00 FOOT RIGHT-OF-WAY; THENCE RUN N.88°02'34"E., ALONG SAID NORTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, FOR A DISTANCE OF 32.80 FEET TO THE BEGINNING OF A TANGENTIAL CIRCULAR CURVE, CONCAVE NORTHERLY; THENCE RUN EASTERLY, ALONG SAID NORTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE AND ALONG THE ARC OF SAID CURVE TO THE LEFT, HAVING A RADIUS OF 1,876.00 FEET, THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF 17°41'59", SUBTENDED BY A CHORD OF 576.92 FEET AT A BEARING OF N.79°11'34"E., FOR A DISTANCE OF 679.22 FEET TO THE END OF SAID CURVE; THENCE RUN N.70°20'36"E., ALONG SAID NORTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, FOR A DISTANCE OF 200.00 FEET TO THE BEGINNING OF A TANGENTIAL CIRCULAR CURVE, CONCAVE

HM PROJECT #1997079
05/03/13
REF. DWG. #A-994-3
PAGE 3 OF 3

SOUTHERLY; THENCE RUN EASTERLY, ALONG SAID NORTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE AND ALONG THE ARC OF SAID CURVE TO THE RIGHT, HAVING A RADIUS OF 3,176.00 FEET, THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF 09°16'04", SUBTENDED BY A CHORD OF 512.09 FEET AT A BEARING OF N.74°58'07"E., FOR A DISTANCE OF 512.65 FEET TO THE END OF SAID CURVE; THENCE RUN N.79°35'39"E., ALONG SAID NORTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, FOR A DISTANCE OF 263.08 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING; CONTAINING 386,636 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.

NOTES:

THIS PROPERTY IS SUBJECT TO EASEMENTS, RESERVATIONS OR RESTRICTIONS OF RECORD.

TOTAL PROPERTY AREA: . 482.421 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.


BEARINGS REFER TO THE SOUTH LINE OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 9, TOWNSHIP 47 SOUTH, RANGE 25 EAST, LEE COUNTY, FLORIDA, AS BEING S.88°56'17"W.

HOLE MONTES, INC.
CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORIZATION LB #1772

BY  P.S.M. #6628
THOMAS M. MURPHY STATE OF FLORIDA

C:\Users\jvelazquez\Documents\Projects\Coconut Point\Map\Map4.dwg - 20170517.dwg Title: Revised Map H-012508 (24236) May 16, 2017 - 12:03pm

DRI Exhibit B



LAND USE BREAKDOWN

CONSERVATION AREAS	±33.4 ACRES
LAKES	±56.8 ACRES
INTERNAL (Private) R.O.W.	±17.9 ACRES
INTERNAL (Public) R.O.W.	±25.8 ACRES
GREEN AREA / OPEN SPACE	± 8.7 ACRES
DEVELOPMENT AREAS	±338.2 ACRES
TOTAL	±482.4 ACRES

PROJECT INTENSITY

RESIDENTIAL UNITS (RUF)	1,214
RESIDENTIAL UNITS (RUF)	180
RESIDENTIAL UNITS (RUF-APT)	180
COMMERCIAL/RETAIL (GROSS LEASABLE AREA)	1,548,218
GENERAL OFFICE (S.F.)	691,777
MEDICAL OFFICE (S.F.)	234,000
HOTEL (ROOMS)	370
BANK w/ O.T. (S.F.)	6,000

DEVELOPMENT AREA BREAKDOWN

RESIDENTIAL (R)	88.1 AC. ±
MIXED USE (MU)	240.1 AC. ±

NOTES:
 1. LOCATIONS/CONFIGURATIONS OF PROPOSED LAND USES, SUCH AS LAKES, CONSERVATION AREAS, ROADWAYS AND OPEN SPACE ARE CONCEPTUALLY SHOWN AND SUBJECT TO CHANGE DURING FINAL DESIGN/PERMITTING.
 2. THE MIXED USE (MU) DESIGNATION CONTAINS A MIX OF RETAIL/COMMERCIAL, HOTEL, OFFICE, RESIDENTIAL, A/F, AND PUBLIC FACILITY LAND USES.

LEGEND

MU	MIXED USE
R	RESIDENTIAL
---	PROPERTY BOUNDARY
- - - -	DELINEATION OF DEVELOPMENT AREAS
---	ROAD R.O.W. LINE
[Pattern]	CONSERVATION AREAS
[Wave]	PROPOSED LAKE
[Arrow]	CONCEPTUAL ACCESS POINT

REVISED		DATE		REVISIONS	
MVC		DATE		REVISIONS	
MVC		DATE		REVISIONS	
MVC		DATE		REVISIONS	
MVC		DATE		REVISIONS	
MVC		DATE		REVISIONS	
MVC		DATE		REVISIONS	
MVC		DATE		REVISIONS	
MVC		DATE		REVISIONS	
MVC		DATE		REVISIONS	
MVC		DATE		REVISIONS	

HOLE MONTES
ENGINEERS-PLANNERS-SURVEYORS

6200 Whiskey Creek Drive
Fort Myers, FL 33919
Phone: (239) 985-1200
Florida Certificate of
Authorization No. 1772

COCONUT POINT
MASTER CONCEPT PLAN
MAP "H"

DATE	11/15/04
DATE	MAY/17
SCALE	1" = 400'
PROJECT NO.	9779BMAPH
PROJECT NO.	97.79-B
SHEET NO.	1 of 1

DRI
EXHIBIT C

Development Parameters and Phasing Schedule

		<u>Buildout</u>
Regional Retail Commercial	1,440,110* sq. ft.	2028
Community Retail	106,100* sq. ft.	2028
Office	835,777** sq. ft.	2028
Hotel	370 Rooms	2028
Residential, Multi-family	1,214 du	2028
Residential, MF Apartments	180 units	2028
Assisted Living Facility	200 units	2028
Banks	8,000 sq. ft.	2028

* Gross Leasable Area

**Up to 234,000 sq. ft., may be medical office

Note (1): a 160 acute care bed hospital may only be constructed within Tracts 3A-1, 3A-2 and 3A-3_1 and; (2) Tracts 3A-1, 3A-2, and 3A-3_1 may be developed with any of the following land uses or combinations so long as the uses do not exceed 479 total net new external trips: up to 60,000 gross leasable sq. ft. retail, 300,000 sq. ft. office (of which a maximum of 198,000 sq. ft. may be medical office), 160 acute care hospital beds.

DRI EXHIBIT C-1

Land Use Conversion Table

Land Use	Max Increase*
Retail	54,999 sf
Office (Gen / Med)	65,999 sf
Residential	54 MF
Hotel	82 rms

*The purpose of this table is to permit one land use to be converted to a different use. The conversion may be approved only if the project's overall trips do not exceed the parameters set forth in Condition II.D.1.a.

DRI
EXHIBIT D

BIENNIAL MONITORING REPORT REQUIREMENTS

The Biennial Monitoring Report that must be submitted by the Developer in accordance with Subsections 380.06(15) and 380.06(18), Florida Statutes, and 9J-2.025(7), Florida Administrative Code, must include the following:

- A. Any changes in the plan of development or in the representations contained in the application for development approval, or in the phasing for the reporting year and for the next year;
- B. A summary comparison of development activity proposed and actually conducted for the year;
- C. Identification of undeveloped tracts of land, other than individual single family lots, that have been sold to separate entities or developers.
- D. Identification and intended use of lands purchased, leased, or optioned by the Developer adjacent to the original DRI site since the development order was issued;
- E. A specific assessment of the Developer's and the local government's compliance with each individual condition of approval contained in the DRI Development Order and the commitments contained in the application for development approval that have been identified by the local government, the RPC, or the DCA as being significant;
- F. Any requests for substantial deviation determination that were filed in the reporting year and to be filed during the following year;
- G. An indication of a change, if any, in local government jurisdiction for any portion of the development since the development order was issued;
- H. A list of significant local, state, and federal permits that have been obtained or are pending by agency, type of permit, permit number and purpose of each;
- I. A statement that all persons have been sent copies of the report in conformance with Subsections 380.06(15) and (18), Florida Statutes;
- J. A copy of any recorded notice of the adoption of a development order or the subsequent modification of an adopted development order that was recorded by the Developer pursuant to Paragraph 380.06(15)(f), Florida Statutes.


NOTE: The Florida Administrative Code specifically requires that the development order specify the requirements for the report. The Administrative Code requires that the report will be submitted to DCA, the RPC, and the local government on Form RPM-BSP-Annual Report-1.


DRI
EXHIBIT E
Calculation of Road Impact Fee Obligation²¹

LAND USE	ITE LUC	UNIT	RATE	SIZE	AMOUNT
GENERAL INDUSTRIAL	130	1000 SF	\$1,681.00	0	\$ -
WAREHOUSE	150	1000 SF	\$1,198.00	0	\$ -
MINI-WAREHOUSE	151	1000 SF	\$ 419.00	0	\$ -
SINGLE-FAMILY DETACHED	210	DU	\$2,436.00	0	\$ -
MULTI-FAMILY	220	DU	\$1,687.00	1000	\$ 1,687,000.00
MOBILE HOME (PARK UNIT)/RV SITE	240	DU	\$1,221.00	0	\$ -
ACLF	252	DU	\$ 550.00	200	\$ 110,000.00
HOTEL	310	ROOM	\$1,834.00	600	\$ 1,100,400.00
TIMESHARE	310	DU	\$1,834.00	0	\$ -
GOLF COURSE	430	ACRE	\$ 711.00	0	\$ -
MOVIE THEATRE	443	1000 SF	\$5,600.00	0	\$ -
ELEMENTARY/SECONDARY SCHOOL (PRIVATE)	520	1000 SF	\$ 611.00	0	\$ -
CHURCH	560	1000 SF	\$1,402.00	0	\$ -
DAYCARE	565	1000 SF	\$3,900.00	0	\$ -
HOSPITAL	610	1000 SF	\$2,941.00	0	\$ -
NURSING HOME	620	1000 SF	\$ 824.00	0	\$ -
OFFICE UNDER 100,000 SF	710	1000 SF	\$2,254.00	100	\$ 225,400.00
OFFICE 100,000 SF AND OVER	710	1000 SF	\$1,918.00	100	\$ 191,800.00
MEDICAL OFFICE	720	1000 SF	\$6,334.00	100	\$ 633,400.00
RETAIL UNDER 100,000 SF	820	1000 SF	\$3,992.00	100	\$ 399,200.00
RETAIL 100,000 SF TO 250,000 SF	820	1000 SF	\$3,869.00	150	\$ 580,350.00
RETAIL 250,000 SF TO 500,000	820	1000 SF	\$3,634.00	250	\$ 908,500.00
RETAIL 500,000 SF AND OVER	820	1000 SF	\$3,354.00	1300	\$ 4,360,200.00
STANDARD RESTAURANT	831	1000 SF	\$8,715.00	0	\$ -
FAST FOOD RESTAURANT	834	1000 SF	\$9,886.00	0	\$ -
CAR WASH, SELF-SERVICE	847	STALL	\$7,749.00	0	\$ -
CONVENIENCE FOOD AND BEVERAGE STORE	851	1000 SF	\$8,715.00	0	\$ -
BANK	911	1000 SF	\$6,063.00	0	\$ -
TOTAL					\$10,196,250.00

²¹ The calculations included here are based upon the impact fee schedule effective July 1, 2000. The fee schedule was used as a basis for establishing traffic mitigation option 1. The Developer did not ultimately choose option 1.

DRI Exhibit F





LEGEND:
 ----- PRIMARY PEDESTRIAN WALKWAYS (TP)



SIMON
 District City Center
 115 W. Washington Street
 Jacksonville, FL 32201
 904.243.6100


**The Shops at
 Coconut Point**

**BONITA SPRINGS
 FLORIDA**

**PROPOSED PEDESTRIAN
 CIRCULATION PLAN**

DATE:	DATE:	DATE:
SCALE:	SCALE:	SCALE:
PROJECT:	PROJECT:	PROJECT:

81160_PED CIRC PLAN 090904



LEGEND:
 ----- PRIMARY PEDESTRIAN WALKWAYS (typ.)



SIMONT
 Planning City Center
 111 W. Washington Street
 Tallahassee, FL 32302
 (904) 436-1100

The Shops at
 Coconut Point

**BONITA SPRINGS
 FLORIDA**

PROPOSED PEDESTRIAN
 CIRCULATION PLAN

DATE:	DATE:
SCALE:	SCALE:
PROJECT:	PROJECT:
DESIGNER:	DESIGNER:

031160_PED CIRC PLAN_090904

RECEIVED

JUL 21 2017

VILLAGE OF ESTERO



CONCEPTUAL BUILDING ELEVATION

5 Deviation (5) seeks relief from the LDC Section 33-229 which requires a deviation to exceed the maximum height limitations (45 feet); to permit architectural features that enhance visibility per conceptual building elevation above and on the Conceptual Site Plan.

\\g:\proj\20170508\050807 SITE PLAN - 20170508.dwg Plot Date: 6/21/17 11:22:11 AM Plot Scale: 1/8" = 1'-0"