## **ATTACHMENT 3**



THE VILLAGE OF ESTERO DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CODE COMPLIANCE DEPARTMENT 9401 CORKSCREW PALMS CIRCLE ESTERO, FL 33928

## **REQUEST FOR VILLAGE OF ESTERO SPECIAL MAGISTRATE CODE ENFORCEMENT FINE REVIEW**

This form is for applicants seeking to reduce the fine or assessed costs resulting from a Code Enforcement Order by the Village of Estero Special Magistrate. This form may only be used if the violation has been corrected. The process cannot be used to reduce liens imposed for other Code Enforcement processes (such as lot mowing, securing pools, or lot clean-up).

bmit this form by ema	il to <u>knight@estero</u>	<u>-fl.gov</u>		
Name of Applicant:	Racetrac Petro	leum, Inc.		
Mailing Address:	200 Galleria Pa	arkway SE, Suite 900	)	
City, State, Zip:	Atlanta, GA 30	)339		
Phone Number:	770-431-7600 l	Ext 4112		
Email Address:	lfriedman@rac	etrac.com		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Code Enforcement C	ase Number (show	wn on recorded Orde	er):	17060006
	recorded document			nber or the Official Records Book
INSTR # or Official R	ecords Book/Page	s (shown on recorde	d Order):	No recorded Order found
Property Address:	22350 S. Tami	ami Trail, Estero, F	L 33928	
STRAP Number:	04-47-25-E1-5	3000.0010		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Relationship of Appl	icant to the proper	rty:		
Owner of the pr	operty or Owner's F	Representative		
Contract Purcha	•	4-1		
Other (specify):				
			O1	
Relationship of Appl	cant to the violation	on resulting in the	Oraer:	
Applicant was the	ne owner of the pro	perty at the time of v	iolation	
		er the imposition of t		ts
	property from:			
	hase the property			<del></del>
las the Division of C	ode Enforcement	verified the violation	on has bee	en corrected? Yes 🗌 No 🗹
Who corrected	I the violation?	Respondent		County
		New Owner	一	Unknown
The hurden is on the	Applicant to show			o roduced
The burden is on the	Applicant to snov	v why the intercost	s siloula b	e reduced.
SUMMARIZE YOUR	REQUEST FOR	R FINE REVIEW,	INCLUD	ING THE FACTORS TO BE
CONSIDERED BY TH	E HEARING EXAM	IINER (Attach additi	onal pages	, if necessary):
SEE ATTAC	HED SUMMARY	OF REQUEST		
	\all			
1	Was III Le	e /		116/10
olicant's Signature:	MANGON ADV	4		Date: 11/8/19
`	As Agent for Ow	ner		. ,
	\~	/ /		

### **SUMMARY OF REQUEST**

This is a request is made by RaceTrac Petroleum, Inc. ("RaceTrac") to reduce and/or mitigate the existing fine, and to stop additional fines from accruing.

The purpose of code enforcement and the fines associated therewith is to provide an equitable, expeditious, effective, and inexpensive method of enforcing regulations. (See Section 162.02, F.S.) In this case, RaceTrac was not provided with adequate notice of the store signage violation and was not able to bring the property into compliance prior to the hearing before the Special Magistrate.

RaceTrac learned of the violation on November 1, 2019, when a member of the local media requested comment due to the large fine that was levied against the convenience store. Of note, the media outlet questioned if RaceTrac had even been aware a fine was levied due to the large amount in arrears. Upon learning of the alleged violation, RaceTrac immediately contacted the Village of Estero and requested a copy of all documents germane to the code enforcement action and the imposition of the lien.

The Village of Estero provided information to RaceTrac through their code enforcement officer, Stanley Knight, and through the production of public records. The production included a "Case Overview." The "Case Overview," as attached hereto as Exhibit A, provided RaceTrac with a chronology. The "Case Overview" indicates that a complaint was filed against RaceTrac on March 2, 2017, and a courtesy notice was issued that same date. It is unclear what the content of the courtesy notice was and where it was sent because that information was not provided with the public records production. One thing is for sure, however, RaceTrac did not receive that notice.

Section 162.12, F.S., provides that all notices must be provided to the alleged violator by certified mail, to the address listed in the tax collector's office for tax notices or to the address listed in the county property appraiser's database. The local government may also provide an additional notice to any other address it may find for the property owner. For property owned by a corporation, notices may be provided to the registered agent. The facility in question is owned by a corporation. The Florida Statutes provides that after the certified mail is not signed and received the notice can be provided by leaving the notice with the manager.

An affidavit of non-compliance was issued by the Village on May 24, 2017. The documentation provided to RaceTrac through its public records request includes a document which indicates that the notice was *not deliverable* as addressed. A copy of the May 24<sup>th</sup>, 2017 returned document is attached hereto as Exhibit "B." Again, RaceTrac did not have notice of yet another critical document.

Another "Notice of Violation" was issued on June 7, 2017, but the Case Overview does not indicate if it was sent or where it was sent. Once again, RaceTrac did not receive notice of this violation.

A notice of hearing was allegedly issued on June 26, 2017. The public records request documentation includes a returned document dated June 28, 2017, which indicates that it was

returned to the Village of Estero because there is no "receptacle" and the USPS was "unable to forward." A copy of the returned envelope is attached hereto as Exhibit "C."

An affidavit of non-compliance was allegedly issued on August 14, 2017. The public records request documentation contains documentation from the USPS which confirms that the notice sent to RaceTrac was undeliverable at the address used by the Village. The postmark on the undeliverable letter is dated August 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2017. A copy of the document is attached hereto as Exhibit "D."

It appears that some form of documentation was sent to a post office box that is not identified as an address for RaceTrac. The receipt was signed for by what appears to be a Mr. Russell. A copy of the document is attached hereto as Exhibit "E."

A Final Order was issued by the Hearing Officer in March of 2018. The final order states that RaceTrac received proper notice. RaceTrac did not receive proper notice in accordance with the Florida Statute or otherwise. The property appraiser's website has numerous locations in Lee County owned by Racetrac Petroleum, Inc. The store in question has an address listed as PO Box 2437, Smyrna, Ga. There are several stores on the Property Appraiser's website that use this address. There are numerous stores that use 200 Galleria Parkway, Suite 900, Atlanta, Georgia. The notices that were returned did not use either of these addresses. If one utilizes SunBiz the Atlanta, Georgia address is provided along with a list of officers.

The Village of Estero opted to use Chapter 162, F.S. when it adopted Ordinance 2015-14. Section 162.06, F.S. provides that the violator is to be given a notice and a reasonable time to correct the violation. As noted above, RaceTrac never received notice, and was therefore not provided a reasonable time to correct the violation. The Village of Estero should have recognized that they were not using the address provided on the property appraiser's website, or the registered agent when the notices were returned as undeliverable.

Late last week, the Code Enforcement officer advised RaceTrac that a notice was provided to the store manager. This fact cannot be confirmed based on the documents in the file nor with the store manager. It is important to note that the store manager in this instance is not authorized to deal with legal matters.

Critically, RaceTrac has taken immediate steps to not only address, but cure the violation. In fact, RaceTrac cured the violation less than a week after being made aware of the violation. A picture is included herewith that demonstrates that the sign that was the subject of the Notice of Violation has been removed. A copy of the photograph is attached hereto as Exhibit "F."

Due to no fault of its own, RaceTrac was not advised of the violation. RaceTrac respectfully requests that the fine be reduced to actual administrative cost of the Village, since (1) RaceTrac was not provided with notice and an opportunity to cure, and (2) once RaceTrac was made aware of the violation, RaceTrac acted in good faith and cured the violation in less than a week's time.

#### **Case Overview**

### **Property/Incident Information**

Owner Address Site Address

RACETRAC PETROLEUM PO BOX 56607 ATLANTA, GA 22350 S TAMIAMI TRL , ESTERO,

INC 30343 FL33928

**Legal Description** 

**Description** 

Window signage exceeds allowable 30% coverage

Case Status Open Case # 17060006 Date Next Inspection 11/1/2019

Notes Window signage exceeds allowable 30% coverage

Please remove the large swirl sign on the south window and any other sign that exceeds the

allowable coverage

#### **Documents Issued**

Date Issue	<b>Document Type</b>
3/2/2017	Complaint
3/2/2017	Courtesy Notice
5/24/2017	Affidavit of Non-Compliance
6/7/2017	Notice of Violation
6/26/2017	Notice of Hearing
8/14/2017	Affidavit of Non-Compliance
9/25/2017	Affidavit of Non-Compliance
10/19/2017	Affidavit of Non-Compliance
3/23/2018	Final Order
3/23/2018	Order of Imposition/Liens
11/1/2019	Affidavit of Non-Compliance

#### **Violations**

Ordinance/Regulation	Section	Description	Date Complied
CHAPTER 30 - SIGNS	Section 30-6 Permitted signs.	Window signs. Window signs which identify or advertise activities, services, goods or products available within the building, and which collectively cover 30 percent or less of the window glass surface area. Lettering on windows and signs required by federal or state laws or regulations of agencies thereof, business information signs, and business affiliation signs are excluded from the computation of the sign area limitations and restrictions	Not in Compliance - Reinspection Date: 11/1/2019

#### **Fines**

Fine Type	<u>Section</u>	Fine Type	Date Complied	<u>Total Fine</u>
Individual Violation	Section 30-6 Permitted signs.	Individual Violation		\$187,500.00





## **Case Overview**

Admin Fixed	Admin Fixed		\$331.50
		Total Fine	\$187,831.50

# **Payments**

<u>Date</u>	Payment Type Name		<u>Amount</u>
	Total Payments	T	\$0.00
	Fees Outstanding	T	\$187,831.50

The Village of Estero Code Compliance Dept 9401 Corkscrew Palms Circle Estero, FL 33928

HT NAMERS
HILLS HAN "J.



RACETRAC 22350 S TAMIAMI TRL ESTERO, FL 33928 NIXIE 339 7E 1 6605/30/17 NOT DELIVERABLE AS ADDRESSED UNABLE TO FORWARD

8C: 33928627501 \*0775-05630-25-37

EXHIBIT

GERTIFIED MAI

海海州 河南

9401 Corkscrew Palms Circle

Estero, FL 33928

The Village of Estero Code Compliance Dept

\$ 02 1P \$ 006.650 \$ 0001977713 JUN 26 2017 MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 33928

STATES POST

22350 South Tamiami Trail Race Trac

WALAGE OF ESTERO

RECEIVED JUN 30 2017

33928627591 \*0975-02209-27737 i.i

NO WAT! RECEPTATIES UNABLE TO FORWARD on m Estero, FL 3392° Code Case: 17C

8866/28/27

01 21 21

EXHIBIT

'illage of Estero Compliance Dept Corpscrew Palms Circle 7, FL 33928

FT MYERS. FL 339 23 ALYS 'IT FN 6 L

6 02 1P \$ 000,460 0001977713 AUG 23 2017 MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 33928

RACETRAC PETROLEUM, INC

22350 S TAMIAMI TRAIL ESTERO, FL

VILLAGE OF ESTERO 7107 1 n 439

NOT DELIVERABLE AS ADDRESSED UNABLE TO FORWARD 339 05 1 NIXIE

. 9314089925453204

**EXHIBIT** 

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION	COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON D	DELIVERY
■ Complete items 1, 2, and 3. ■ Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you. ■ Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.  1. Article Addressed to:  Raccheac Petroleum clace P.O. Bax 50007  Atlanta CA 30343	A. Signature  Received by (Printed Name)  D. Is delivery address different from If YES, enter delivery address in	C. Date of Delivery
William	The second second	

