

VILLAGE OF ESTERO COUNCIL WORKSHOP  
WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 13, 2021, 9:30 A.M.

DISCLAIMER:

THIS FILE REPRESENTS AN UNEDITED VERSION OF REALTIME  
CAPTIONING WHICH SHOULD NEITHER BE RELIED UPON FOR COMPLETE  
ACCURACY NOR USED AS A VERBATIM TRANSCRIPT.  
ANY PERSON WHO NEEDS A VERBATIM TRANSCRIPT OF THE  
PROCEEDINGS MAY NEED TO HIRE A COURT REPORTER.

09:30:09 >>Vice-Mayor Errington: GOOD MORNING.  
09:30:10 I RECEIVED A CALL FROM MAYOR BILL RIBBLE, AND HE WILL BE  
09:30:13 ABOUT 20 TO 30 MINUTES LATE.  
09:30:16 SO I HAVE BEEN ASKED TO FILL IN, AND I'M HAPPY TO DO SO.  
09:30:20 AT THIS TIME, I WOULD LIKE TO WELCOME YOU TO THE JANUARY  
09:30:23 13th WORKSHOP.  
09:30:29 I'M GLAD THAT YOU ARE HERE.  
09:30:31 ON BEHALF OF THE COUNCIL, THANK YOU FOR WEARING YOUR MASKS  
09:30:34 AND THANK YOU FOR YOUR SOCIAL DISTANCING.  
09:30:38 THANK YOU VERY MUCH.  
09:30:38 AT THIS TIME, WE JUST HAD SOME NEWS THAT CAME TO US BEFORE  
09:30:44 THE INVOCATION THAT THE MAYOR OF CAPE CORAL DIED OF A HEART  
09:30:55 ATTACK LAST NIGHT OR THIS MORNING.  
09:30:57 I'M NOT SURE.  
09:30:59 AT THIS TIME, LET'S HAVE A MOMENT OF SILENCE FOR JOE  
09:31:04 COVIELLO.  
09:31:07 AND THEN I'LL ASK FATHER TONY TO COME UP FROM OUR LADY OF  
09:31:11 LIGHT FOR OUR INVOCATION.

09:31:12 PLEASE, A MOMENT OF SILENCE.  
09:31:14 [MOMENT OF SILENCE]  
09:31:27 FATHER TONY, THANK YOU FOR BEING HERE.  
09:31:36 >> TODAY, MAY I BE OPEN TO OTHERS, THEIR IDEAS AND BELIEFS.  
09:31:41 RESPECT THE DIFFERENCES THAT MAY BE THERE, AND MAY I GROW TO  
09:31:44 UNDERSTAND MY OWN MOTIVES TODAY AND KNOWING THAT THE PEOPLE  
09:31:49 MAY ACT OUT OF FEAR BECAUSE THEY HAVE THE UNKNOWN.  
09:31:53 AND MAY I BE A FORCE FOR REPLACING THAT FEAR WITH INSIGHT  
09:31:57 AND HELPING ALL TO BE PATIENT AND KIND AS WE CONTINUE TO OUR  
09:32:04 DISCUSSIONS TODAY.  
09:32:05 STRENGTH, REAL STRENGTH, CAN ALWAYS BE FOUND WITHIN  
09:32:10 COMPROMISE, WORKING TOGETHER, AND MAY WE FIND A COMMON  
09:32:13 GROUND ENABLING US TO MOVE FORWARD WITH A SHARED PURPOSE.  
09:32:17 AND MAY WE, WHAT IS TRULY IMPORTANT AND UNITE US, FOCUS ON  
09:32:22 THAT TO BANISH ROADBLOCKS OF EGO AND FEAR AND TODAY, MAY I  
09:32:28 BE OPEN TO OTHERS' IDEAS AND BELIEFS AS WE SEEK THE COMMON  
09:32:33 GOOD FOR OUR COMMUNITY.  
09:32:35 SO BE IT.

09:32:39 >>Vice-Mayor Errington: THANK YOU.  
09:32:43 PLEASE JOIN ME NOW TO STAND IN PLEDGE OF THE ALLEGIANCE.  
09:32:48 [PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE]  
09:33:03 >>Vice-Mayor Errington: MADAM CLERK, WOULD YOU CALL THE  
09:33:06 ROLL, PLEASE?  
09:33:07 >>Carol Sacco: COUNCIL MEMBER LEVITAN?  
09:33:09 >>Howard Levitan: HERE.

09:33:11 >>Jon McLain: HERE.  
09:33:12 >>Jim Boesch: HERE.  
09:33:14 >>Nick Batos: HERE.  
09:33:16 >>Jim Wilson: HERE.  
09:33:18 >>Vice-Mayor Errington: HERE.  
09:33:19 >> MAYOR RIBBLE?  
09:33:23 >>Vice-Mayor Errington: THANK YOU.  
09:33:24 THE PURPOSE OF TODAY'S MEETING IS TO GO OVER THE LAND  
09:33:30 DEVELOPMENT CODE.  
09:33:31 I AM GOING TO TURN THIS OVER TO OUR VILLAGE MANAGER STEVE  
09:33:37 AND ASK TO YOU INTRODUCE THIS ITEM.  
09:33:38 >>Steven Sarkozy: THANK YOU, MAYOR AND MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.  
09:33:42 THAT IS CORRECT.  
09:33:43 THIS IS A SPECIAL WORKSHOP FOR THE PRIMARY PURPOSE OF  
09:33:45 DISCUSSING THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE.  
09:33:47 WE'VE BEEN IN THIS PROCESS FOR OVER A YEAR, SINCE ADOPTING  
09:33:55 OUR COMPREHENSIVE PLAN.  
09:33:57 ON THE HEELS OF THAT COMES THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE, WHICH  
09:34:01 GUIDES ALL OF THE DEVELOPMENT IN THE VILLAGE OF ESTERO AND  
09:34:04 ESTABLISHES STANDARDS AND GUIDELINES FOR THAT DEVELOPMENT  
09:34:08 PROCESS.  
09:34:08 WE HAVE A CONSULTANT WITH US HERE TODAY, AND WE HAVE A SHORT  
09:34:15 PRESENTATION AS WELL.  
09:34:17 I'LL TURN THIS OVER TO MARY GIBBS, WHO IS VERY CAPABLY  
09:34:20 GUIDING US THROUGH THIS PROCESS, AND ASK MARY TO PROVIDE A

09:34:24 FURTHER INTRODUCTION TO THE SPECIFICS OF THE CODE AND TEE US  
09:34:31 UP FOR HEARING PUBLIC COMMENTS.  
09:34:34 >>Mary Gibbs: GOOD MORNING, COUNCIL.  
09:34:36 WE ARE HERE TODAY.  
09:34:37 WE'RE WRAPPING UP THIS PROCESS OF OUR FIRST LAND DEVELOPMENT  
09:34:39 CODE OF OUR OWN, AND THIS IS THE FINAL WORKSHOP THAT WE'RE  
09:34:42 HAVING.  
09:34:43 YOU MIGHT RECALL WE HAD A SERIES OF WORKSHOPS IN OCTOBER,  
09:34:46 NOVEMBER, AND DECEMBER, WITH THE COUNCIL, THE PLANNING AND  
09:34:49 ZONING BOARD AND THE DESIGN REVIEW BOARD.  
09:34:51 AND THEN THE PLANNING AND ZONING BOARD HAD A PUBLIC HEARING  
09:34:53 ON DECEMBER 15th, AND THE COUNCIL HAD ITS FIRST PUBLIC  
09:34:58 HEARING ON DECEMBER 9th.  
09:34:59 TODAY WE HAVE THE WORKSHOP, AND IN TWO WEEKS WE HAVE THE

09:35:04 SECOND PUBLIC HEARING, AND THAT WILL BE A TOTAL OF 13  
09:35:06 MEETINGS SINCE OCTOBER.  
09:35:08 WE'VE BEEN VERY BUSY, AS YOU RECALL.  
09:35:11 WHAT WE WOULD LIKE TO DO TODAY AND WHAT THE COUNCIL SAID  
09:35:16 WHEN THEY SET UP THIS WORKSHOP IS THAT WE WERE ACCUMULATING  
09:35:19 THE COMMENTS THAT WE'VE GOTTEN AT THE PUBLIC HEARINGS AND AT  
09:35:23 THE DIFFERENT MEETINGS AND WORKSHOPS AND TRIED TO PUT THESE  
09:35:29 COMMENTS TOGETHER AND HAVE THE CONSULTANTS LOOK AT THEM AND  
09:35:31 SEE IF WE HAVE ANY RECOMMENDATIONS FOR CHANGES TO PROPOSE TO  
09:35:34 THE COUNCIL.  
09:35:34 SO WE'VE GOTTEN QUITE A FEW LETTERS AND THE E-COMMENTS.

09:35:40 COMMENTS FROM THE PLANNING AND ZONING BOARD AND THE DESIGN  
09:35:42 REVIEW BOARD.  
09:35:43 WE'VE GOT COMMENTS FROM FORMER MEMBERS OF THE DESIGN REVIEW  
09:35:46 BOARD AS WELL.  
09:35:47 AND THEN WE ALSO REACHED OUT TO WHAT I CALL INTERESTED  
09:35:51 PARTIES LIST, SO IT WAS BASICALLY ANYBODY THAT HAS AN  
09:35:55 APPLICATION THAT'S IN PROCESS NOW, LAND USE ATTORNEYS,  
09:36:00 CONSULTANTS, PLANNERS, ENGINEERS, ARCHITECTS, LANDSCAPE  
09:36:04 ARCHITECTS.  
09:36:05 SO WE'VE REACHED OUT WITH E-MAILS TO THEM TO SEND ALL THIS  
09:36:08 INFORMATION TO THEM SO THAT THEY WOULD KNOW IT WAS  
09:36:10 AVAILABLE.  
09:36:11 AND WE ALSO HAVE POSTED ALL THIS INFORMATION ON OUR WEBSITE  
09:36:15 AS WELL.  
09:36:16 WE HAD MARILYN SEND OUT NOTIFICATIONS OF DIFFERENT MEETINGS.  
09:36:20 WE'VE TRIED TO DO THE BROAD OUTREACH.  
09:36:23 WE HAD NEWSPAPER ADS.  
09:36:24 WE'LL HAVE A BIG NEWSPAPER AD COMING UP IN THE PAPER SOON  
09:36:27 FOR THE SECOND PUBLIC HEARING.  
09:36:28 SO WE'RE TRYING TO GET A BROAD OUTREACH OF PUBLIC OPINION  
09:36:32 AND COMMENTS.  
09:36:34 WHAT WE'VE DONE IS WE'VE -- WE INCLUDED EVERYTHING WE'VE  
09:36:40 GOTTEN TO DATE.  
09:36:41 IT'S IN YOUR PACKET, AT THE BACK OF YOUR PACKET.  
09:36:43 ALL THE LETTERS AND THE E-COMMENTS ARE IN THERE.

09:36:46 NOW, WHAT WE ASK THE CONSULTANTS TO DO IS TO GO THROUGH ALL  
09:36:50 THESE LETTERS AND E-COMMENTS WITH THE STAFF AND OUR LAND USE  
09:36:55 ATTORNEY, NANCY STROUD, AND WE ARE PROPOSING SEVERAL  
09:36:59 REVISIONS BASED ON THESE COMMENTS.  
09:37:01 THIS IS ATTACHED IN YOUR PACKET.  
09:37:04 IT'S CALLED "ADDENDUM OF RECOMMENDED CHANGES."  
09:37:07 IT'S ABOUT A 50-PAGE DOCUMENT.  
09:37:12 IF YOU LOOK AT THE DOCUMENT, THE ADDENDUM, THE CHANGES ARE  
09:37:16 HIGHLIGHTED BY CHAPTER.  
09:37:17 WHAT WE'VE DONE TO MAKE IT EASIER FOR YOU IS WE'VE DONE THE

09:37:20 LANGUAGE THAT WOULD COME OUT IT'S STRUCK THROUGH IN RED.  
09:37:24 THE NEW LANGUAGE IS UNDERLINED IN BLUE.  
09:37:26 WE THOUGHT THIS WOULD BE EASIER FOR YOU TO FOLLOW THE  
09:37:28 CHANGES, AND WE DIDN'T WANT TO REPRINT ANOTHER 500 PAGE  
09:37:33 DOCUMENT FOR YOU TO READ.  
09:37:35 THIS IS HOW IT'S FORMATTED.  
09:37:37 WE DON'T PLAN TO GO THROUGH THIS DOCUMENT PAGE BY PAGE.  
09:37:39 I CAN GIVE YOU A LITTLE HIGHLIGHT OF WHAT THE CHANGES ARE,  
09:37:43 BUT WE REALLY SET ASIDE THIS MEETING MORE FOR PUBLIC INPUT,  
09:37:47 BECAUSE WHEN YOU SET UP THE WORKSHOP, YOU SPECIFICALLY SAID  
09:37:51 WE WANT TO HAVE PUBLIC INPUT.  
09:37:52 SO IN THE DOCUMENT THAT'S CALLED, AGAIN, THE ADDENDUM OF  
09:37:56 CHANGES, THERE'S A LITTLE GRAY BOX THAT'S HIGHLIGHTED IN  
09:38:00 GRAY, AND THAT BASICALLY TELLS YOU WHO MADE THE DOCUMENT,  
09:38:03 WHETHER THIS IS A CHANGE PROPOSED BY THE STAFF OR IF THIS IS

09:38:06 A CHANGE THAT CAME UP AT PUBLIC INPUT OR FROM ONE OF OUR  
09:38:10 BOARDS.  
09:38:11 I CAN TELL YOU THAT THE COMMENTS, FOR THE MOST PART, WERE  
09:38:17 PRETTY TECHNICAL DETAILS.  
09:38:19 SO THE CHANGES THAT WE LOOKED THROUGH THE COMMENTS, AND WE  
09:38:22 THOUGHT WE COULD MAKE QUITE A FEW CHANGES.  
09:38:24 THERE'S SOME WE DIDN'T AGREE WITH AND WE DIDN'T CHANGE, BUT  
09:38:26 THE ONES THAT WE DID ARE IN THIS DOCUMENT.  
09:38:30 AND JUST TO GIVE YOU AN IDEA OF WHAT'S IN THE 50 PAGES, THE  
09:38:34 VAST MAJORITY OF THE CHANGES RELATE TO LANDSCAPING.  
09:38:37 AGAIN, THEY ARE TECHNICAL DETAILS.  
09:38:40 LIKE, YOU SHOULDN'T USE THIS PLANT.  
09:38:41 MAYBE YOU SHOULD USE THIS PLANT.  
09:38:43 THIS CALIPER SHOULD BE 2.5 INCHES, NOT 3.  
09:38:46 SO IT WAS VERY DETAIL SPECIFIC.  
09:38:48 AND THERE WERE QUITE A FEW OF THOSE.  
09:38:52 WE HAD SOME CLARIFICATIONS OF ARCHITECTURE SUGGESTED BY THE  
09:38:55 ARCHITECTS, MEDITERRANEAN SHOULDN'T SAY ORNATE.  
09:38:59 WE CHANGED THE WORD "ORNATE."  
09:39:01 THESE ARE THE TYPE OF DETAILS THAT YOU'RE LOOKING AT.  
09:39:04 A LARGE PORTION OF THIS ADDENDUM IS WHAT WE CALL THE  
09:39:09 CROSS-SECTION ILLUSTRATION.  
09:39:10 THOSE ARE FOR ROAD RIGHT-OF-WAY WIDTHS AND THOSE ARE  
09:39:13 ENGINEERING DRAWINGS.  
09:39:14 THEY WERE NOT INCLUDED IN THE FIRST DRAFT OF THE CODE

09:39:17 BECAUSE THEY WEREN'T READY.  
09:39:18 WE HAD JOHNSON ENGINEERING WORKING ON THEM.  
09:39:21 WE HAVE TO CHANGE THE ROAD RIGHT-OF-WAY WIDTHS AND SOME OF  
09:39:25 THE ENGINEERING SPECIFICATIONS BECAUSE WE HAVE REQUIREMENTS  
09:39:28 FOR SIDEWALKS AND BIKE PATHS.  
09:39:31 SO ADDITIONAL RIGHT-OF-WAY IS NEEDED.

09:39:33 AND THE CODE, THE DETAIL SPECS THAT WERE IN THE EXISTING  
09:39:37 CODE WERE PRETTY OLD AND OUT OF DATE.  
09:39:40 SO THAT'S A LARGE PORTION OF THE CHANGES.  
09:39:43 WE CHANGED SOME OF THE PARKING BASED ON SOME COMMENTS WE GOT  
09:39:48 FROM APPLICANTS.  
09:39:49 WE HAD A GLITCH AND WE LEFT OUT SOME PARKING FOR THESE  
09:39:53 LARGER COMPLEXES, LIKE COCONUT POINT.  
09:39:56 WE LEFT OUT THE MULTIPLE OCCUPANCY PARKING, SO WE PUT THAT  
09:40:01 BACK IN AND REVISED IT SLIGHTLY.  
09:40:03 THEN WE HAD SOME OPEN SPACE STANDARDS FOR SCHOOLS THAT WE  
09:40:06 DIDN'T HAVE ANY OPEN SPACE STANDARD.  
09:40:08 THERE WAS NONE IN THE EXISTING CODE, SO WE PUT THAT IN.  
09:40:11 SO THOSE ARE THE TYPE OF CHANGES.  
09:40:15 AGAIN, WE DIDN'T MAKE EVERY SINGLE CHANGE THAT WAS  
09:40:17 SUGGESTED, BUT WE MADE A VERY LARGE AMOUNT OF CHANGES.  
09:40:20 SO AS I SAID, WE'RE NOT GOING TO GO THROUGH THEM PAGE BY  
09:40:23 PAGE.  
09:40:23 IF YOU HAVE ANYTHING SPECIFIC, WE CAN EXPLAIN IT TO YOU OR  
09:40:26 WE CAN COMMENT ON THAT.

09:40:28 WE'VE GOT THE CONSULTANTS ON THE LINE, AND THEY ARE READY TO  
09:40:32 ADDRESS ANY OF THE COMMENTS THAT YOU HAVE.  
09:40:34 OF COURSE, WE'VE GOT OUR LAND USE ATTORNEY HERE TODAY, TOO.  
09:40:39 WE WILL TAKE NOTES ON PUBLIC INPUT AND WE CAN RESPOND TO  
09:40:44 THAT AS WELL.  
09:40:45 IF LATER YOU WANT US TO EXPLAIN SOME OF THE THINGS WE DIDN'T  
09:40:48 CHANGE, WE'RE HAPPY TO DO THAT AS WELL.  
09:40:51 DO YOU HAVE ANY SPECIFIC QUESTIONS RIGHT NOW THAT YOU WOULD  
09:40:56 LIKE TO ASK?  
09:40:57 WE DO HAVE A COUPLE OF THINGS WE WANT TO TALK ABOUT WITH  
09:41:00 REGARD TO THE COMBINED BOARD THAT WE NEED A LITTLE BIT OF  
09:41:04 DIRECTION ON, BUT WE CAN DO THAT AFTER WE TAKE PUBLIC INPUT.  
09:41:13 >>Nick Batos: MARY, COULD YOU JUST FOR EVERYBODY'S  
09:41:15 EDIFICATION, JUST GIVE US A LITTLE BIT MORE OF A BACKGROUND  
09:41:19 ON THE STYLES OF ARCHITECTURE THAT WILL AND WILL NOT BE  
09:41:24 PERMITTED?  
09:41:25 >>Mary Gibbs: YES.  
09:41:26 IN THE ARCHITECTURAL STYLES, WHAT WAS ALLOWED IN THE CURRENT  
09:41:32 CODE IS MEDITERRANEAN AND OLD FLORIDA.  
09:41:40 WHAT THE CONSULTANTS HAVE RECOMMENDED IS THAT WE EXPAND THAT  
09:41:40 SO THAT IT'S NOT QUITE SO STRICT BY ALLOWING OTHER STYLES,  
09:41:45 PRAIRIE STYLE AND VARIATIONS OF MEDITERRANEAN AND ICONIC  
09:41:47 STYLE WHICH WOULD BE SOMETHING LIKE THE LEE HEALTH BUILDING  
09:41:53 THAT'S NOT STRICTLY MEDITERRANEAN BUT HAS MEDITERRANEAN  
09:41:57 ELEMENTS AND VARIATIONS OF OLD FLORIDA, BUT STOPPING AT NOT  
  
09:42:02 ALLOWING THE MODERN ARCHITECTURE.  
09:42:04 THE DESIGN REVIEW BOARD AND THE PLANNING AND ZONING BOARD

09:42:08 HAD RECOMMENDED THAT WE ADD BRITISH WEST INDIES AND LIKE A  
09:42:14 COASTAL CONTEMPORARY STYLE.  
09:42:16 THOSE ARE A LITTLE NEBULOUS AND VERY HARD TO DETERMINE WHAT  
09:42:21 QUALIFIES AS COASTAL CONTEMPORARY.  
09:42:25 IT COULD BE VERY MODERNISTIC.  
09:42:26 SO THE STAFF IS NOT RECOMMENDING THAT WE CHANGE THAT.  
09:42:30 WE THINK THAT'S A LITTLE BIT TOO MODERN, BUT, OF COURSE,  
09:42:33 IT'S UP TO THE COUNCIL AS TO WHAT YOU WANT IN TERMS OF THE  
09:42:38 ARCHITECTURAL STYLE.  
09:42:47 >>Nick Batos: ANOTHER QUESTION.  
09:42:49 THERE'S BEEN A LOT OF DISCUSSION OVER THE LAST YEAR OR TWO  
09:42:52 ON COLORS.  
09:42:53 I NOTICED -- I THINK I KNOW WHAT YOU DID HERE.  
09:42:57 MAYBE YOU WANT TO POINT THAT OUT SO THAT WE UNDERSTAND THAT  
09:43:00 WE'RE GOING TO HAVE SOMEWHAT OF A CHANGE, BUT NOT A DRASTIC  
09:43:06 CHANGE?  
09:43:06 >>Mary Gibbs: RIGHT, AGAIN, ON THE BUILDING COLORS -- THE  
09:43:08 ONE THING ON THE ARCHITECTURAL STYLES, THERE'S ONE THING I  
09:43:11 WANTED TO ADD ON THAT AS WELL.  
09:43:12 LET ME CLARIFY THAT THE ARCHITECTURAL STYLES AND THE COLORS  
09:43:18 DO NOT APPLY TO SINGLE-FAMILY DEVELOPMENTS.  
09:43:21 SO THERE WAS SOME CONFUSION.  
09:43:24 THEY PRIMARILY APPLY TO THE COMMERCIAL BUILDINGS, AND THE  
  
09:43:27 IDEA IS THESE ROADS ON THE MAJOR FRONTAGES, YOU WANT THEM TO  
09:43:30 BE ATTRACTIVE, BUT WE DON'T REGULATE SINGLE-FAMILY  
09:43:34 DEVELOPMENTS, SO LET ME MAKE THAT -- LET ME CLARIFY THAT.  
09:43:35 WITH REGARD TO THE COLORS, THE EXISTING LANGUAGE IN THE CODE  
09:43:35 SAYS THAT EXTERIOR BUILDING COLORS HAVE TO BE WARM EARTH  
09:43:45 TONES OR SUBDUED PASTELS.  
09:43:48 WHAT HAS COME UP WITH THE TREND TOWARDS THE GRAY AND WHITE  
09:43:52 IS WE HAVE GOTTEN A LOT OF REQUESTS FOR PRIMARILY GRAY OR  
09:43:55 WHITE BUILDINGS.  
09:43:56 WE WENT TO THE DESIGN REVIEW BOARD, AND IN THE STAFF'S  
09:44:00 OPINION, GRAY OR WHITE IS NOT AN EARTH TONE COLOR.  
09:44:03 IT SHOULD NOT BE ALLOWED.  
09:44:05 BUT WE WROTE IN SOME LANGUAGE THAT SAID -- SO WE'RE  
09:44:11 LOOSENING THIS UP A LITTLE BIT IN THE CODE TO SAY THAT WHITE  
09:44:14 OR GRAY COULD BE CONSIDERED BUT NOT AS THE PREDOMINANT  
09:44:19 COLOR.  
09:44:20 YOU COULD ALLOW MIXING OF GRAYS AND WHITES OR OTHER COLORS,  
09:44:24 BUT IT WOULDN'T BE THE PREDOMINANT COLOR.  
09:44:28 BASICALLY, YOU COULDN'T PAINT THE WHOLE BUILDING WHITE.  
09:44:30 THE DESIGN REVIEW BOARD LOOKED AT THAT LANGUAGE, AND THEY  
09:44:33 SUGGESTED INSTEAD OF SAYING WHITE OR GRAY ARE PROHIBITED AS  
09:44:37 A PREDOMINANT COLOR, THAT IT SHOULD SAY WHITE OR GRAY ARE  
09:44:41 DISCOURAGED AS A PREDOMINANT COLOR.  
09:44:44 THE REASON FOR THAT IS THEY THOUGHT THAT SOME WARM GRAYS AND

09:44:47 SOME WARM WHITES MIGHT BE ACCEPTABLE, BUT LIKE THE DEEPER

09:44:53 TONES OF GRAYS THAT LOOK LIKE BATTLESHIPS ARE NOT  
09:44:56 ATTRACTIVE.  
09:44:57 SO THEY SUGGESTED WHITE OR GRAY COULD BE -- SHOULD BE  
09:45:02 DISCOURAGED AS A PREDOMINANT COLOR BUT COULD BE CONSIDERED  
09:45:06 SUBJECT TO THEIR APPROVAL.  
09:45:07 SO THAT WAS A LITTLE DIFFERENT LANGUAGE ON THE COLORS.  
09:45:11 >>Nick Batos: WHICH ONE DID YOU END UP PUTTING IN HERE?  
09:45:14 THAT'S NOT WHAT YOU ENDED UP PUTTING IN HERE.  
09:45:18 >>Mary Gibbs: THE STAFF RECOMMENDATION IS WHITE OR GRAY ARE  
09:45:19 PROHIBITED AS PREDOMINANT COLOR.  
09:45:22 BUT THE DESIGN REVIEW BOARD WAS A LITTLE MORE FLEXIBLE WITH  
09:45:25 THEIR RECOMMENDATION.  
09:45:29 >>Nick Batos: GO BACK TO SOMETHING YOU SAID A FEW SECONDS  
09:45:31 AGO.  
09:45:31 YOU MADE A CORRECTION OR QUALIFIED IT THAT THE ARCHITECTURAL  
09:45:37 STYLE ONLY APPLIED TO SINGLE-FAMILY.  
09:45:40 DID THAT ALSO INCLUDE CONDOS?  
09:45:43 >>Mary Gibbs: NO, THE WAY THE CODE IS WRITTEN AND HAS BEEN  
09:45:46 -- WE DIDN'T CHANGE THIS, THIS IS HOW IT HAS BEEN -- THAT IT  
09:45:50 APPLIES TO EVERYTHING EXCEPT SINGLE-FAMILY.  
09:45:54 MULTIFAMILY BUILDINGS ARE SPECIFICALLY -- IT DOES APPLY TO  
09:45:58 MULTIFAMILY.  
09:45:58 >>Nick Batos: IN OTHER WORDS, IN A COMMUNITY THAT HAS BOTH  
09:46:04 CONDOS AND SINGLE-FAMILY HOMES, WHICH MOST OF OUR  
09:46:09 COMMUNITIES HAVE THAT, THE SINGLE-FAMILY HOME CAN DO

09:46:14 ANYTHING THAT COLOR-WISE THEY WANT, BUT THE CONDO CANNOT?  
09:46:22 >>Mary Gibbs: WELL, A CONDO OR MULTIFAMILY.  
09:46:24 APARTMENT OR CONDO, YEAH.  
09:46:25 >>Nick Batos: TO ME, I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHY THERE WOULD BE A  
09:46:30 DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE TWO AS FAR AS THE STYLE OF THE  
09:46:33 ARCHITECTURE OR THE COLORING.  
09:46:36 IF IT'S GOOD FOR ONE, WHY -- WITHIN THE SAME COMMUNITY, WHY  
09:46:42 WOULDN'T IT BE -- IT DOESN'T MAKE SENSE TO ME.  
09:46:46 >>Mary Gibbs: THIS CODE WAS WRITTEN -- THIS WAS NOT BY ME OR  
09:46:50 THE CONSULTANTS.  
09:46:51 THIS IS THE OLD CODE FROM BACK WHEN ESTERO DID THE COMMUNITY  
09:46:55 PLAN.  
09:46:57 >>Nick Batos: WE'RE SPENDING AN ENORMOUS AMOUNT OF TIME AND  
09:47:00 MONEY TO UPGRADE, TO CORRECT IT SO IT'S MORE USABLE.  
09:47:09 IT JUST SEEMS IF A DEVELOPER IS DEVELOPING A COMMUNITY WITH  
09:47:11 BOTH TYPES OF HOMES IN THERE, HE'D WANT TO CARRY OUT A THEME  
09:47:16 IN SOME CASES.  
09:47:18 AND THIS WOULD PREVENT THAT FROM HAPPENING.  
09:47:22 >>Howard Levitan: CAN I JUMP IN ON THIS, PLEASE?  
09:47:29 >>Vice-Mayor Errington: HOWARD.

09:47:29 >>Howard Levitan: I HAVE A COUPLE OF COMMENTS ABOUT BOTH THE  
09:47:32 ARCHITECTURAL STYLES AND THE COLORS.  
09:47:36 I THINK THAT WE REALLY NEED TO BE EVOLUTIONARY IN OUR LAND  
09:47:42 DEVELOPMENT CODE BY EXPANDING THE CHOICES, WHICH WE'VE DONE.  
09:47:47 WE'VE GONE FROM TWO MAIN CHOICES OF MEDITERRANEAN AND

09:47:51 FLORIDA VERNACULAR TO 12 DIFFERENT VARIATIONS OF THOSE.  
09:47:56 I THINK WE'VE DONE A REALLY GOOD JOB IN THE LAND DEVELOPMENT  
09:48:01 CODE OF BROADENING THE PALETTES, SO CALLED, BUT WITHOUT  
09:48:06 CHANGING THE ORIGINAL ARCHITECTURAL SCHEME FOR ESTERO.  
09:48:15 THIS WAS DONE PRETTY MUCH TO COMPLY WITH OUR COMPREHENSIVE  
09:48:20 PLAN, WHICH SAYS THAT WE WANTED TO HAVE UNIFORM DESIGN  
09:48:27 STANDARDS FOR ESTERO.

09:48:30 WITH RESPECT TO COLORS, THERE'S A BIG DIFFERENCE, COUNCILMAN  
09:48:34 BATOS, BETWEEN MULTIFAMILY HOUSING AND SINGLE-FAMILY  
09:48:38 HOUSING.

09:48:38 IN THE MULTIFAMILY HOUSING, WE'VE DONE A LOT TO REDUCE THE  
09:48:46 MASSING OF THESE LARGE CONDOMINIUM BUILDINGS.

09:48:48 IF YOU LOOK AT GENOVA, FOR EXAMPLE, WHICH SITS -- WHICH AT  
09:48:54 OUR REQUEST SITS RIGHT ON THE ROAD ON THREE OAKS AND  
09:48:57 CORKSCREW.

09:48:59 WITHOUT THOSE COLOR BANS, THIS WOULD BE A HUGE MASSIVE  
09:49:06 BUILDING.

09:49:07 THE WHOLE PURPOSE FOR THAT -- AND WE'VE BEEN DOING THIS IN  
09:49:10 ESTERO SINCE 2004 -- WAS TO TAKE THOSE KIND OF BUILDINGS AND  
09:49:14 USE COLOR, PARTICULARLY THE MEDITERRANEAN COLOR, TO REDUCE  
09:49:19 THE MASSING SO THEY DON'T LOOK SO BAD AS YOU'RE DRIVING DOWN  
09:49:23 THE ROADS.

09:49:24 YOU CAN'T MAKE A DISTINCTION BETWEEN WHAT'S INSIDE GATED  
09:49:29 COMMUNITIES AND WHAT'S NOT INSIDE GATED COMMUNITIES.  
09:49:32 IT'S THE SAME ISSUE.

09:49:33 THAT WOULD JUST START A COMPLETE SLIPPERY SLOPE.

09:49:37 WHAT RULES APPLY INSIDE THE GATES.

09:49:39 WHAT RULES DON'T APPLY INSIDE THE GATES.

09:49:41 SO THAT'S WHAT I LIKE TO SAY ABOUT ARCHITECTURAL STYLES.

09:49:44 WITH RESPECT TO COLOR, AGAIN, THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN  
09:49:49 REQUIRES THAT WE HAVE UNIFIED COLOR SCHEMES AND UNIFIED  
09:49:54 DESIGN ELEMENTS.

09:49:56 IF WE START NOW AND GO BACKWARDS ON THIS AND SAY THAT, OH,  
09:50:02 IT'S OKAY.

09:50:03 WE CAN HAVE PREDOMINANTLY WHITES AND GRAYS, WE'RE REALLY  
09:50:09 GOING TO GET INTO TROUBLE.

09:50:10 AND WE'VE ALREADY SEEN EXAMPLES OF THAT IN APPLICATIONS TO  
09:50:15 US.

09:50:16 IF YOU WANT TO -- WE HAVE A BUILDING ON PART OF COCONUT  
09:50:21 POINT SOUTH OF COCONUT ROAD, EXTRA SPACE STORAGE.

09:50:27 IT WAS ONE OF THE ORIGINAL BUILDINGS THAT WERE BUILT IN



09:50:30 ESTERO.  
09:50:32 IT WON AWARDS.  
09:50:33 I DON'T KNOW IF YOU CAN SEE THIS ON YOUR SCREENS.  
09:50:36 IT WON AWARDS.  
09:50:37 WE DID THIS AGAIN, PUT IT RIGHT UP AGAINST THE ROAD AND WE  
09:50:41 BANDED IT WITH COLOR TO CUT DOWN ON THE MASSING.  
09:50:44 THIS IS WHAT THEY WANT TO CHANGE IT TO WITH WHITES, GRAYS,  
09:50:49 AND GREEN COLOR.  
09:50:52 NOW, THAT HASN'T COME TO US FOR APPROVAL YET, BUT IT WILL AT  
  
09:50:56 SOME POINT.  
09:50:57 I THINK THIS IS EXACTLY THE SLIPPERY SLOPE THAT WE WANT TO  
09:51:03 PREVENT FROM HAPPENING.  
09:51:04 THE SAME THING HAPPENED ON THE STOCK PROJECT AT ESTERO  
09:51:07 CROSSING WHERE THEY CAME TO US WITH A COASTAL CONTEMPORARY  
09:51:11 VERSION.  
09:51:11 AND WE AT THE COUNCIL ASKED THEM TO CHANGE IT BACK TO  
09:51:14 MEDITERRANEAN BECAUSE IT JUST DIDN'T FIT IN WITH EVERYTHING  
09:51:17 ELSE AROUND IT.  
09:51:18 THAT'S WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO MAKE CERTAIN DOESN'T HAPPEN,  
09:51:21 THAT WE WIND UP LOOKING LIKE ALL THE REST OF 41 BOTH NORTH  
09:51:26 AND SOUTH OF US.  
09:51:28 AND IF YOU LOOKED AT THIS EXTRA SPACE STORAGE BUILDINGS, ONE  
09:51:32 MILE TO THE NORTH OF US AND ONE MILE TO THE SOUTH OF US, YOU  
09:51:35 WILL SEE WHITE BUILDINGS WITH GREEN -- GREEN, LIME GREEN  
09:51:42 DETAILS.  
09:51:43 THAT'S NOT WHAT WE WANT ESTERO TO LOOK LIKE.  
09:51:45 AND I WOULD HOPE WE DON'T GO DOWN THAT SLOPE.  
09:51:48 I THINK THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE REPRESENTS A REAL  
09:51:52 COMPROMISE TO BROADEN THE PALETTE WITHOUT MAKING CHANGES  
09:51:56 THAT WILL FOREVER IMPACT ESTERO.  
09:52:03 >>Vice-Mayor Errington: THANK YOU, COUNCIL MEMBER LEVITAN.  
09:52:05 ANYONE ELSE ON COUNCIL HAVE ANY COMMENTS?  
09:52:10 >>Jon McLain: I WOULD LIKE TO KEY IN ON WHAT HOWARD WAS  
09:52:12 SAYING.  
  
09:52:12 THE WHITE AND GRAY DOES START TO BLEND TOWARD THAT COASTAL  
09:52:16 THEME.  
09:52:16 I THINK IT'S REALLY THE LANGUAGE THAT WE PUT INTO THIS.  
09:52:20 WE'RE NOT PROHIBITING WHITE AND LIGHT GRAYS, BUT I'M NOT  
09:52:25 SURE -- WE WANT TO MAKE SURE THE LANGUAGE IS CLEAR.  
09:52:32 I SURE DON'T WANT TO ENCOURAGE THAT.  
09:52:35 IT SEEMS LIKE THE ORIGINAL LANGUAGE WHERE WE DISCOURAGE,  
09:52:42 THAT IS MORE APPROPRIATE -- I CAN'T REMEMBER THE LANGUAGE  
09:52:44 THAT WAS RECOMMENDED BY THE DESIGN REVIEW BOARD, BUT I SURE  
09:52:49 DON'T WANT TO ENCOURAGE PEOPLE TO BE USING -- THINKING ABOUT  
09:52:52 THOSE COLORS AS A LEAD BECAUSE IT IS THE TREND OUTSIDE OF  
09:52:55 ESTERO.

09:52:57 LIKE HOWARD SAID, WE WANT TO MAINTAIN THIS COLOR DECORUM  
09:53:02 THAT WE'VE HAD FOR YEARS IN HERE, GOING FORWARD -- CHANGE  
09:53:05 WITH THE TRENDS THAT ARE IN THERE.  
09:53:05 WHATEVER THE LANGUAGE CAN BE DONE THAT COULD BE UNDERSTOOD,  
09:53:10 I'D ENCOURAGE OUR PEOPLE THAT ARE EXPERTS TO MODIFY THAT SO  
09:53:14 IT'S APPROPRIATE.  
09:53:17 >>Vice-Mayor Errington: THANK YOU, JON.  
09:53:18 ANYONE ELSE?  
09:53:23 >>Nick Batos: I HAVE COMMENTS OF THE GENERAL DOCUMENT.  
09:53:26 >>Vice-Mayor Errington: MARY, IS THAT APPROPRIATE NOW?  
09:53:28 >>Mary Gibbs: I THINK THAT'S FINE IF YOU ALL WANT TO  
09:53:30 DISCUSS, AND THEN WE CAN DO THE PUBLIC INPUT.  
09:53:33 IT REALLY IS UP TO YOU ALL HOW YOU WANT TO HANDLE THAT.

09:53:36 >>Vice-Mayor Errington: LET'S GO AHEAD AND DO IT.  
09:53:41 >>Jon McLain: I'M NOT AN EXPERT ON THIS.  
09:53:43 BUT I WANT TO GET CONTINUITY IN SOME OF THESE DOCUMENTS IN  
09:53:45 HERE.  
09:53:46 LET ME GO BY A COUPLE OF PAGES.  
09:53:47 PAGE 8, COMMENT NUMBER 13, THAT HAS TO DO WITH EV CHARGING  
09:53:56 STATIONS.  
09:53:57 I JUST HAD A QUESTION.  
09:53:58 IT SEEMS LIKE WE'RE NOT CONSISTENT.  
09:54:01 THE INTENT HERE WAS THAT SMALL DEVELOPMENTS THAT HAVE  
09:54:04 LIMITED NUMBER OF PARKING THAT WE WERE GOING TO SAY YOU  
09:54:07 DON'T HAVE TO -- IF YOU DON'T HAVE 60 PARKING SPACES OR  
09:54:13 MORE, THEN YOU DON'T HAVE TO HAVE AN EV CHARGING STATION.  
09:54:20 RIGHT BELOW THAT IN C, IT TALKS ABOUT HAVING THREE CHARGING  
09:54:22 STATIONS FOR 150 PARKING SPACES.  
09:54:24 IT SEEMS TO ME TO BE CONSISTENT.  
09:54:27 WHY WOULD WE NOT SAY THAT WE HAVE 50 PARKING SPACES, YOU  
09:54:31 REQUIRE AT LEAST ONE CHARGING STATION AS OPPOSED TO THE 60?  
09:54:35 SEEMS TO BE A DISCONNECT IN THERE.  
09:54:38 DO YOU UNDERSTAND WHAT I'M SAYING THERE?  
09:54:39 >>Mary Gibbs: I DO.  
09:54:40 I THINK I'M GOING TO ASK THE CONSULTANTS IF THEY RECALL WHAT  
09:54:45 WE DID HERE.  
09:54:46 I KNOW WE CHANGED IT BECAUSE JIM WALLACE MADE A SUGGESTION  
09:54:49 AND SAID HE THOUGHT IT WAS A LITTLE DISPARATE FOR WHAT WE

09:54:53 WERE REQUIRING FOR SMALL VERSUS LARGE.  
09:54:55 BUT I DON'T REMEMBER EXACTLY.  
09:54:56 I'LL ASK CRAIG OR DAVID IF THEY REMEMBER.  
09:55:00 >>Jon McLain: IT ORIGINALLY WAS WRITTEN AT 25 SPACES  
09:55:03 REQUIRED A CHARGING STATION.  
09:55:04 I THINK THAT'S A LITTLE PROHIBITIVE.  
09:55:06 AND I WOULD JUST SAY JUST FOR UNIFORMITY WE SAY 50.  
09:55:11 THEN IF YOU HAVE 150, IT'S THREE.

09:55:13 IF YOU HAVE 200 OR MORE, MAYBE IT'S MULTIPLES OF 50, YOU  
09:55:16 HAVE TO PUT A CHARGING STATION.  
09:55:18 THAT'S MY COMMENT ON THAT.  
09:55:20 THEN ON PAGE 10, COMMENT NUMBER 17, IT LOOKS LIKE WE'RE  
09:55:32 TRYING TO SIMPLIFY THE DOCUMENT, WHICH I'M ALL IN FAVOR OF,  
09:55:37 BUT I'M NOT SURE WHY WE ELIMINATED THE DISCUSSION ABOUT  
09:55:40 TREES ADJACENT TO WALKWAYS, BIKE PATHS, RIGHT-OF-WAYS SHALL  
09:55:44 BE MAINTAINED, AND BLAH, BLAH, ALL THAT LANGUAGE IN THERE.  
09:55:50 THAT SEEMS TO CLARIFY WHAT WE'RE LOOKING FOR, AND WE'VE  
09:55:52 REMOVED IT, SO IT MAKES IT A LITTLE MORE VAGUE.  
09:55:56 I JUST HAD THE QUESTION, WHY REMOVE THAT?  
09:55:59 >>Mary Gibbs: OKAY.  
09:56:00 I THINK WHAT -- MAYBE WE CAN SEE WHO ALL HAS SPECIFIC  
09:56:05 QUESTIONS, AND THEN I CAN HAVE THE CONSULTANTS SEE IF THEY  
09:56:08 CAN EXPLAIN THESE ON THE ELECTRIC VEHICLE CHARGING STATION.  
09:56:12 WE'VE GOT JOHNSON ENGINEERING.  
09:56:15 WE'VE GOT LAURA OR MIKE TO ADDRESS THE COMMENTS ON THE  
  
09:56:18 LANDSCAPING.  
09:56:18 DOES ANYBODY ELSE HAVE ANY SPECIFIC QUESTIONS ON THIS  
09:56:22 DOCUMENT THAT WE NEED EXPLANATIONS ON?  
09:56:27 >>Vice-Mayor Errington: I WOULD LIKE TO ASK A QUESTION ABOUT  
09:56:28 THAT, TOO.  
09:56:29 I AGREE WITH JON ON THAT.  
09:56:32 IT SEEMS LIKE RIGHT NOW THAT WITH ALL OF THE ADVERTISING  
09:56:36 THAT'S GOING ON FOR ELECTRIC VEHICLES, THIS IS GOING TO BE A  
09:56:40 MAJOR DEAL IN THE FUTURE.  
09:56:43 AND WE WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE ARE LOOKING FORWARD AND  
09:56:47 THAT WE CAN COVER THAT WHEN THE TIME COMES BECAUSE I THINK  
09:56:51 IT IS COMING.  
09:56:51 I WOULD NEED MORE DISCUSSION ON THAT TO MAKE SURE WE FEEL  
09:56:56 LIKE WE ARE ADEQUATELY COVERED.  
09:57:02 >>Mary Gibbs: IF YOU DON'T HAVE ANY SPECIFIC QUESTIONS RIGHT  
09:57:04 NOW, LET ME ASK CRAIG OR DAVID IF THEY RECALL ON THE  
09:57:08 ELECTRIC, CRAIG, IF YOU HAVE A SUGGESTION ON THE ELECTRIC  
09:57:12 VEHICLE STATION?  
09:57:14 >>Craig Richardson: THE CHANGE WAS MADE IN RESPONSE TO A  
09:57:17 COMMENT THAT WAS MADE BY ONE OF THE DRB MEMBERS WHO  
09:57:21 BASICALLY RAISED -- I THINK IT WAS A LEGITIMATE POINT,  
09:57:26 RAISED QUESTION THAT IT WOULD BE MUCH MORE PREFERABLE IF YOU  
09:57:33 ONLY HAD THIS REQUIREMENT FOR DEVELOPMENT OF A CERTAIN  
09:57:39 THRESHOLD OR SIZE.  
09:57:40 WE HAD ORIGINALLY ACTUALLY HAD A PRETTY LOW THRESHOLD.  
  
09:57:45 ACTUALLY, THE DRB MEMBER SUGGESTED THE 60 NUMBER.  
09:57:52 IT'S INTERESTING BECAUSE THERE IS NO MAGIC NUMBER.  
09:57:57 THIS TYPE OF PROVISION IS ONE THAT HAS BEEN ADDED AS WE HAVE  
09:58:02 UPDATED DEVELOPMENT CODES OVER THE LAST FOUR OR FIVE YEARS.

09:58:05           THERE'S NOT A LOT OF EXAMPLES OF THIS, EVEN THOUGH THE TREND  
09:58:13           IS, EVERYONE IS INCORPORATING REQUIREMENTS LIKE THIS.  
09:58:18           SO WHETHER IT IS 50 OR 60 -- I MEAN, 50 IS A NUMBER THAT  
09:58:24           FITS PRETTY NICELY WITH THE 150.  
09:58:26           I THINK THAT MAKES A LOT OF SENSE, IF YOU WOULD LIKE US TO  
09:58:33           DIRECT US TO MAKE THAT KIND OF CHANGE.  
09:58:37           >>Howard Levitan: I'D ALSO LIKE TO COMMENT THAT THIS ONLY  
09:58:39           APPLIES TO NEW DEVELOPMENT, NEW APPLICATIONS.  
09:58:42           IT DOESN'T REQUIRE EVERYBODY WITH A PARKING LOT TO GO BACK  
09:58:45           AND RETROFIT.  
09:58:49           >>Craig Richardson: CORRECT.  
09:58:55           >>Mary Gibbs: IF YOU WANT, WHEN WE COME BACK IN TWO WEEKS,  
09:58:58           WE CAN CHANGE THAT 60 TO 50.  
09:59:00           I'M NOT SURE WE WANT TO MAKE IT TOO COMPLICATED WITH  
09:59:03           SPECIFYING LIKE 200.  
09:59:06           I DON'T KNOW.  
09:59:11           >>Jon McLain: THAT'S FINE.  
09:59:12           >>Mary Gibbs: WE'LL MAKE THAT CHANGE FOR THE NEXT DRAFT.  
09:59:15           IS LAURA OR MIKE THERE TO ADDRESS THAT -- THE COMMENT ON THE  
09:59:19           LANDSCAPING?  
09:59:26           >>Mike Bosi: THIS IS MIKE BOSI WITH JOHNSON ENGINEERING.

09:59:31           RELATED TO THE REMOVAL OF THE PLANT PLACEMENT, IT WAS A  
09:59:34           UNANIMOUS RECOMMENDATION FROM THE DRB.  
09:59:37           THEY WANTED TO SEE MORE FLEXIBILITY WITHIN THE ARRANGEMENT  
09:59:40           OF WHERE THE PLANT PLACEMENT WOULD BE WITHIN A SITE.  
09:59:43           THEY SAID IT'S THE INDIVIDUAL SITE THAT WOULD DETERMINE HOW  
09:59:46           THOSE SPACINGS SHOULD BE ARRANGED FOR.  
09:59:49           SO BASED UPON THAT, WE ELIMINATED THE NUMERIC SPECIFICITY  
09:59:57           RELATED TO HOW FAR THE TREE HAD TO BE IN RELATIONSHIP TO,  
10:00:00           SAY, A LIGHT POLE OR THE SIDEWALK.  
10:00:03           IF YOU LOOK DOWN ON PAGE 11, YOU CAN SEE WHERE YOU HAVE THE  
10:00:07           CORRESPONDING -- THERE'S A TABLE, AND WE ELIMINATED THE  
10:00:11           NUMERIC STANDARDS FOR HOW THE PLACEMENT HAS TO HAVE THAT  
10:00:15           SPATIAL DISTANCE.  
10:00:15           THE DRB REALLY WANTED TO HAVE THE LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS AND  
10:00:19           THE ARCHITECTS TO HAVE A LITTLE BIT MORE FREEDOM FOR THE  
10:00:23           DESIGN OF THE SITE TO DICTATE WHAT WAS APPROPRIATE IN TERMS  
10:00:26           OF THAT SPACING.  
10:00:31           >>Howard Levitan: MARY, WHEN I LOOKED AT THIS, I THOUGHT  
10:00:35           THIS WAS A REDUCTION IN OUR REQUIREMENTS.  
10:00:39           I THINK IT'S REALLY IMPORTANT THAT WE SET THE STANDARD  
10:00:44           SPECIFICALLY ALONG WALKWAYS AND BIKE PATHS AND  
10:00:47           RIGHTS-OF-WAY.  
10:00:47           AND THEN IF WE NEED TO HAVE MORE FLEXIBILITY, IT CAN ALWAYS  
10:00:51           BE DONE BY A DEVIATION WITH RESPECT TO THE APPLICATION.  
10:00:55           I WOULD SUGGEST THAT WE PUT THIS LANGUAGE BACK IN.

10:01:00 JUST REDUCING THE STANDARD DOESN'T MAKE ANY SENSE TO ME.  
10:01:07 >>Jon McLain: I AGREE WITH HOWARD ON THAT.  
10:01:08 WE WANT CLARITY IN DESIGN WORK.  
10:01:10 SOME SITES IT MAY BE IMPRACTICAL TO WORK ACCORDING TO THESE  
10:01:13 RULES AND WE'LL MAKE AN EXCEPTION FOR THAT.  
10:01:15 IF WE LOOSEN IT UP UP FRONT, WE'RE GOING TO HAVE A LOT OF  
10:01:18 HAGGLING GOING ON, AND ARCHITECTS ARE GREAT, BUT THEY LIKE  
10:01:23 TO DO IT THEIR WAY, AND WE'D LIKE TO HAVE A LITTLE MORE  
10:01:25 UNIFORMITY THERE.  
10:01:26 >>Mary Gibbs: ALL RIGHT.  
10:01:27 WE'LL REVISE THAT FOR THE NEXT DRAFT FOR THE MEETING.  
10:01:32 I SEE THAT THE MAYOR ARRIVED, JUST TO RECOGNIZE HIM FOR OUR  
10:01:40 RECORD.  
10:01:40 DID WE HAVE ANY MORE SPECIFIC QUESTIONS AT THIS TIME?  
10:01:47 >>Jon McLain: JUST TO PROVE THAT I READ THE DOCUMENTS, ON  
10:01:50 PAGE 13, SECTION 5-404, IN THE BOTTOM IN THE BLUE WHERE IT  
10:01:58 SAYS -- IT SHOULD SAY 16 FEET, IT SAYS 16 FET.  
10:02:05 F-E-T.  
10:02:06 YOU NEED AN EXTRA E IN THERE JUST FOR SPELLING.  
10:02:12 PAGE 13, IN THE BLUE, COMMENTS 21 IN THE BLUE HIGHLIGHTS.  
10:02:17 THE FOURTH LINE DOWN RIGHT AT THE START.  
10:02:19 IT GIVES THE HEIGHT.  
10:02:21 REPLACEMENT TREES ARE 16 FEET IN HEIGHT.  
10:02:24 >>Mary Gibbs: YOU KNOW, I PRIDE MYSELF ON MY EDITING, AND I  
10:02:28 HAVE FALLEN DOWN ON THE JOB HERE.

10:02:30 THANK YOU FOR FINDING THAT.  
10:02:31 >>Jon McLain: DON'T HOLD ME TO THAT STANDARD.  
10:02:34 IT'S EASIER TO PICK ON.  
10:02:36 >>Mary Gibbs: I'VE BEEN REPLACED.  
10:02:37 [ LAUGHTER ]  
10:02:43 >>Jon McLain: I'VE GOT ANOTHER QUESTION.  
10:02:45 ON PAGE 16, THE COMMENT IS, BUILDING PERMIT OR PLANNING  
10:02:58 STANDARD, THEY SUGGEST BUILDING PERIMETER STANDARDS BE  
10:03:00 REVISED, PROVIDE A HIGHER REQUIREMENT WITH MORE FLEXIBILITY.  
10:03:07 THE QUESTION I HAD IS, ON PAGE 16, IT SAYS THESE PLANNING  
10:03:14 AREAS SHALL INCLUDE SHRUBS AND GROUND COVER PLANTS WITH A  
10:03:17 MINIMUM -- USED TO SAY 50% COVERAGE AND NOW IT'S 100%  
10:03:23 COVERAGE.  
10:03:23 I'M NOT AN EXPERT, BUT HOW DO YOU GET 100% COVERAGE IN  
10:03:28 MEDIAN PLANTING AREAS?  
10:03:30 IS IT GOING TO BE GRASS?  
10:03:31 THAT WOULD BE 100%.  
10:03:33 BUT IF YOU'RE PUTTING IN SHRUBS IN THAT, IN MY MIND, IT  
10:03:36 WOULD BE DIFFICULT TO GET 100%.  
10:03:39 I'M NOT SURE HOW YOU -- WHAT THE INTERPRETATION OF THAT  
10:03:41 REALLY MEANS.  
10:03:44 >>Mary Gibbs: IN THAT CASE, I THINK I'LL CALL ON MIKE AGAIN

10:03:47 SINCE HE'S OUR LANDSCAPING EXPERT.  
10:03:50 DO YOU RECALL, MIKE, WHY THAT WAS CHANGED?  
10:03:52 I KNOW THE DRB WANTED THE FLEXIBILITY.

10:03:54 >>Mike Bosi: THERE WAS A DESIRE TO INCREASE THAT COVERAGE TO  
10:04:07 THAT 100% FROM THE DRB.  
10:04:11 THERE IS THE RECOGNITION THAT IS A STANDARD THAT DOES SEEM  
10:04:18 TO BE SOMEWHAT DRACONIAN TO ME.  
10:04:22 BUT BASED UPON THE RECOMMENDATION, WE'VE FOLLOWED SUIT WITH  
10:04:27 THAT NUMERICAL STANDARD.  
10:04:31 IF IT'S SOMETHING THE COUNCIL WOULD LIKE TO SEE PULLED BACK,  
10:04:34 WE MOST CERTAINLY WOULD BE AGREEABLE.  
10:04:36 >>Mayor Ribble: WOULD THAT BE GROUND COVER LIKE PINE STRAW  
10:04:39 AND WOOD CHIPS OR SOMETHING OF THE LIKE BETWEEN THE SHRUBS  
10:04:43 AND THE TREES?  
10:04:45 IS THAT WHAT IT MEANS?  
10:04:47 >>Mary Gibbs: NO, BECAUSE IT SAYS GROUND COVER PLANTS.  
10:04:50 I THINK MY RECOLLECTION OF THE DISCUSSION WAS THAT BECAUSE  
10:04:53 THERE'S A LOT OF AREAS YOU CAN'T DO THE PERIMETER PLANTING  
10:04:57 BECAUSE YOU HAVE A LOADING AREA, A DOORWAY, SO THAT YOU  
10:05:00 DON'T REALLY HAVE PERIMETER PLANTING ALL THE WAY AROUND  
10:05:04 BECAUSE IT'S JUST NOT FEASIBLE TO DO IT ALL THE WAY AROUND  
10:05:07 THE BUILDING BECAUSE OF THOSE THINGS.  
10:05:09 I THINK THEY WANTED MORE PLANTINGS BECAUSE OF THE FACT THAT  
10:05:11 YOU DIDN'T HAVE THEM IN THESE OTHER AREAS.  
10:05:14 AGAIN, WE CAN MAKE THIS NUMBER BE 75.  
10:05:18 >>Jon McLain: I THINK IT HAS TO BE PRACTICAL.  
10:05:20 50 IS PROBABLY SPARSE.  
10:05:22 >>Mary Gibbs: 75?

10:05:24 >>Jon McLain: 75 OR SOMETHING.  
10:05:26 >>Mary Gibbs: SURE.  
10:05:27 WE CAN MAKE THAT 75.  
10:05:29 >>Jon McLain: DOESN'T MAKE SENSE TO ME THAT YOU CAN DO 100%  
10:05:32 OF ANYTHING IN THAT KIND OF AREA.  
10:05:34 THAT APPLIES DOWN THAT WHOLE PAGE.  
10:05:36 SECTION 407 C AND D REFERENCE THE SAME KIND OF PERCENTAGE  
10:05:43 COVERAGE IN THERE.  
10:05:46 >>Mary Gibbs: YES, WE CAN DO THAT.  
10:05:50 >>Jon McLain: AND THEN PAGE 18, TALKING ABOUT SCHOOLS, I  
10:06:03 WROTE MYSELF A QUESTION.  
10:06:06 WHY DO OTHER SCHOOLS HAVE A DIFFERENT STANDARD FROM LEE  
10:06:08 COUNTY?  
10:06:11 LEE COUNTY SCHOOLS REQUIRE 20% OPEN SPACE AND OTHER SCHOOLS  
10:06:16 ARE GOING TO REQUIRE 30% OPEN SPACE.  
10:06:20 SO I'M WONDERING WHY DO WE HAVE DIFFERENT STANDARDS FOR  
10:06:24 DIFFERENT SCHOOLS?  
10:06:25 >>Mary Gibbs: THE REASON WE CHANGED THIS IS WHEN WE WENT

10:06:30 BACK AND LOOKED AT THIS CHART, WE REALIZED THAT IN THE  
10:06:33 EXISTING CODE, WHICH IS THE COUNTY TRANSITIONAL CODE, THERE  
10:06:36 IS NO OPEN SPACE REQUIREMENT FOR SCHOOLS AT ALL.  
10:06:41 SO WE WERE A LITTLE SURPRISED, AND WE WERE LIKE WE NEED TO  
10:06:44 HAVE AN OPEN SPACE STANDARD.  
10:06:47 AND WE PUT IN 20%, WHICH IS REALLY THE LOWEST STANDARD WE  
10:06:51 HAVE BECAUSE WE DO NEED A STANDARD.

10:06:56 THE REASON WE PUT IN FOR THE OTHER SCHOOLS THE 30% BECAUSE  
10:06:59 THE OTHER SCHOOLS ARE GENERALLY CONSIDERED TO BE COMMERCIAL.  
10:07:01 ALL OUR COMMERCIAL OPEN SPACE STANDARDS WOULD BE 30%.  
10:07:04 LIKE THESE PRIVATE SCHOOLS AND SOMETIMES YOU HAVE THESE  
10:07:09 SCHOOLS THAT GO INTO SHOPPING CENTERS, SO WE TRIED TO MAKE  
10:07:12 THAT CONSISTENT WITH THE COMMERCIAL.  
10:07:15 YOU CAN MAKE THE STANDARD 30% FOR COUNTY -- LEE COUNTY  
10:07:20 SCHOOLS AS WELL.  
10:07:21 I DON'T THINK THAT WOULD -- I'M PRETTY SURE THE SCHOOLS CAN  
10:07:26 MEET IT.  
10:07:27 WE'VE GOT ONE SCHOOL COMING IN, AS YOU KNOW RIGHT NOW,  
10:07:30 THEY'VE GOT QUITE A BIT OF OPEN SPACE.  
10:07:39 >>Nick Batos: I'M SURE THERE ARE THINGS I'M NOT TAKING  
10:07:41 INTO CONSIDERATION, BUT ALL THE SCHOOLS THAT I'M AWARE OF,  
10:07:43 ESPECIALLY AROUND HERE, WITH WHATEVER PARKS THEY HAVE OR  
10:07:46 FIELDS THEY HAVE, THEY WOULD MORE THAN MEET THAT 30% ANYWAY.  
10:07:52 WOULDN'T IT BE BETTER TO JUST KEEP ALL THE SCHOOLS AT THE  
10:07:54 30% THEN?  
10:07:58 >>Mary Gibbs: YES, I THINK THAT IS A GOOD SUGGESTION, AND I  
10:08:01 DON'T THINK THAT WOULD CREATE ANY PROBLEM.  
10:08:02 >>Jon McLain: YOU WOULD JUST PUT THAT IN A SEPARATE SECTION  
10:08:04 BECAUSE I THINK THE 20% FOR COMMERCIAL AND ALL THAT WOULD BE  
10:08:09 REASONABLE, BUT FOR SCHOOLS, LET'S MAKE THE OPEN SPACE THE  
10:08:11 30%.  
10:08:12 >>Mary Gibbs: WE'LL JUST REVISE THAT CHART FOR THE NEXT

10:08:15 MEETING.  
10:08:17 >>Jon McLain: THE NEXT PAGE ON BULKHEADS AND THE LIKE, THE  
10:08:23 ORIGINAL DOCUMENT SAID SIMILAR HARD LINE, SHORELINE  
10:08:29 STRUCTURES MAY COMPRISE UP TO 20% OF INDIVIDUAL LAKE  
10:08:32 SHORELINE, AND THAT WAS CHANGED TO 33 PERCENT, WHICH IS A  
10:08:39 SIGNIFICANT CHANGE, AND I DON'T PRETEND TO BE AN EXPERT, BUT  
10:08:43 IF I WAS LOOKING OUT ON A LAKE FROM MY HOME AND IT WAS 20%  
10:08:48 OF HARDSCAPE THERE VERSUS 33%, IT CHANGES THE LOOK OF THE  
10:08:58 LAKE AND MAYBE WHAT YOU'RE PAYING FOR.  
10:09:01 I'M SURE NOT AN EXPERT ON THAT, BUT I WOULD BE INTERESTED IN  
10:09:03 THE COMMENTS.  
10:09:05 I KNOW WE GOT A COMMENT TO MAKE THAT CHANGE, BUT IT SEEMS TO  
10:09:08 BE FAIRLY SIGNIFICANT.  
10:09:11 I MAY BE WRONG IN MY ASSESSMENT OF THAT.

10:09:14 >>Mary Gibbs: WE DID HAVE A LOT OF COMMENTS FROM I THINK IT  
10:09:17 WAS THE PRIOR DRB MEMBERS THAT DIDN'T LIKE IT WHEN IT WAS IN  
10:09:23 THE COUNTY CODE.  
10:09:24 ALTHOUGH IN THE COUNTY CODE, I THOUGHT IT HAD BEEN FAIRLY  
10:09:34 SUCCESSFUL.  
10:09:35 SOME OF THESE DEVELOPMENTS ARE A LITTLE MORE URBAN, SO THEY  
10:09:37 LIKE TO HAVE A LITTLE MORE KIND OF SEAWALL BULKHEAD.  
10:09:38 BUT FOR RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENTS, THE 33% IS QUITE A BIT  
10:09:43 DEPENDING.  
10:09:44 WE CAN CHANGE IT BACK.  
10:09:45 THE STAFF WAS NOT ADAMANT.

10:09:46 THE DRB WAS PRETTY PUSHY ON THAT, AS I RECALL.  
10:09:53 >>Nick Batos: THE WAY IT'S WRITTEN NOW, THOUGH, IT'S NOT  
10:09:55 THAT IT HAS TO BE 33%.  
10:09:56 IT MAY BE UP TO 33%.  
10:10:00 >>Mary Gibbs: MAY COMPRISE UP TO 33, WHICH MEANS SOMEBODY  
10:10:05 WILL ASK FOR THAT.  
10:10:06 >>Nick Batos: THE ONLY REASON IT WOULD GO UP, EITHER THE  
10:10:09 ARCHITECTURE OF THE DEVELOPMENT IS MORE URBAN, AS YOU SAID,  
10:10:13 OR IF THE PROBLEM IN THE AREA CALLS FOR MORE BULK HEADING TO  
10:10:20 ENABLE THEM TO BUILD WHATEVER THEY ARE BUILDING.  
10:10:22 >>Howard Levitan: AGAIN, WE SHOULDN'T BE LOOSENING UP THE  
10:10:25 STANDARDS, MARY.  
10:10:27 I THINK WE SHOULD BE DOING UNIQUE ISSUES BY DEVIATION AT THE  
10:10:31 TIME OF THE APPLICATION.  
10:10:32 I AGREE WITH JON.  
10:10:36 WE SHOULD GO BACK TO -- THAT IS A SIGNIFICANT CHANGE, WHICH  
10:10:41 -- WE ALL SAW THIS DOCUMENT.  
10:10:43 I GOT MINE ON MONDAY AND THERE'S A LOT OF STUFF IN HERE.  
10:10:47 I REALLY THINK THAT THE DRB, NOTWITHSTANDING, THAT WE NEED  
10:10:53 TO SET TOUGH STANDARDS, SIGNIFICANT TO PROTECT OURSELVES.  
10:11:01 AND THEN IF THERE ARE GOOD REASONS, WE CAN DEAL WITH IT BY  
10:11:05 DEVIATION.  
10:11:05 >>Mary Gibbs: AND YOU'RE RIGHT.  
10:11:09 YOU CAN REQUEST A DEVIATION, AND WE DO GET THOSE.  
10:11:11 WE'LL CHANGE THAT BACK.

10:11:22 >>Jon McLain: I DON'T KNOW IF I UNDERSTAND, JUST BELOW THAT,  
10:11:24 SECTION A, A FIVE-FOOT-WIDE LITTORAL SHELF PLANTED AND, YOU  
10:11:30 KNOW, WETLAND PLANTS --  
10:11:31 CAN SOMEBODY HELP ME UNDERSTAND THAT, THE CHANGE THAT WE'RE  
10:11:35 ADVOCATING HERE?  
10:11:36 WHAT THAT WOULD LOOK LIKE?  
10:11:41 >>Mary Gibbs: I'M GOING TO ASK MIKE AGAIN IF HE REMEMBERS  
10:11:43 WHO MADE THE COMMENT.  
10:11:48 >>Jon McLain: IT WAS THE DRB.  
10:11:49 >>Mary Gibbs: I'M NOT SURE IF A CURRENT MEMBER, PRIOR



10:11:52 MEMBERS.  
10:11:52 MIKE, DO YOU REMEMBER THAT?  
10:11:53 MIKE?  
10:12:05 >>Mike Bosi: I BELIEVE IT WAS FROM THE ACTUAL DRB WORKSHOP  
10:12:10 ON THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE, BUT I CAN'T REMEMBER THE  
10:12:13 SPECIFIC -- THE MEMBER WHO MADE THE COMMENT.  
10:12:25 >>Jon McLain: IT LOOKS LIKE WE'VE ELIMINATED -- THEY ARE  
10:12:28 TALKING ABOUT PUTTING IN PLANTING MATERIAL IN THERE, BUT IT  
10:12:32 DOESN'T ADDRESS COVERAGE.  
10:12:36 >>Mike Bosi: IT DOESN'T ADDRESS COVERAGE.  
10:12:38 IT SPECIFICALLY GIVES YOU THE SPECIFICS OF HOW FAR THEY CAN  
10:12:43 BE SPACED UPON AND WHAT THE SIZE OF THE PLANTS ARE.  
10:12:46 IT'S JUST A LITTLE DIFFERENT APPROACH TO ALMOST GET TO A  
10:12:49 SIMILAR CONCLUSION OR A SIMILAR ARRANGEMENT.  
10:12:53 THE PLANTS HAVE TO MEET A SPECIFIC SIZE, AND THEY CAN BE  
  
10:12:56 SPACED AT A SPECIFIC SPOT.  
10:12:58 SO THEY ARE NOT CONCENTRATED ON THE COVERAGE, BUT THEY ARE  
10:13:01 CONCENTRATED ON THE ARRANGEMENT WITH THE INTENTION THAT THAT  
10:13:06 ARRANGEMENT WILL PROVIDE FOR A COVERAGE, THE EQUIVALENT, IF  
10:13:09 NOT BETTER THAN THAT 50%.  
10:13:12 >>Jon McLain: WELL, IF IT'S BETTER, THAT'S GOOD FOR ME.  
10:13:15 >>Mary Gibbs: MIKE, I'M NOT SURE, NOT TO BELABOR IT, BUT I'M  
10:13:19 NOT SURE ACTUALLY THAT'S BETTER.  
10:13:20 I'M NOT SURE IF THE 18 INCHES AT TIME OF PLANTING GROWS INTO  
10:13:25 THE 50% COVERAGE.  
10:13:27 IT SEEMS TO ME LIKE THAT GROWS INTO THE 50%.  
10:13:29 SO I DON'T THINK IT'S A BETTER STANDARD.  
10:13:38 >>Nick Batos: GOING BY WHAT HOWARD HAD BROUGHT UP IN THE  
10:13:40 PAST -- I MEAN, AND I AGREE WITH HIM, WHAT HE'S SAYING, AT  
10:13:41 THE TIME OF PLANTING, WE WOULD ASK THEM TO HAVE A -- WHETHER  
10:13:46 IT BE A TREE HEIGHT OR A NUMBER OF PLANTS, WE WOULD WANT TO  
10:13:49 HAVE A COVERAGE THAT AT LEAST LOOKS DECENT WHEN YOU FIRST  
10:13:52 PLANT IT AND HAS SOME PRACTICAL EFFECTS.  
10:13:55 IF THIS IS GOING TO BE LESS THAN THE 50%, THEN WE'RE GOING  
10:13:59 BACKWARDS.  
10:14:00 >>Mary Gibbs: I THINK THIS WOULD BE A LITTLE LESS THAN 50%.  
10:14:02 I THINK IT WOULD BE 50% OVER TIME.  
10:14:05 >>Nick Batos: THE OTHER ONE WOULD BE MORE THAN 50% OVER  
10:14:09 TIME.  
10:14:11 >>Mary Gibbs: WE CAN CHANGE THAT BACK.  
  
10:14:12 >>Howard Levitan: WAIT A MINUTE.  
10:14:14 ARE THEY MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE?  
10:14:16 MAYBE WE OUGHT TO GET MIKE TO COMMENT ON THIS, BUT WE ALSO  
10:14:20 COULD USE BOTH STANDARDS BY SAYING THAT IT HAS TO PROVIDE  
10:14:24 50% COVERAGE AT THE TIME OF PLANTING AND NOT LESS THAN BLAH,  
10:14:30 BLAH, BLAH.

10:14:31 IT DEPENDS ON -- I DON'T WANT TO REDUCE THE STANDARD IN  
10:14:35 INTENSITY, BUT IF THIS IS MORE SPECIFIC AND DOESN'T DECREASE  
10:14:39 THE COVERAGE, THEN I THINK WE CAN USE BOTH.  
10:14:43 NOT EVERYTHING THAT THE DRB AND FORMER DRB MEMBERS SAY, THEY  
10:14:52 ARE ALL IN THE BUSINESS OF SELLING THEIR SERVICES.  
10:14:55 AND IF IT MAKES IT EASIER FOR THEM, THAT DOESN'T MEAN IT'S  
10:14:58 BETTER FOR US.  
10:15:05 >>Mary Gibbs: MIKE, DO YOU KNOW THE ANSWER TO THAT, OR DO  
10:15:07 YOU NEED TIME?  
10:15:08 >>Mike Bosi: NO.  
10:15:09 I DON'T THINK THAT THEY ARE MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE.  
10:15:11 I THINK THAT -- THE SUGGESTION WOULD WORK.  
10:15:17 THEY WOULD NEED TO MEET THAT MINIMUM OF 50% COVERAGE AT THE  
10:15:21 TIME OF PLANTING AND THEY WOULD HAVE TO MEET THOSE NUMERICAL  
10:15:22 STANDARDS FOR THE SIZE AND THE SPACING.  
10:15:26 I BELIEVE THAT THEY CAN WORK AND SIMPLY ELIMINATING THE  
10:15:30 CROSS-THRU AND ADDING THE WORD "AND" BETWEEN THE TWO COULD  
10:15:34 PROVIDE FOR THE SOLUTION.  
10:15:38 >>Mary Gibbs: WE CAN ALSO CHANGE THAT BEFORE AT THE NEXT  
  
10:15:42 MEETING -- BEFORE THE NEXT MEETING IN THE DOCUMENTS.  
10:15:51 >>Jon McLain: ON MIXED-USE DESIGN STANDARDS, THAT'S ALL NEW  
10:15:56 LANGUAGE THAT'S IN THERE.  
10:15:57 I KIND OF READ THROUGH IT.  
10:15:59 I'M NOT SAYING I UNDERSTAND IT ALL.  
10:16:02 IF STAFF IS IN SUPPORT OF THAT.  
10:16:04 IT LOOKS LIKE STAFF IS, RECOMMENDING ADDING ALL OF THAT BLUE  
10:16:08 LANGUAGE THAT'S IN THERE.  
10:16:09 >>Howard Levitan: LET ME COMMENT ON THAT, JON, IF I CAN,  
10:16:13 BECAUSE I WAS THE ONE THAT ASKED FOR THIS TO COME IN.  
10:16:16 ALL WE'RE DOING HERE -- THIS LANGUAGE IS ALREADY IN THE CODE  
10:16:24 FOR THE VILLAGE CENTER, MIXED-USE IN THE VILLAGE CENTER.  
10:16:27 AND IT WAS ONE OF THE FEATURES OF IT THAT WE SAID REALLY  
10:16:32 APPLIES TO ALL MIXED-USE, WHEREVER IT OCCURS IN THE VILLAGE  
10:16:37 OF ESTERO, INCLUDING THE TRANSITIONAL MIXED-USE AREAS LIKE  
10:16:41 COCONUT POINT AND THE OTHER ONES.  
10:16:43 IT MADE MORE SENSE TO MAKE IT APPLICABLE TO EVERYONE, THIS  
10:16:48 IS HOW WE DID IT BY PUTTING IT IN THE MIXED USE STANDARDS.  
10:16:52 BUT IT'S LANGUAGE THAT YOU ALREADY HAVE IN THE CODE, HAS  
10:16:55 BEEN IN THERE SINCE 2018.  
10:17:07 >>Jon McLain: THE LAST QUESTION I'VE GOT IS COMMENT 38 ON  
10:17:10 PAGE 29.  
10:17:11 I'M NOT SURE -- IN PARAGRAPH 4 THERE WHERE IT TALKS ABOUT  
10:17:17 OVERHEAD DOORS, SPACING, PUBLIC RIGHT-OF-WAY ARE PROHIBITED,  
10:17:24 CAN SOMEBODY WORK ME THROUGH WHAT THAT CHANGE ACTUALLY MEANS  
  
10:17:27 AND IF STAFF AGREES TO THAT?  
10:17:32 >>Mike Bosi: MARY, IF YOU WANT ME TO, I CAN TALK ABOUT THE

10:17:36 MOTIVATION BEHIND THE DRB AND THE COMMENTS.  
10:17:39 IT WAS RELATED TO A LOT OF RESTAURANTS HAVE NOW INCORPORATED  
10:17:43 OVERHEAD DOORS TO THE DESIGN SO THEY CAN OPEN IT UP IN THE  
10:17:46 SEASONAL MONTHS TO BE ABLE TO ALLOW FOR AIRFLOW AND A  
10:17:52 DIFFERENT FEELING TO THE RESTAURANT ENVIRONMENT.  
10:17:56 THE DRB WAS INSISTENT, THOUGH, LONGS THERE WAS ARCHITECTURE  
10:18:04 EMBELLISHMENTS, THAT THERE WAS SHADING, THAT THE OVERHEAD  
10:18:07 DOORS PROVIDED FOR A MINIMUM OF A LOOK TO THE PRIMARY FACADE  
10:18:11 THAT DID NOT DICTATE THE LOOK OF A GARAGE DOOR, BUT LOOKED  
10:18:18 MORE LIKE AN ORNAMENTAL DOOR THAT FIT WITHIN THE  
10:18:22 ARCHITECTURE.  
10:18:23 THEY DIDN'T WANT TO TAKE AWAY THAT OPPORTUNITY FOR THAT  
10:18:26 RESTAURANT DESIGN UNIQUENESS OR THAT STYLE THAT HAS GROWN IN  
10:18:37 POPULARITY TO BE DENIED IN TERMS OF FUTURE RESTAURANTS AND  
10:18:41 HOW THEY ARE ARRANGED.  
10:18:42 SO THEY HAD SAID ALLOW FOR THE OVERHEAD DOORS, BUT ALLOW FOR  
10:18:47 THAT ARCHITECTURAL REQUIREMENTS TOWARDS WHERE IT'S GOT  
10:18:52 GLAZED GLASS, AND IT HAS A MINIMUM OF 75% OF THE DOOR IS  
10:18:57 GOING TO BE ALLOWED ON THAT PRIMARY FACADE, BECAUSE IT  
10:19:00 DOESN'T LOOK LIKE AN OVERHEAD DOOR, BUT IT LOOKS MORE LIKE  
10:19:06 AN ARCHITECTURAL EMBELLISHMENT TO THE FACILITY.  
10:19:11 >>Mary Gibbs: MIKE, AS I RECALL, TOO, IF I COULD JUST ADD  
10:19:14 ONE THING, I THINK THIS WAS ALSO BROUGHT UP BY MCHARRIS,

10:19:19 AND OVERHEAD DOORS, WHEN YOU THINK OF THEM, YOU NORMALLY  
10:19:22 THINK OF AN INDUSTRIAL AREA WHERE YOU'VE GOT THE REALLY UGLY  
10:19:27 DOORS AND THAT WAS NOT THE INTENT.  
10:19:29 THIS IS MORE FOR THE COMMERCIAL AREA.  
10:19:31 I THINK HE MIGHT HAVE BEEN THINKING ABOUT A MICROBREWERY OR  
10:19:34 SOMETHING.  
10:19:34 >>Howard Levitan: IT DOESN'T SAY THAT, MARY.  
10:19:36 >>Mary Gibbs: I KNOW.  
10:19:37 >>Howard Levitan: IT DOESN'T SAY GLAZED EITHER.  
10:19:41 IT SAYS TRANSPARENT.  
10:19:42 IF YOU HAD A TIRE SERVICE CENTER, INSTEAD OF SEEING AN  
10:19:47 OPAQUE DOOR, NOW YOU'RE GOING TO SEE RIGHT INTO THE BAY.  
10:19:50 THAT DOESN'T MAKE ANY SENSE.  
10:19:52 THIS IS NOT RIGHT.  
10:19:52 THIS SHOULD BE EITHER TAKEN OUT OR LIMITED TO RESTAURANT USE  
10:19:59 WITHIN A COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT.  
10:20:07 >>Jon McLain: YOU CAN BE PRETTY CREATIVE WITH DOORS, BUT I  
10:20:11 THINK IT WOULD BE DIFFICULT HOW YOU INCORPORATE THAT INTO  
10:20:13 THE MEDITERRANEAN LOOK AND FEEL THAT WE'RE TRYING TO DO.  
10:20:16 I'VE SEEN A COUPLE OF THEM DOWN IN THE NAPLES AREA RIGHT ON  
10:20:22 41 WHERE THEY OPEN UP THE DOORS.  
10:20:27 I THINK I'D LOOK AT THAT MORE AS AN EXCEPTION.  
10:20:30 IF SOMEBODY COMES IN, WE LOOK AT THAT AS AN EXCEPTION SAYING  
10:20:34 THAT'S GOING TO BE APPROVED.

10:20:37 >>Mary Gibbs: WE'LL LOOK AT THAT, AND IF WE CAN COME UP WITH  
10:20:39 SOME LANGUAGE, WE'LL MODIFY IT.  
10:20:41 IF NOT, WE'LL SUGGEST TAKING IT OUT.  
10:20:47 >>Jon McLain: THAT'S ALL I GOT.  
10:20:51 >>Mary Gibbs: YOU DIDN'T READ ALL THE APPENDICES?  
10:20:55 [ LAUGHTER ]  
10:20:55 I THINK, UNLESS YOU ALL HAVE OTHER SPECIFIC QUESTIONS, DID  
10:21:03 YOU WANT TO GO TO THE PUBLIC INPUT AT THIS TIME?  
10:21:06 >>Mayor Ribble: YES.  
10:21:07 IS EVERYONE FINISHED WITH COMMENTS?  
10:21:09 CAROL, DO YOU HAVE SOME PUBLIC COMMENTS?  
10:21:17 >>Carol Sacco: PATTY WHITEHEAD FROM THE COMMUNITY OLD  
10:21:19 ESTERO.  
10:21:22 >>Mayor Ribble: PATTY?  
10:21:28 >> GOOD MORNING, COUNCIL.  
10:21:29 PROBABLY THE REVAMPING OF THIS LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE WAS A  
10:21:32 LONG TIME COMING BECAUSE THE LEE COUNTY CODE IS QUITE  
10:21:35 ANTIQUATED AND JUST BASED ON SOME OF THE REALLY KEY  
10:21:39 PROGRESSIVE TRENDS THAT ARE HAPPENING IN TERMS OF CULTURE  
10:21:47 AND SOCIETY THESE DAYS, PEOPLE ARE MOVING TO ELECTRIC CARS.  
10:21:50 THEY ARE REALIZING THAT INDIVIDUAL COMBUSTION ENGINES AREN'T  
10:21:56 GOING TO BE VIABLE MUCH INTO THE FUTURE.  
10:21:59 CLIMATE ISSUES.  
10:22:00 WE HAVE HEIGHTENED AIR QUALITY ISSUES I BELIEVE HERE IN  
10:22:02 SOUTHWEST FLORIDA.  
10:22:03 DISHEARTENED WHEN I SEE ALL THESE DIESEL TRUCKS BARRELING  
  
10:22:10 DOWN THE ROAD, AND THERE ARE NO REGULATIONS AS FAR AS THEIR  
10:22:13 EMISSIONS.  
10:22:14 MY KEY FOCUS IS NATURAL RESOURCES.  
10:22:19 WHILE A LOT OF THE OTHER COMMENTS ARE RELEVANT AND IMPORTANT  
10:22:22 TO REVAMP THIS CODE SO THAT ESTERO BECOMES THE MOST  
10:22:27 ATTRACTIVE COMMUNITY IN SOUTHWEST FLORIDA, WE CAN GET THERE.  
10:22:31 I'M CONCERNED ABOUT SOME OF THESE RULES ONLY APPLYING TO NEW  
10:22:37 DEVELOPMENTS BECAUSE ESTERO IS SO BUILT OUT AT THIS POINT.  
10:22:39 REALLY, IS THIS AN EXERCISE TO SOME DEGREE IN FUTILITY?  
10:22:44 AND CAN WE INCORPORATE WHERE IF SOMEONE GOES AND MAKES A  
10:22:47 CHANGE -- FOR INSTANCE, I'LL GIVE YOU A REAL, FOR INSTANCE,  
10:22:50 HERE.  
10:22:50 WE'RE TALKING ABOUT COCONUT POINT MALL AND THE PARKING LOT.  
10:22:53 I THINK THERE WAS DISCUSSION AT ONE POINT ABOUT ADDING  
10:22:56 EITHER MORE COMMERCIAL OR MORE RESIDENTIAL AT COCONUT POINT  
10:22:59 MALL.  
10:23:00 IF THAT WERE THE CASE, COULD THAT TRIGGER SOME SORT OF  
10:23:03 ACTION WHERE -- COCONUT POINT MALL OBVIOUSLY HAS MORE THAN  
10:23:14 60 PARKING PLACES.  
10:23:16 WOULD THEY THEN BE REQUIRED TO PUT IN THE CHARGING STATIONS?

10:23:20 RIGHT NOW, I GUESS THERE IS NO OBLIGATION FOR THEM TO PUT IN  
10:23:24 CHARGING STATIONS WHATSOEVER.  
10:23:26 60 PARKING SPACES, I'M TRYING TO PICTURE WHAT SIZE -- I  
10:23:29 MEAN, I'M THINKING ABOUT SPROUTS PARKING LOT.  
10:23:32 IS THAT 60 PARKING SPACES?

10:23:35 >>Mary Gibbs: IT'S MORE.  
10:23:36 >> THE QUESTION IS, HOW MANY MORE SPROUTS ARE WE GOING TO BE  
10:23:38 LOOKING AT?  
10:23:41 HOW MANY RETAIL INSTALLATIONS, USERS ARE GOING TO BE LOOKING  
10:23:44 AT THAT SIZE LEFT TO BUILD IN ESTERO AND I SAY NOT MANY.  
10:23:47 IT WOULD BE NICE IF SOME OF THIS COULD APPLY TO EXISTING  
10:23:50 DEVELOPMENTS WHEN THEY GO IN TO MAKE CHANGES.  
10:23:54 I KNOW THAT'S KIND OF BURDENSOME FOR THE USER, FOR THE  
10:24:00 BUSINESS, BUT NOT THE USER, THE BUSINESS, BUT I DON'T SEE  
10:24:06 HOW ELSE ANY OF THIS IS REALLY GOING TO BE THAT RELEVANT.  
10:24:09 THE OTHER THING I WANTED TO ADD -- I HAVE 15 SECONDS -- WITH  
10:24:14 REGARD TO PARKING LOTS, I HOPE WE CAN LOOK AT MAYBE -- THAT  
10:24:18 WE DO BIOREACTORS UNDER PARKING LOTS.  
10:24:21 SIMILAR TO THE BIOREACTOR BONITA SPRINGS HAS, AS AN EXAMPLE  
10:24:25 OF A WAY TO CLEANSE WATER BEFORE IT REACHES OUR NATURAL  
10:24:28 WATER BODIES.  
10:24:28 THAT'S ALL I WANTED TO SAY.  
10:24:30 THANK YOU.  
10:24:33 >>Carol Sacco: NEALE MONTGOMERY REPRESENTING MIROMAR.  
10:24:36 AND ALSO COCONUT POINT OAK BROOK.  
10:24:46 >>Neale Montgomery: I'M GOING TO BEG YOUR INDULGENCE --  
10:24:50 >>Mayor Ribble: SIX MINUTES.  
10:24:52 YOU HAVE TWO CARDS FILLED OUT.  
10:24:53 YOU'RE GOING TO GET SIX MINUTES OUT OF THIS DEAL BECAUSE YOU  
10:24:57 HAVE TWO CARDS FILLED OUT.

10:24:59 >>Neale Montgomery: I'LL TRY TO GET THROUGH IT IN THAT TIME.  
10:25:02 I HAVE A LOT OF REFERENCES.  
10:25:03 SINCE MR. McLAIN HAS TALKED ABOUT ELECTRIC CHARGING  
10:25:07 STATIONS AND PATTY HAS TALKED ABOUT IT, LET ME REFER YOUR  
10:25:11 ATTENTION TO TABLE 3-702.  
10:25:16 THERE'S A LIST OF ACCESSORY USES AND CHARGING STATIONS ON  
10:25:19 THERE.  
10:25:21 TELL YOU WHY THAT'S IMPORTANT BECAUSE IN YOUR NEW CODE, IT  
10:25:24 SAYS YOU CAN ONLY HAVE AN ACCESSORY USE IF IT'S SHOWN IN  
10:25:27 YOUR MASTER CONCEPT PLAN.  
10:25:28 NONE OF YOUR MASTER CONCEPT PLANS THAT YOU HAVE, HAVE ALL  
10:25:32 ACCESSORY USES ON THEM.  
10:25:33 FOR EXAMPLE, BIKE RACKS.  
10:25:35 WE'VE NEVER HAD TO SHOW BIKE RACKS ON A MASTER CONCEPT PLAN  
10:25:38 BECAUSE YOU DON'T KNOW AT THAT STAGE WHERE EXACTLY THE BIKE  
10:25:42 RACKS WILL GO.

10:25:42 THE SAME WITH ELECTRIC CHARGING STATIONS.  
10:25:44 I WOULD SAY COCONUT POINT OR MIROMAR AREN'T GOING TO COME  
10:25:48 BACK THROUGH A PUBLIC HEARING PROCESS TO DO CHARGING  
10:25:51 STATIONS.  
10:25:51 IF YOU WANT TO ENCOURAGE THAT, WE NEED TO TAKE A LOOK AT  
10:25:54 THAT, BECAUSE WHAT MOST OF YOUR RESOLUTIONS SAY IS UNDER  
10:26:00 LIST OF PERMITTED USES, ACCESSORY USES AND STRUCTURES.  
10:26:03 I JUST KIND OF POINT THAT OUT.  
10:26:07 OF CONCERN ABOUT COCONUT POINT AND MIROMAR IS VESTING.

10:26:12 I'M GOING TO HAND SOME DOCUMENTS TO CAROL.  
10:26:17 IF YOU WANT TO TAKE A LOOK AT IT, YOUR AMENDMENTS, ENABLING  
10:26:26 LEGISLATION, CHAPTER 2015-193 PROVIDES THAT ALL DRIS ARE  
10:26:31 VESTED FOR BOTH THE ZONING AND ANY OTHER ASSOCIATED  
10:26:35 DEVELOPMENTS.  
10:26:35 FOR THOSE WHO LIVE IN THE BROOKS OR THOSE WHO LIVE IN  
10:26:38 STONEYBROOK OR THOSE WHO LIVE IN PELICAN LANDING, YOUR  
10:26:40 COMMUNITIES ARE VESTED BY OPERATION OF THAT CHAPTER, AS IS  
10:26:45 TIMBERLAND AND TIBURON, WHICH IS MIROMAR AND GRANDEZZA WHICH  
10:26:50 AFFECT MR. WILSON.  
10:26:52 ALL OF YOU SHOULD HAVE A CONCERN ABOUT YOUR ZONING AND YOUR  
10:26:56 DEVELOPMENT ORDER AND YOUR PERMITS BEING VESTED.  
10:26:59 AND WHAT REALLY MAKES THIS PROBLEMATIC IS YOU HAVE A TABLE  
10:27:06 201, WHICH IS THE SUMMARY OF DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION.  
10:27:08 THAT SAYS ON THERE, VESTED RIGHTS.  
10:27:10 SO ALL OF YOU WHO LIVE IN A VESTED COMMUNITY IN THEORY, THE  
10:27:15 WAY THAT'S WRITTEN, WOULD HAVE TO FILE AN APPLICATION IN  
10:27:18 ORDER TO ESTABLISH YOUR VESTING WHEN THE LEGISLATURE, BY  
10:27:22 THAT CHAPTER, HAS ALREADY DONE THAT.  
10:27:24 AGAIN, YOU HAVE A FIGURE 2-506 WHICH IS THE PROCEDURE FOR  
10:27:29 VESTED RIGHTS.  
10:27:31 SO IF THE STATE LEGISLATURE HAS SAID ALL THESE DRIS AND  
10:27:36 ASSOCIATED ZONINGS ARE VESTED I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHY YOU  
10:27:39 WOULD CREATE AN APPLICATION AND PROCESS TO DO SOMETHING THAT  
10:27:43 SHOULD ALREADY BE DONE.

10:27:46 I WANT TO THANK MARY ON THE PARKING, BOTH MIROMAR AND  
10:27:51 COCONUT POINT HAVE A MULTIPLE OCCUPANCY COMPLEX AT FOUR AND  
10:27:55 A HALF PER THOUSAND.  
10:27:57 THEY THOUGHT THEY WERE GOING TO MAKE IT BETTER BY MAKING IT  
10:28:00 FOUR PER THOUSAND FOR RETAIL, WHICH IS TRUE, BUT IT REQUIRES  
10:28:03 EVERYTHING TO BE LOOKED AT ON AN INDIVIDUAL RATE.  
10:28:07 IT WOULD BE AN ANNUITY FOR ALL PARKING CONSULTANTS.  
10:28:10 EVERY TIME YOU TRY TO DO AN INTERNAL REMODEL, YOU WOULD BE  
10:28:12 DOING A NEW PARKING CALCULATION.  
10:28:14 I APPRECIATE MARY MAKING THAT CHANGE.  
10:28:16 AGAIN, BACK TO THAT 3-706 IN YOUR TABLE, IT SAYS THAT ALL  
10:28:23 YOUR USES HAVE TO BE SHOWN IN YOUR MASTER CONCEPT PLAN.

10:28:28 IN THE DARK AGES, WHEN MARY WAS IN CHARGE OF A DIFFERENT  
10:28:31 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT, WE DID SHOW ALL THE USES ON THE  
10:28:34 MASTER CONCEPT PLAN.  
10:28:36 WHAT WE FOUND OUT IS FIVE YEARS LATER, SIX YEARS LATER, WE  
10:28:39 COULDN'T READ IT.  
10:28:42 SO IT JUST WOULD BECOME A BLURRED BLACK BLOB SO WE QUIT  
10:28:47 DOING THAT AND PUT THE PERMITTED USES ON A LIST SO THAT  
10:28:50 FOREVER AFTER, EVERYBODY COULD READ IT AND KNOW WHAT WAS  
10:28:53 ACTUALLY APPROVED INSTEAD OF TRYING TO GUESS.  
10:28:57 I DON'T THINK THAT'S AN IMPROVEMENT.  
10:28:58 I DON'T THINK YOU'RE GOING TO LIKE THE RESULT.  
10:29:00 AND, AGAIN, EVERY MASTER CONCEPT PLAN AFTER WE MOVED OUT OF  
10:29:04 THE DARK AGES HAS THE USES ON A LIST, NOT ON THE MASTER

10:29:08 CONCEPT PLAN.  
10:29:09 SO YOU'D MAKE ALL OF THEM ESSENTIALLY OUT OF COMPLIANCE, AND  
10:29:13 I DON'T THINK YOU WANTED TO DO THAT.  
10:29:15 IN THE NPD, IT TALKS ABOUT BUILDING ORIENTATION AND THE  
10:29:21 BUILD 2 ZONE.  
10:29:23 I LOOKED IN THE CODE EVERYWHERE FOR DEFINITION OF WHAT A  
10:29:26 BUILD 2 ZONE IS AND I COULDN'T FIND IT.  
10:29:32 SOMEBODY IN A MIXED PLAN DEVELOPMENT HAS TO DO SOMETHING IN  
10:29:35 THE BUILD 2 ZONE, BUT I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE BUILD 2 ZONE IS.  
10:29:38 THE OTHER THING IS, NPDs AND CPDs, IT WANTS TO CHANGE  
10:29:43 THE ORIENTATION OF THE BUILDINGS.  
10:29:45 FOR MIROMAR AND FOR COCONUT POINT, THE DESIGN AND  
10:29:50 ORIENTATION OF WHERE THOSE BUILDINGS ARE GOING TO GO IS SET,  
10:29:51 SO TO BRING A NEW BUILDING IN AND SAY, WELL, YOU'VE GOT TO  
10:29:54 BE 15 FEET OFF OF 41 WOULD BE INCONSISTENT WITH THE BUFFER  
10:29:59 THAT YOU REQUIRED, BECAUSE I THINK 40 FEET IS IN PLACE.  
10:30:03 SO I THINK WE NEED TO -- IF WE CAN SOLVE THE VESTED RIGHTS  
10:30:07 ISSUE, I THINK THAT'S LESS OF A PROBLEM, BUT IF WE DON'T  
10:30:11 SOLVE THE VESTED RIGHTS ISSUE, ALL OF THE APPROVED PROJECTS  
10:30:14 THAT ARE WELL UNDERWAY, THAT'S GOING TO BE A PROBLEM.  
10:30:17 I WANT YOU TO TAKE A LOOK AT YOUR SECTION 5.8.  
10:30:21 THAT'S YOUR GREEN BUILDING STANDARD, BUT IT'S NOT JUST GREEN  
10:30:24 BUILDINGS.  
10:30:25 IT RELATES TO THE WHOLE SITE.  
10:30:30 THERE'S NO DEVIATION, AND I THINK WE'RE GOING TO LIVE TO

10:30:33 REGRET THAT.  
10:30:34 FOR EXAMPLE, I GET POINTS IF I ORIENT MY HOUSES EAST AND  
10:30:38 WEST.  
10:30:38 IF I LIVE IN COLORADO OR PENNSYLVANIA WHERE I GREW UP THAT  
10:30:42 MAKES A LOT OF SENSE.  
10:30:43 I WANT TO BE WHERE THE SUN COMES IN THIS TIME OF YEAR SO I  
10:30:46 CAN BE WARM.  
10:30:46 I LIVE IN FLORIDA.

10:30:48 I DON'T WANT AN EAST-WEST ORIENTATION.  
10:30:50 I DON'T NEED MORE SUN BECAUSE WE SPEND MORE TIME UNDER AIR  
10:30:52 THAN WE DO UNDER HEAT.  
10:30:54 I DON'T KNOW WHY WE WOULD GIVE PEOPLE POINTS.  
10:30:56 WE ALSO GIVE PEOPLE POINTS FOR DOING ENERGY CONSERVATION,  
10:31:00 WHICH IS SOLAR PANELS, WINDMILLS AND THINGS LIKE THAT.  
10:31:04 I'M NOT SURE ANYBODY HAS TAKEN A LOOK AT HOW THOSE THINGS  
10:31:08 FIT IN WITH YOUR DESIGN REVIEW.  
10:31:12 WE SPENT TIME TALKING ABOUT DESIGN AND COLOR, BUT WE HAVEN'T  
10:31:15 TALKED ABOUT HOW THAT RELATES TO SOLAR PANELS AND WINDMILLS  
10:31:19 AND THINGS LIKE THAT.  
10:31:20 I WOULD SUBMIT THAT YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE SOME COMMUNITIES  
10:31:26 WHERE --  
10:31:29 >>Mayor Ribble: DO YOU HAVE MUCH MORE?  
10:31:34 >>Neale Montgomery: I HAVE TWO PROJECTS.  
10:31:35 I SHOULD HAVE ANOTHER THREE MINUTES.  
10:31:39 >>Mayor Ribble: I'M GOING TO ASK YOU, NANCY --

10:31:42 >>Neale Montgomery: IF YOU LOOK AT YOUR GREEN --  
10:31:43 >>Mayor Ribble: OUR ATTORNEY FRIEND HERE HAS AN INTEREST IN  
10:31:46 THIS.  
10:31:46 I THINK WE SHOULD WAIVE THE TIME LIMIT FOR HER TO SPEAK THIS  
10:31:49 MORNING.  
10:31:50 DOES THAT MAKE SENSE OR WE CAN'T DO IT OR CAN OR WHATEVER?  
10:31:53 >>Nancy Stroud: YOU CAN CERTAINLY GIVE MS. MONTGOMERY  
10:31:56 ANOTHER THREE MINUTES.  
10:31:58 SHE'S REPRESENTING TWO DIFFERENT GROUPS, AS LONG AS YOU'RE  
10:32:04 ADDRESSING BOTH GROUPS.  
10:32:06 >>Mayor Ribble: ALL RIGHT WITH THAT, STEVE?  
10:32:08 >>Steven Sarkozy: YES, ABSOLUTELY.  
10:32:09 >>Mayor Ribble: COUNCIL HAVE ANY PROBLEM WITH THAT?  
10:32:13 >>Howard Levitan: THREE MINUTES THEN.  
10:32:16 >>Neale Montgomery: THANK YOU.  
10:32:17 I THINK IF YOU LOOK AT YOUR LIST OF THINGS IN THE GREEN  
10:32:20 BUILDING, YOU'RE GOING TO FIND THAT THERE ARE SOME THAT  
10:32:23 YOU'LL HAVE CHALLENGES WITH.  
10:32:24 THE OTHER THING IS, IT DOESN'T SAY WHETHER THAT APPLIES TO  
10:32:29 JUST NEW HOUSES OR NEW PROJECTS OR WHETHER DO I HAVE TO  
10:32:32 BRING AN EXISTING SITE LIKE MIROMAR OUTLET OR COCONUT POINT  
10:32:37 INTO COMPLIANCE?  
10:32:39 AND I WOULD SUBMIT YOU WON'T BE ABLE TO DO THAT FOR PROJECTS  
10:32:43 THAT ARE WELL UNDERWAY IN TERMS OF DEVELOPMENT.  
10:32:45 AGAIN, I GO BACK TO YOU'RE NOT ALLOWED TO HAVE A DEVIATION.

10:32:50 I DON'T KNOW WHAT YOU DO.  
10:32:51 THE INTENT IS TO BRING EVERYTHING TO A SCREECHING HALT.  
10:32:55 IT WILL ACHIEVE THAT.  
10:32:57 I ALSO WANTED TO POINT OUT THERE ARE SOME HEIGHT LIMITS IN



10:33:02 THE PLANNED DEVELOPMENTS THAT ARE INCONSISTENT WITH SOME  
10:33:04 APPROVED PROJECTS.  
10:33:05 AGAIN, IF YOU ADDRESS THE VESTING ISSUE, I THINK THAT  
10:33:08 RESOLVES THAT.  
10:33:10 FOR PEOPLE WHO HAVE MOVED FORWARD IN RELIANCE ON THEIR  
10:33:13 EXISTING APPROVALS, I THINK THAT CREATES SOME CONCERNS.  
10:33:16 YOU HAVE A SECTION ON PLATTING, AND I SHOULD LOVE IT BECAUSE  
10:33:20 IT WENT FROM PAGES AND PAGES TO LIKE BASICALLY A PARAGRAPH  
10:33:24 AND A HALF, AND WE'VE BEEN USING THE LEE COUNTY  
10:33:28 ADMINISTRATIVE CODE, WHICH IS PROBABLY 20 PAGES LONG, SO IT  
10:33:31 HAS OODLES OF DETAILS.  
10:33:34 BASICALLY NOW WE HAVE ONE PARAGRAPH THAT SAYS WE'LL COMPLY  
10:33:36 WITH 177, WHICH IS GREAT, BUT THE FIRST TIME WE START TO  
10:33:41 HAVE ISSUES ABOUT WHAT DOES THE SURETY LOOK LIKE, WHAT DO  
10:33:44 THE BONDS LOOK LIKE, WHAT KIND OF BANK CAN WE HAVE, ALL THE  
10:33:47 LITTLE DETAILS THAT ARE ADDRESSED IN EXISTING CODE AND  
10:33:51 ADMINISTRATIVE CODE, WE DON'T HAVE ANY OF THAT.  
10:33:54 SO I THINK IT'S GREAT.  
10:33:55 WE'VE SHORTENED IT BUT I DON'T THINK AGAIN THAT'S EXACTLY  
10:33:58 WHERE YOU WANT TO BE.  
10:34:02 WE NEED TO FIX THE VESTING.

10:34:03 WE NEED TO NOT MAKE PEOPLE WHO ARE VESTED BY OPERATION OF  
10:34:06 STATE LAW GO THROUGH A PROCESS.  
10:34:09 I THINK THERE ARE SOME OF THESE DETAILS THAT WE NEED TO LOOK  
10:34:13 AT FOR THE BENEFIT OF ALL EXISTING COMMUNITIES.  
10:34:17 THANK YOU.  
10:34:20 >>Mayor Ribble: THANK YOU.  
10:34:21 CAROL?  
10:34:22 >>Carol Sacco: NO, SIR.  
10:34:24 >>Mayor Ribble: THAT'S IT?  
10:34:25 >>Carol Sacco: YES.  
10:34:26 >>Mayor Ribble: I THOUGHT WE HAD SOME FOLKS WRITE IN, NO?  
10:34:29 WE DIDN'T HAVE ANYBODY WRITE IN A WRITTEN COMMENT?  
10:34:33 >>Carol Sacco: NO, THAT'S IT.  
10:34:34 >>Mary Gibbs: IF I COULD JUST ADD, THE WRITTEN COMMENTS ARE  
10:34:37 ALL ATTACHED TO YOUR DOCUMENT.  
10:34:40 WE DIDN'T GET ANY NEW ONES.  
10:34:42 WE HAVEN'T GOTTEN ANY NEW ONES.  
10:34:44 WE DID GET SOME COMMENTS ABOUT TONIGHT'S MEETING.  
10:34:48 >>Mayor Ribble: DID YOU WANT TO COVER THOSE OR NO?  
10:34:51 >>Mary Gibbs: I WOULD LIKE TO JUST ADDRESS -- I THINK NANCY  
10:34:54 WILL ADDRESS THE VESTING ISSUES.  
10:34:56 I JUST WANT TO ADDRESS A COUPLE OF COMMENTS.  
10:35:00 IN THE PATTY WHITEHEAD QUESTION ABOUT WHAT APPLIES TO NEW  
10:35:03 DEVELOPMENTS, I THINK NANCY WILL BE TOUCHING ON THAT WITH  
10:35:06 HER VESTING BECAUSE THE LANGUAGE WE'VE GOT IN THE CODE NOW

10:35:11 SAYS YOU HAVE TO -- YOU'RE VESTED, BUT IF YOU MAKE  
10:35:15 SUBSTANTIAL MODIFICATIONS, THEN NEW PROVISIONS MAY APPLY.  
10:35:19 BUT I'M GOING TO LET NANCY ADDRESS THAT.  
10:35:21 AND THAT WILL TOUCH ON PATTY'S ISSUE AS WELL.  
10:35:23 THERE ARE JUST TWO THINGS THAT NEALE BROUGHT UP THAT I THINK  
10:35:28 WE WILL FIX.  
10:35:29 ONE OF THEM, WHEN SHE REFERRED TO THE TABLE WITH THE  
10:35:32 ACCESSORY USES THAT KEEPS REFERRING TO THE LISTING ALL THE  
10:35:36 USES ON THE APPROVED MASTER CONCEPT PLAN, I THINK THAT WAS  
10:35:40 AN INCORRECT REFERENCE.  
10:35:41 WHAT WE NEED TO REFER TO IS THE APPROVED ZONING ORDINANCE OR  
10:35:45 RESOLUTION.  
10:35:45 SO I THINK THAT'S A FIX THAT WE CAN MAKE BEFORE THE NEXT  
10:35:49 MEETING, AND THAT WILL RESOLVE THE CONFUSION WITH THAT.  
10:35:54 AND THEN THE PLATTING, WHICH IS A BRIEF SECTION BECAUSE THE  
10:36:00 PLATTING IS VERY MUCH REGULATED BY STATE STATUTE, WE WILL,  
10:36:05 ONCE WE FINISH THIS LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE, THAT'S THE  
10:36:08 BEGINNING OF MORE FUN, BECAUSE WE WILL NEED TO DO AN  
10:36:11 ADMINISTRATIVE MANUAL, AND WE WILL HAVE TO BASICALLY HAVE  
10:36:14 THESE ADMINISTRATIVE CODES AND MAKE CHANGES TO THOSE TO  
10:36:17 CONFORM WITH OUR RULES.  
10:36:19 SO THE PLANNING WE WILL HAVE TO PLACE DETAILS OF A LOT OF  
10:36:23 THESE THINGS IN AN ADMINISTRATIVE MANUAL.  
10:36:27 I THINK THAT'S OUR ANSWER ON THE PLATTING.  
10:36:29 I WOULD LIKE TO TURN IT OVER TO NANCY AND SEE IF SHE CAN  
  
10:36:33 TALK ABOUT THE VESTING.  
10:36:37 >>Nancy Stroud: THANK YOU, MARY.  
10:36:38 NEALE IS ENTIRELY RIGHT THAT THE CHARTER REQUIRES YOU TO  
10:36:45 RECOGNIZE VESTED RIGHTS PREVIOUSLY APPROVED DEVELOPMENT  
10:36:50 ORDERS UNDER FLORIDA LAW FOR DEVELOPMENTS OF REGIONAL  
10:36:54 IMPACT.  
10:36:55 THE CODE ACTUALLY GOES BEYOND THAT IN PROVISION 1606 AND  
10:37:00 SAYS THAT NOTHING IN THIS CODE IS INTENDED TO REPEAL,  
10:37:03 SUPERSEDE, ANNUL, IMPAIR, OR INTERFERE WITH ANY VESTED  
10:37:07 RIGHTS.  
10:37:08 SO THAT GOES EVEN BEYOND WHAT THE CHARTER REQUIRES IN TERMS  
10:37:14 OF DRIs.  
10:37:15 AS FAR AS THE VESTED RIGHTS PROCEDURE, THAT'S AN OPTIONAL  
10:37:23 PROCEDURE FOR THE DEVELOPER.  
10:37:25 IF A DEVELOPER OR AN OWNER IS IN DOUBT ABOUT WHAT VESTED  
10:37:30 RIGHTS APPLY, BECAUSE VESTED RIGHTS ARE AN INDIVIDUAL  
10:37:36 CASE-BY-CASE DETERMINATION, DEPENDING ON WHAT'S BEEN GRANTED  
10:37:40 IN THE DEVELOPMENT APPROVAL AND WHAT RELIANCE HAS BEEN SHOWN  
10:37:43 AND ALL THE KIND OF CRITERIA THAT YOU ACTUALLY SEE SET OUT  
10:37:47 IN THAT PROCEDURE.  
10:37:49 THAT'S AN OPTION THAT THE DEVELOPER CAN GO TO.  
10:37:54 THEY HAVE VESTED RIGHTS BY LAW.

10:37:55 THEY CAN GO DIRECTLY TO COURT IF THEY WANT TO DO THAT, BUT  
10:37:58 IF THEY WANT TO HAVE A CONSIDERATION BY THE COUNCIL, THEY'D  
10:38:06 HAVE TO GO TO THE EXPENSE OF GOING TO COURT, THIS IS A  
  
10:38:08 PROCESS WHERE THEY CAN DO THAT.  
10:38:10 THE CRITERIA ARE THE SAME CRITERIA THAT THE COURT WOULD  
10:38:12 APPLY IF THEY WENT TO COURT.  
10:38:13 THEY HAVE VESTED RIGHTS.  
10:38:18 WHATEVER VESTED RIGHTS THEY HAVE, THEY HAVE.  
10:38:20 THEY ARE NOT BEING INTERFERED WITH.  
10:38:24 >>Neale Montgomery: [NOT SPEAKING INTO A MICROPHONE]  
10:38:28 >>Howard Levitan: THIS ISN'T A DEBATE, I DON'T THINK.  
10:38:33 >>Nancy Stroud: IF YOU WANT, WE CAN PUT AT THE OPTION OF THE  
10:38:36 DEVELOPER, IF THAT'S SOMETHING THAT WOULD CLARIFY.  
10:38:40 BUT I DON'T THINK IT REALLY NEEDS THAT CLARIFICATION.  
10:38:43 IN TERMS OF WHAT HAPPENS IF A DEVELOPER COMES IN FOR  
10:38:50 SUBSTANTIAL CHANGES TO AN ALREADY APPROVED PERMIT, WE'VE  
10:38:54 INCORPORATED WHAT LEE COUNTY HAS INCORPORATED, AND IT'S IN  
10:39:00 OUR TRANSITIONAL CODE.  
10:39:01 IT'S REALLY CONSISTENT WITH THE LAW.  
10:39:03 IF YOU MAKE A SUBSTANTIAL MODIFICATION TO YOUR DEVELOPMENT  
10:39:06 ORDER, THEN THOSE SUBSTANTIAL MODIFICATIONS WILL BE REVIEWED  
10:39:13 ACCORDING TO THE CURRENT LDC.  
10:39:18 IF YOU LOOKED AT ACTUAL DRI DEVELOPMENT ORDERS, THAT'S  
10:39:22 ALREADY WRITTEN INTO THE DEVELOPMENT ORDER, FOR THE MOST  
10:39:24 PART.  
10:39:25 SO THAT'S NO CHANGE.  
10:39:26 AND THAT'S, IN FACT, WHAT WE'VE BEEN DOING EVER SINCE WE'VE  
10:39:30 BEEN INCORPORATED AS A VILLAGE.  
  
10:39:32 CLEARLY, VESTED RIGHTS ARE A CONCERN TO ANYBODY WHO HAS A  
10:39:37 PERMIT, BUT I'M TELLING YOU THAT I BELIEVE THAT THE CODE IS  
10:39:45 MORE THAN ADEQUATE TO PROTECT WHATEVER VESTED RIGHTS A  
10:39:50 DEVELOPER MIGHT HAVE.  
10:39:51 MARY, DID YOU HAVE ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU WANTED TO ADD?  
10:40:00 >>Mary Gibbs: I THINK THAT ADDRESSES THE QUESTIONS OF THE  
10:40:03 PUBLIC INPUT, ALTHOUGH I THINK SOMEBODY WANTS TO DEBATE IT A  
10:40:08 LITTLE BIT.  
10:40:10 >>Neale Montgomery: I DON'T REALLY WANT TO DEBATE IT.  
10:40:15 >>Mary Gibbs: I THINK ONE OF THE PUBLIC INPUT PEOPLE IS  
10:40:19 ASKING THE COUNCIL IF THEY COULD MAKE ANOTHER STATEMENT.  
10:40:22 I THINK IT'S UP TO COUNCIL.  
10:40:26 >>Mayor Ribble: ARE YOU ALL RIGHT WITH THAT, COUNCIL?  
10:40:28 I DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH IT.  
10:40:29 IF YOU DON'T SPEAK AT THE PODIUM, NEALE, WE CAN'T HEAR YOU.  
10:40:35 >>Neale Montgomery: I APPRECIATE EVERYTHING THAT NANCY JUST  
10:40:37 SAID.  
10:40:39 IF WE COULD ADD THE CLARITY THAT THAT APPLICATION PROCESS

10:40:45 AND THE FLOW CHART ONLY APPLIES IF SOMEONE CHOOSES OR FEELS  
10:40:48 LIKE THEY NEED TO EXERCISE THAT OPTION.  
10:40:51 BUT IF WE CAN ALL AGREE THAT IF IT'S CLEAR, WHICH NANCY JUST  
10:40:54 DID, IF THE STATE STATUTE APPLIES, WE'RE GOING TO FOLLOW IT,  
10:40:58 I'M GOOD.  
10:40:59 I JUST THINK WE NEED THE CLARIFICATION SHE JUST PROVIDED IN  
10:41:01 THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE.

10:41:02 THAT WOULD HELP EVERYBODY.

10:41:05 >>Mayor Ribble: NANCY, A COMMENT?

10:41:09 >>Nancy Stroud: I'LL TAKE A LOOK AT WHAT COULD BE MORE  
10:41:13 CLARIFYING AND COME BACK ON SECOND READING.

10:41:16 >>Howard Levitan: BILL, CAN I MAKE ONE COMMENT, TOO?

10:41:19 >>Mayor Ribble: SURE, HOWARD.

10:41:20 >>Howard Levitan: I LOVE TO HAVE THE DEBATE.

10:41:22 IT'S ALWAYS NICE TO SEE NEALE MAKING SOME MONEY.

10:41:25 [ LAUGHTER ]

10:41:25 I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE WE ALL ARE CLEAR ABOUT THIS VESTED  
10:41:32 RIGHTS PROCESS.

10:41:33 MY UNDERSTANDING AND WHY WE FIRST STARTED WITH THAT WAS THAT  
10:41:37 IF IT WAS FOR SITUATIONS WHERE VESTED RIGHTS CLAIM HAD BEEN  
10:41:43 MADE, IN OTHER WORDS, IF A DEVELOPER WANTED TO DO SOMETHING  
10:41:47 THAT WE THOUGHT WAS A SUBSTANTIAL CHANGE, AND THEY DIDN'T  
10:41:53 AGREE, THAT THERE WAS AN ADMINISTRATIVE PROCESS THAT COULD  
10:41:56 DEAL WITH THAT.

10:41:57 SO WHILE, YEAH, IT IS OPTIONAL IN THE SENSE THAT THEY DON'T  
10:42:00 HAVE TO DO IT, THEY CAN GO DIRECTLY AND SUE US, THE  
10:42:06 IMPORTANT THING IS THAT IF THEY DO THAT, THEY HAVEN'T  
10:42:10 EXHAUSTED THEIR ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY.

10:42:13 THAT'S WHY WE WANTED TO PROVIDE AN ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY.

10:42:16 THEY DON'T HAVE TO DO IT, BUT THEY STAND THE RISK OF GETTING  
10:42:20 THROWN OUT OF COURT IF THEY DO THAT.

10:42:22 AND WE WANTED TO BE ABLE TO HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO LOOK AT

10:42:26 THIS IN DETAIL AND TO DO IT AS A QUASI-JUDICIAL PROCESS SO  
10:42:32 THAT WE COULD THEN MAKE A FINAL DETERMINATION AS THE  
10:42:35 VILLAGE, NOT JUST IF THEY GET TURNED DOWN BY COMMUNITY  
10:42:38 DEVELOPMENT OR THE PLANNING BOARD DIDN'T LIKE IT, BUT THE  
10:42:41 COUNCIL COULD MAKE A FINAL DETERMINATION, YEAH, THAT'S A  
10:42:46 VESTED RIGHT, OR, NO, THAT'S NOT A VESTED RIGHT.

10:42:49 I THINK IT'S A VERY IMPORTANT PROCESS, AND I WOULDN'T JUST  
10:42:52 THROW IT OUT AND MAKE IT -- I WOULD MAKE CERTAIN, NANCY,  
10:42:59 WE'RE CLEAR ABOUT WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO DO HERE.

10:43:02 >>Mayor Ribble: NANCY, ANY MORE COMMENTS?

10:43:06 >>Nancy Stroud: NO, THANK YOU.

10:43:08 >>Mayor Ribble: STEVE, ANY COMMENTS?

10:43:09 >>Steven Sarkozy: NO.

10:43:09 >>Mayor Ribble: I KNOW I TOOK SOME SHOTS FROM MY PEERS ABOUT

10:43:14 ASKING TO HAVE THE WORKSHOP ON THIS LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE,  
10:43:16 BUT I THINK IT WAS IMPORTANT TO HAVE THIS SESSION HERE.  
10:43:19 I APOLOGIZE FOR MISSING THIS MORNING.  
10:43:22 I WAS ONE OF THE LUCKY PEOPLE THAT GOT A CALL BACK, HAVE AN  
10:43:26 APPOINTMENT AND IT WAS TAKE IT OR LEAVE IT FOR 9:30.  
10:43:29 I'VE GOT TO TELL YOU, TO HOWARD'S COMMENT, I'VE NEVER SEEN  
10:43:32 ANYTHING SO ORGANIZED IN MY LIFE, AND I WAS TELLING ONE OF  
10:43:36 THE GUYS IN THE PARKING LOT THIS MORNING, I CAN'T BELIEVE  
10:43:39 THEY GOT SO MANY PEOPLE TO WORK AT THAT AIRPORT IN ALL  
10:43:44 DIFFERENT POSITIONS THAT ARE SO POLITE AND SO HELPFUL.  
10:43:48 I MEAN, THEY JUST ENGAGE YOU THROUGH THE WHOLE PROCESS.

10:43:51 I HAD A 9:30 APPOINTMENT.  
10:43:53 I HAD THE VACCINE AT 9:06, AND SAT THERE FOR 15 MINUTES AND  
10:43:58 TOOK MY GOOD OL' TIME.  
10:44:00 ANYWAY, HOPEFULLY YOU FOLKS SAW THE POSTING THAT AT 12:00  
10:44:05 TODAY, THE NUMBER OPENS UP AGAIN.  
10:44:08 IT'S A DIFFERENT NUMBER THIS TIME.  
10:44:09 I ALWAYS ENCOURAGE EVERYONE THAT CALLS ME AND I KNOW KYLE  
10:44:12 DOES THIS, GET ON THE LEE COUNTY WEBSITE.  
10:44:16 IN FACT, EVERY ONE OF THEIR EMPLOYEES AS THEY ARE TALKING TO  
10:44:19 YOU, GO THROUGH THE LINE AND SIT THERE FOR THE VACCINE, IF  
10:44:23 YOU WANT THE STRAIGHT SCOOP, GET ON THAT LEE COUNTY WEBSITE.  
10:44:26 IT'S UP TO DATE EVERY COUPLE OF HOURS AND THEY'LL GIVE YOU  
10:44:29 THE LATEST.  
10:44:29 ANYWAY, I'M GLAD WE HAD THE WORKSHOP.  
10:44:31 I THINK IT CLEARED A LOT UP.  
10:44:33 MARY.  
10:44:34 >>Mary Gibbs: WELL, I WASN'T QUITE FINISHED YET.  
10:44:36 [ LAUGHTER ]  
10:44:37 >>Mayor Ribble: OH, I THOUGHT YOU WERE DONE.  
10:44:39 >>Mary Gibbs: NO, SADLY.  
10:44:42 >>Mayor Ribble: GO AHEAD.  
10:44:43 >>Mary Gibbs: SADLY, I HAVE A FEW MORE COMMENTS.  
10:44:45 I JUST WANTED TO I THINK CLARIFY WHAT THE NEXT STEPS WILL BE  
10:44:49 AND WHAT WE'RE GOING TO DO BECAUSE WE HAVE THE PUBLIC  
10:44:52 HEARING, THE SECOND PUBLIC HEARING IS COMING UP ON THE

10:44:55 27th, WHICH IS TWO WEEKS FROM TODAY.  
10:44:58 WE'RE GOING TO TAKE THE DIRECTION WE GOT TODAY ON THESE  
10:45:02 THINGS IN THE ADDENDUM SHEET WHERE WE SAID WE WOULD CHANGE  
10:45:05 THESE.  
10:45:06 WE'LL COME BACK WITH A NEW DOCUMENT.  
10:45:08 I'M NOT QUITE SURE -- WE HAVE TO FIND ANOTHER COLOR TO MAKE  
10:45:11 THE NEW CHANGES IN IF WE CARRY THE OLD ONES AND BRING  
10:45:14 FORWARD THE NEW ONES FOR THE SECOND PUBLIC HEARING.  
10:45:16 SO WE'LL HAVE A NEW DOCUMENT, WHICH YOU SHOULD GET ABOUT A  
10:45:20 WEEK BEFORE THIS NEXT HEARING.

10:45:23 I DID WANT TO BRING UP -- SO I THINK BASED ON ALL THOSE  
10:45:27 CHANGES, AND THE FEW THINGS YOU ASKED US TO LOOK AT, WE'LL  
10:45:30 LOOK AT THE VESTING.  
10:45:32 I WANT TO TALK TO NANCY.  
10:45:33 I WANT TO MAKE SURE IT'S STRAIGHT IN MY HEAD AS STAFF, TOO,  
10:45:36 HOW WE PROCESS THESE.  
10:45:37 SO WE'LL LOOK AT THAT.  
10:45:40 I THINK THE OTHER THING WE WANTED TO ASK ABOUT TODAY WAS A  
10:45:44 LITTLE BIT OF DIRECTION OR THOUGHT ON THE RECOMMENDATION OF  
10:45:49 COMBINING THE TWO BOARDS -- THE PLANNING AND ZONING AND  
10:45:53 DESIGN REVIEW BOARD -- WOULD BE UNDER THIS CODE COMBINED  
10:45:56 INTO THE PLANNING ZONING AND DESIGN BOARD.  
10:45:59 THE LANGUAGE -- THE WAY IT'S WRITTEN RIGHT NOW, IT'S A  
10:46:03 SEVEN-MEMBER BOARD.  
10:46:04 BUT THE PLANNING AND ZONING BOARD SUGGESTED THAT MAYBE WE  
  
10:46:07 SHOULD MAKE IT A NINE-MEMBER BOARD OR UP TO NINE MEMBERS AND  
10:46:12 TRANSITION IT MAYBE OVER TIME, BUT MAYBE START WITH NINE  
10:46:15 INSTEAD OF SEVEN.  
10:46:18 RIGHT NOW, IT'S WRITTEN AS SEVEN.  
10:46:20 YOU DON'T NEED TO DECIDE RIGHT THIS MINUTE.  
10:46:22 >>Mayor Ribble: DO YOU WANT TO DISCUSS IT OR NO?  
10:46:24 HOWARD HAS HIS HAND UP.  
10:46:26 DO YOU WANT TO DISCUSS IT, MARY, OR NO?  
10:46:29 >>Mary Gibbs: I WANTED TO KNOW IF YOU WANTED TO GIVE US ANY  
10:46:31 DIRECTION TODAY.  
10:46:32 OTHERWISE, YOU'LL HAVE TO DECIDE ON THE 27th AS TO THE  
10:46:37 NUMBER.  
10:46:37 >>Mayor Ribble: HOWARD, DO YOU HAVE A COMMENT?  
10:46:40 >>Howard Levitan: WE HAD ORIGINALLY SET IT AT 7.  
10:46:43 BUT THE COMMENTS FROM THE BOARDS, BOTH OF THEM, REALLY SAID,  
10:46:48 YOU KNOW, WE DON'T KNOW HOW MUCH EXTRA WORK THIS IS GOING TO  
10:46:50 BE FOR US AS ONE BOARD BECAUSE WE'RE HANDLING BOTH ELEMENTS  
10:46:56 OF DEVELOPMENT PROCESS.  
10:46:59 AND WE MAY -- WE WANT TO MAKE CERTAIN THAT WE HAVE GOOD  
10:47:02 ATTENDANCE AND IF SOMEBODY CAN'T MAKE IT, THAT IT ISN'T  
10:47:07 GOING TO CAUSE A PROBLEM.  
10:47:08 I DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH GOING TO NINE, BUT I THINK IT  
10:47:13 SHOULD BE LIMITED TO THE FIRST THREE YEARS THAT WE SET THE  
10:47:17 ROTATION SYSTEM SO THAT WE WOULD DROP BACK FROM NINE TO  
10:47:22 SEVEN AFTER THREE YEARS.  
  
10:47:26 IF IT'S WORKING WITH NINE, WHICH I THINK IS AN UNWIELDY  
10:47:29 NUMBER, THEN WE COULD KEEP GOING ON JUST BY A SIMPLE CHANGE.  
10:47:37 I THINK THAT YOU COULD ALSO JUST HAVE A PROVISION IN THERE  
10:47:40 THAT THE VILLAGE INSTEAD OF DICTATING A NUMBER, YOU JUST SAY  
10:47:45 A MINIMUM OF SEVEN AND A MAXIMUM OF X, AND LET THE COUNCIL  
10:47:56 SET THAT WHEN THEY WANT TO.

10:47:57           THERE ARE A NUMBER OF WAYS OF DEALING WITH THAT.  
10:48:01           AND IF THAT'S THE ONLY ISSUE, I THINK WE SHOULD BE FLEXIBLE  
10:48:06           AT THE OUTSET.  
10:48:08           >>Mary Gibbs: WE'RE LOOK AT THAT LANGUAGE IN THE MEANTIME,  
10:48:11           WE COME BACK TO THE NEXT MEETING WITH SOME THOUGHTS.  
10:48:14           >>Mayor Ribble: ANY OTHER COMMENTS FROM COUNCIL ON THE  
10:48:16           ISSUE?  
10:48:17           >>Jon McLain: I CAN SEE THE NINE.  
10:48:18           NO PROBLEM WITH NINE.  
10:48:20           IF FOR SOME REASON THERE WAS A REDUCTION IN THAT NUMBER THAT  
10:48:23           WAS VOLUNTARY, WOULD WE BE SEEKING TO BRING IT BACK UP TO  
10:48:27           NINE OR JUST LET IT ROTATE DOWN TO SEVEN?  
10:48:30           >>Mary Gibbs: WELL, I THINK WE'RE TRYING TO KEEP THE  
10:48:33           LANGUAGE FAIRLY SIMPLE, SO LET US TAKE A LOOK AT IT.  
10:48:36           IF YOU CHANGE THE NUMBER OF MEMBERS EVERY TIME, IF YOU  
10:48:39           CHANGE SOMETHING, NOW YOU'VE GOT TO GO BACK AND DO AN  
10:48:42           ORDINANCE AMENDMENT AND HAVE TWO READINGS.  
10:48:44           IT WOULD BE NICE IF WE HAD THE LANGUAGE FLEXIBLE ENOUGH SO  
10:48:47           WE DIDN'T HAVE TO KEEP CHANGING IT JUST BECAUSE YOU HAD A  
  
10:48:50           DIFFERENCE IN NUMBER OF MEMBERS, BUT I THINK --  
10:48:53           >>Mayor Ribble: JIM AS A COMMENT.  
10:48:57           >>Jim Boesch: WHY CAN'T WE RESOLVE IT TODAY?  
10:49:00           >>Howard Levitan: WELL, IF WE DO, I SAY NINE FOR THE FIRST  
10:49:03           THREE YEARS.  
10:49:04           >>Mayor Ribble: I'LL TELL YOU WHAT, HOWARD, YOU BROUGHT UP  
10:49:07           THE QUESTION OF HAVING SOME LANGUAGE THAT GAVE YOU A -- A  
10:49:12           LOT OF DIFFERENT CHARTERS OF A LOT OF DIFFERENT  
10:49:14           ORGANIZATIONS THAT I WORK WITH HAVE A CHARTER THAT SAYS  
10:49:17           SEVEN TO NINE OR SEVEN TO TEN.  
10:49:20           ONE OF THE CLUBS HAS FIVE TO TEN.  
10:49:22           I THINK IT'S BETTER IF YOU HAVE A RANGE.  
10:49:26           THEN YOU KNOW YOU HAVE TO GET EXCITED IF YOU GET DOWN TO THE  
10:49:30           MINIMUM NUMBER.  
10:49:32           IF YOU'RE STARTING TO GET TOO LARGE, WELL, YOU CAN MANAGE  
10:49:36           THAT ACCORDINGLY.  
10:49:37           I LIKE HAVING THE FLEXIBILITY, HAVING A --  
10:49:41           >>Howard Levitan: WHY DON'T WE SAY 7 TO 9.  
10:49:44           >>Mayor Ribble: I CAN AGREE WITH THAT.  
10:49:46           JON, ARE YOU ALL RIGHT WITH THAT?  
10:49:48           KATY?  
10:49:48           >>Vice-Mayor Errington: I HAVE A QUESTION.  
10:49:49           WILL ONE OF THE MEMBERS HAVE TO BE CERTIFIED LANDSCAPE  
10:49:54           ARCHITECT?  
10:49:55           ARE WE STILL WITH THAT?  
  
10:49:56           >>Mary Gibbs: THE WAY THE CODE IS WRITTEN NOW, IT'S A LOT  
10:49:59           MORE FLEXIBLE.

10:50:00 IT SAYS THAT A CERTAIN NUMBER I THINK HAS TO BE RESIDENTS OR  
10:50:05 HAVE BUSINESSES IN ESTERO, BUT IT DOESN'T DICTATE ONE  
10:50:10 LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT, ONE PLANNER.  
10:50:12 SAYS YOU SHOULD HAVE A RANGE OF PEOPLE WITH DIVERSE  
10:50:15 BACKGROUNDS AND SOME DESIGN PROFESSIONALS I THINK IS THE WAY  
10:50:19 WE'VE GOT IT WRITTEN.  
10:50:20 IT'S NOT AS STRICT AS WHAT WE HAVE RIGHT NOW.  
10:50:26 >>Vice-Mayor Errington: HOW WOULD WE CONTROL SOMEONE WHO IS  
10:50:29 REALLY, REALLY GOOD WITH LANDSCAPING AND ARCHITECTURAL  
10:50:32 DESIGN IF WE DON'T DO THAT?  
10:50:35 I'M JUST OPENING THIS UP.  
10:50:37 >>Mayor Ribble: STAY WITH THE NUMBER RIGHT NOW.  
10:50:38 THE QUESTION IS 7 TO 9.  
10:50:41 ALL RIGHT WITH THE 7 TO 9 NUMBER?  
10:50:42 >>Vice-Mayor Errington: SURE.  
10:50:44 >>Jim Boesch: YEAH.  
10:50:46 >>Mayor Ribble: HOWARD, YOU SAID 7 TO 9.  
10:50:49 >>Howard Levitan: I WAS THE ONE THAT PROPOSED IT.  
10:50:51 >>Mayor Ribble: JIM WILSON.  
10:50:53 >>Jim Wilson: I'M HERE.  
10:50:54 WILSON IS HERE, AND I AGREE WITH THE 7 TO 9.  
10:51:00 >> VERY GOOD.  
10:51:01 ALL RIGHT.

10:51:01 SO IT SOUNDS LIKE WE ACCOMPLISHED THAT.  
10:51:05 WHAT IS THE NEXT THING WE HAVE TO DO?  
10:51:06 >>Mary Gibbs: I THINK THAT WAS IT BECAUSE I THINK WE HAVE  
10:51:09 ENOUGH DIRECTION ON THE OTHER ITEMS, UNLESS THE CONSULTANTS  
10:51:12 --  
10:51:12 >>Mayor Ribble: HOW ARE WE GOING TO COMBINE THE BOARDS?  
10:51:14 DON'T WE NEED TO TALK ABOUT THE MECHANISM?  
10:51:16 >>Mary Gibbs: I THINK THAT'S NOT IN THE CODE.  
10:51:18 THE LOGISTICS ARE NOT IN THE CODE.  
10:51:20 SO I THINK THAT IS GOING TO BE UP TO HOW COUNCIL WANTS TO DO  
10:51:24 IT, WHEN YOU WANT TO APPOINT THEM.  
10:51:26 I THINK THAT CAN BE SOMETHING THAT WE CAN TALK ABOUT --  
10:51:31 STEVE AND I WILL TALK ABOUT, I THINK, BEFORE THE NEXT  
10:51:34 MEETING AND COME UP WITH SOME IDEAS.  
10:51:35 >>Mayor Ribble: YOU DON'T WANT ANY OF OUR IDEAS NOW, IS THAT  
10:51:38 WHAT YOU'RE SAYING?  
10:51:39 >>Mary Gibbs: NO, DIDN'T SAY THAT.  
10:51:41 >>Mayor Ribble: I ALREADY TOLD STEVE MY IDEA, BUT EVIDENTLY  
10:51:44 HE'S NOT SHARING IDEAS.  
10:51:46 HERE'S MY IDEA.  
10:51:47 MY IDEA IS ALL THE PEOPLE INTERESTED IN DOING THIS BEING ON  
10:51:51 THIS BOARD SHOULD APPLY.  
10:51:52 WE INTERVIEW ALL 13, 9 OR 7 OR HOWEVER MANY APPLY FROM BOTH  
10:51:57 BOARDS, AND THEN WE PICK WHICH ONES WE THINK ARE THE BEST



10:52:01 FIT FOR THE NEW BOARD.

10:52:04 HOW DOES THAT SOUND?  
10:52:06 ARE YOU ALL RIGHT WITH THAT?  
10:52:10 >>Jon McLain: YEAH.  
10:52:10 >>Mayor Ribble: HOWARD?  
10:52:12 >>Howard Levitan: I WOULD LIKE TO COMMENT ON THAT, ONE OF  
10:52:14 THE THINGS WE DID IN THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE ON THIS  
10:52:17 PROVISION WAS TO MOVE OUT THE DATE, BECAUSE OUR CURRENT DATE  
10:52:23 IS MARCH 31st.  
10:52:26 YOU DON'T EVEN HAVE AN ORGANIZATIONAL MEETING OF THE COUNCIL  
10:52:30 EACH YEAR UNTIL MARCH, AROUND THE 17th.  
10:52:34 THIS YEAR, IT'S THE 17th FOR THE NEW COUNCIL TO BE SEATED.  
10:52:38 SO WE MOVED THAT DATE TO PICK THE BOARD OUT TO NO LATER THAN  
10:52:44 APRIL 30th, WHEN THEIR TERMS STARTED.  
10:52:48 SO THE REAL ISSUE HERE, I THINK, IS WHETHER OR NOT WE DO  
10:52:51 THIS AS A BOARD OR THE NEW COUNCIL DOES THIS AS A BOARD.  
10:52:55 I THINK YOU ALL OUGHT TO THINK ABOUT THAT AS TO HOW YOU WANT  
10:52:59 TO HANDLE THAT AS TO WHETHER WE WANT TO SET THE BOARD FOR  
10:53:05 THE NEXT COUNCIL.  
10:53:06 I'M A LITTLE LEERY ABOUT THAT TO BE HONEST WITH YOU.  
10:53:11 >>Mayor Ribble: WHY ARE YOU LEERY?  
10:53:13 I DON'T UNDERSTAND YOUR LEERINESS?  
10:53:17 >>Howard Levitan: THEY MAY HAVE DIFFERENT IDEAS OF WHO THEY  
10:53:21 WANT TO SERVE WITH THEM.  
10:53:22 THERE'S GOING TO BE THREE NEW PEOPLE ON THE BOARD.  
10:53:24 I THINK IT'S SOMETHING WE OUGHT TO LET THEM DEAL WITH.

10:53:31 >>Jim Boesch: I AGREE THAT YOU SHOULD HAVE THE EXISTING  
10:53:33 BOARD DO IT.  
10:53:35 THEY HAVE THE EXPERIENCE AND THE KNOWLEDGE, AND THEY ARE  
10:53:37 INVOLVED IN IT.  
10:53:39 QUITE HONESTLY, HOWARD, THE NEXT TIME THAT HAPPENS, THAT NEW  
10:53:42 BOARD WILL BE THE OLD BOARD THAT CAN DO THE SAME THING THAT  
10:53:47 WE RECOMMEND AND WE DO NOW.  
10:53:50 >>Mayor Ribble: JON, WHAT'S YOUR THOUGHTS?  
10:53:53 >>Jon McLain: I AGREE WITH JIM IS THAT WE'VE GOT A LOT OF  
10:53:56 EXPERIENCE IN STARTING UP THE VILLAGE THAT IS CURRENTLY  
10:54:01 STILL ACTIVE, AND I DON'T THINK WE'RE TAKING ANYTHING AWAY  
10:54:05 FROM THE NEW BOARD.  
10:54:09 WE'D BE PUTTING PEOPLE IN PLACE SO QUICKLY AFTER THEY ARE IN  
10:54:13 PLACE, I DON'T THINK THEY HAVE THE KNOWLEDGE TO DO.  
10:54:15 SO I WOULD SAY THIS BOARD SHOULD PROBABLY APPOINT NEW FOLKS.  
10:54:19 >>Mayor Ribble: KATY?  
10:54:21 >>Vice-Mayor Errington: I AGREE WITH JON AND JIM.  
10:54:22 I THINK THIS BOARD SHOULD DO IT BECAUSE I THINK THAT WOULD  
10:54:25 BE AN EXTRA BURDEN ON THE NEW BOARD COMING IN.  
10:54:28 I'M ALL IN FAVOR OF JUST DOING IT.

10:54:31 >>Nick Batos: I THINK I AGREE WITH HOWARD.  
10:54:33 I THINK THAT THE NEW BOARD SHOULD HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO  
10:54:38 SELECT PEOPLE WHO THEY WOULD LIKE TO HAVE ON THERE.  
10:54:42 I THINK IN MOST CASES, THE PEOPLE WHO ARE LEAVING THIS  
10:54:46 BOARD, MYSELF INCLUDED, HAPPY IF ANY OF THE NEW PEOPLE ASKED  
  
10:54:50 ABOUT ANY OF THE THINGS THAT WE WOULD LIKE TO LET THEM KNOW  
10:54:53 ABOUT WHILE THEY ARE GOING THROUGH THE PROCESS, BUT I THINK  
10:54:56 THAT YOU HAVE A NEW BOARD COMING IN AND IT SHOULD BE THEIR  
10:55:00 SELECTION.  
10:55:01 THEY ARE GOING TO BE DEALING WITH THEM FOR THREE YEARS.  
10:55:03 >>Mayor Ribble: JIM WILSON?  
10:55:05 >>Jim Wilson: THIS IS KIND OF A TOUGH ONE.  
10:55:07 I AGREE WITH THE OTHER JIM THAT WE SHOULD TRY TO DO IT, BUT  
10:55:16 ARE WE COMFORTABLE THAT WE WILL BE ABLE TO TAKE WHATEVER  
10:55:22 ADMINISTRATIVE ACTION THAT WE'RE GOING TO DO TO COMBINE THE  
10:55:25 BOARDS AND HAVE THIS ALL FLOW WITH ALL THE OTHER THINGS THAT  
10:55:28 ARE GOING ON.  
10:55:35 ARE THE OTHER EBBS IN OF THE COUNCIL CONFIDENT THAT WE CAN  
10:55:39 GET THAT DONE?  
10:55:41 >>Mayor Ribble: IT SOUNDS LIKE THE CONSENSUS IS TO HAVE THIS  
10:55:45 BOARD DO IT.  
10:55:47 DO WE ALL AGREE WITH THE NUMBER OF THE VOTE WE DISCUSSED FOR  
10:55:50 CONSENSUS?  
10:55:51 HOW ARE WE GOING TO DO THIS, MARY?  
10:55:53 >>Mary Gibbs: MAY I MAKE A COMMENT?  
10:55:54 >>Mayor Ribble: SURE.  
10:55:55 >>Mary Gibbs: I THINK I WANT TO LOOK AT THE LOGISTICS.  
10:55:57 I'VE THOUGHT ABOUT THIS A LITTLE BIT.  
10:55:59 WE HAVE SOME EXISTING MEMBERS.  
10:56:03 THE TERMS EXPIRE MARCH 31st.  
  
10:56:06 I THINK WHATEVER YOU DO, IF YOU WANT TO INTERVIEW EXISTING  
10:56:09 MEMBERS, ET CETERA, I DON'T THINK ANYTHING SHOULD BE -- I  
10:56:13 THINK IF WE HAVE A NEW COMBINED BOARD IT WOULD NOT BE  
10:56:16 EFFECTIVE UNTIL MAYBE APRIL 1st.  
10:56:19 BECAUSE WE WANT TO MAKE SURE WE CAN TRANSITION OUR INTERNAL  
10:56:23 WORKINGS AND GET THE BOARD MEMBERS' TERMS SET UP THE WAY WE  
10:56:27 NEED TO AND KIND OF MAKE THAT COINCIDE WITH THE MARCH 31st  
10:56:33 DATES THAT WE'VE GOT RIGHT NOW.  
10:56:36 >>Mayor Ribble: DO WE WANT TO -- DO WE SEND A NOTICE OUT  
10:56:39 THAT WE'RE GOING TO START INTERVIEWING FOR THESE POSITIONS  
10:56:43 NOW OR WHAT DO YOU WANT TO DO HERE?  
10:56:47 >>Steven Sarkozy: I'D LIKE TO BRING BACK TO COUNCIL A  
10:56:49 TRANSITION PLAN FOR THE UPCOMING ELECTION PROCESS AND THE  
10:56:54 CHANGE OF GUARD HERE AT THE VILLAGE COUNCIL.  
10:56:58 YOU STILL HAVE FOUR PEOPLE FROM THIS CURRENT COUNCIL  
10:57:01 PROVIDING CONTINUITY, AND AS SOON AS WE KNOW THE SLATE OF

10:57:07 CANDIDATES, WE'LL CERTAINLY BE BRINGING THE CANDIDATES AND  
10:57:14 THE NEWLY ELECTED OFFICIALS UP TO SPEED.  
10:57:17 BUT WE'LL BRING SOMETHING TO YOU AT THE NEXT MEETING TALKING  
10:57:24 ABOUT THE SELECTION PROCESS FOR THIS COMBINED BOARD, AND  
10:57:29 THEN POSSIBLY SOME OF THE APPOINTMENTS THAT WILL BE  
10:57:33 IMPORTANT TO HAND OFF TO THE NEW COUNCIL MEMBERS AS WELL.  
10:57:39 >>Mayor Ribble: WE'LL HEAR MORE ABOUT THIS IN THE NEXT  
10:57:41 MEETING.  
10:57:43 >>Mary Gibbs: WHICH IS IN A WEEK, BY THE WAY.

10:57:44 >>Mayor Ribble: VERY GOOD.  
10:57:45 WHAT ELSE, MARY?  
10:57:47 >>Mary Gibbs: THAT'S ALL I HAVE.  
10:57:48 >>Mayor Ribble: ARE YOU SURE THIS TIME?  
10:57:50 >>Mary Gibbs: I HAVE TO CALL FOR MY VACCINE.  
10:57:53 SO WE NEED TO BE DONE BEFORE NOON.  
10:57:55 >>Mayor Ribble: WE'LL BE DONE BY NOON.  
10:57:57 TRUST ME.  
10:57:57 DON'T FORGET, IF YOU CALL, OKAY, AND IT GETS YOUR NUMBER,  
10:58:03 DON'T HAVE TO CALL BACK A HUNDRED TIMES.  
10:58:05 ONCE THEY CAPTURE YOUR NUMBER, THEY WILL CALL YOU BACK.  
10:58:08 THE PHONE CENTER THEY HAVE CAPTURES YOUR NUMBER AND IT WILL  
10:58:11 CALL YOU BACK EVENTUALLY.  
10:58:12 AT LEAST THAT'S THE CASE WITH A LOT OF FOLKS I TALKED TO.  
10:58:17 THE OTHER THING IS, DON'T LINE UP YOUR DAUGHTER, YOUR SONS,  
10:58:21 YOUR GRANDMOTHERS AND HAVE 50 PHONES THERE AND HAVE THEM ALL  
10:58:25 DIAL THE SAME NUMBER AT ONE TIME, BECAUSE THAT'S WHAT'S  
10:58:28 BLOWING UP THE SYSTEM.  
10:58:29 IT'S SET UP TO HANDLE OUR POPULATION, NOT THE WHOLE GLOBE.  
10:58:34 IF EVERYBODY CALLS IN WITH FIVE OR SIX PHONES, IT'S REALLY  
10:58:38 SCREWING THE PHONE CENTER UP.  
10:58:39 DO WE HAVE ANYONE SIGNED UP FOR PUBLIC COMMENT?  
10:58:43 >>Carol Sacco: NO, MAYOR.  
10:58:45 >>Mayor Ribble: NO ONE.  
10:58:46 OKAY.

10:58:46 ANY COMMENTS FROM COUNCIL TODAY?  
10:58:48 WE DON'T HAVE THAT IN THE SCRIPT TODAY, BUT I GUESS MARY  
10:58:51 WANTED TO KEEP THIS MEETING SHORT.  
10:58:54 OH, DID YOU FOLKS, WERE THEY NOTIFIED ABOUT JOE COVIELLO'S  
10:59:00 UNTIMELY DEATH?  
10:59:04 >> WE HAD A MOMENT OF SILENCE.  
10:59:06 >>Vice-Mayor Errington: WE HAD A MOMENT OF SILENCE.  
10:59:07 >>Mayor Ribble: I WAS -- KEVIN CALLED ME EARLY THIS MORNING.  
10:59:11 I WAS TOTALLY SHOCKED WHEN I LEARNED OF THAT.  
10:59:15 DO I HAVE A MOTION -- STEVE, DID YOU HAVE ANY COMMENTS IN  
10:59:20 CLOSING?  
10:59:21 >>Steven Sarkozy: JUST A QUICK UPDATE ON THE CARES ACT

10:59:25 DISTRIBUTION OF PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT.  
10:59:30 WE'VE GOTTEN OUT ABOUT 110 OF THE HAND SANITIZERS OUT INTO  
10:59:36 THE COMMUNITY.  
10:59:37 THOSE ARE BEING PROVIDED FREE TO INTERESTED BUSINESSES.  
10:59:42 AS WELL AS THE MAILINGS GOING OUT WITH TWO MASKS TO EVERY  
10:59:48 ADDRESS IN THE COMMUNITY.  
10:59:49 WE ALSO HAVE A DISTRIBUTION OF MASKS GOING TO BUSINESSES,  
10:59:55 AND WE'RE ASKING HOW MANY THEY WANT, AND WE'RE HAPPY TO  
10:59:58 PROVIDE THOSE.  
10:59:59 WE STILL HAVE ADDITIONAL MASKS AND SOME ADDITIONAL HAND  
11:00:04 SANITIZERS, AND WE'RE TRYING TO COMPLETE THAT DISTRIBUTION  
11:00:07 BY A WEEK FROM FRIDAY.  
11:00:09 GREAT WORK BEING DONE BY A LOT OF VOLUNTEERS IN THE  
  
11:00:16 COMMUNITY THAT ARE HELPING OUT.  
11:00:19 COUNCIL MEMBER BATOS IS CERTAINLY DOING A TREMENDOUS AMOUNT  
11:00:23 OF WORK REGARDING THIS.  
11:00:25 BUT OTHERS AS WELL.  
11:00:26 AND THAT'S DEEPLY APPRECIATED.  
11:00:28 WE'RE GETTING TREMENDOUS THANK-YOUS COMING BACK FROM THE  
11:00:32 COMMUNITY WHO REALIZE THAT THE VILLAGE COUNCIL REALLY HAS  
11:00:35 GONE ABOVE AND BEYOND TO HELP PROVIDE FOR PERSONAL  
11:00:40 PROTECTION GEAR TO ALL OF OUR RESIDENTS.  
11:00:42 WE'LL KEEP YOU POSTED AS THAT CONTINUES.  
11:00:46 WE'VE ALSO SUBMITTED OUR REIMBURSEMENT REQUEST TO THE  
11:00:49 FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AS WELL.  
11:00:50 KYLE HAS DONE A GREAT JOB PULLING ALL THIS TOGETHER.  
11:00:54 >>Mayor Ribble: IS THAT IT, STEVE?  
11:00:55 >>Steven Sarkozy: YES.  
11:00:56 >>Mayor Ribble: BEFORE I ASK FOR ADJOURNMENT, I DID WANT TO  
11:00:59 UPDATE YOU REAL QUICKLY, SINCE YOU BROUGHT UP CARES AND  
11:01:02 MASKS AND HAND SANITIZERS, AS MANY OF YOU FOLKS KNOW, I HAD  
11:01:07 THE OPPORTUNITY TO GO OVER TO THE HERTZ ARENA SATURDAY NIGHT  
11:01:10 FOR THE BEN ALLEN CONCERT.  
11:01:13 AND THEY WOUND UP -- FIRST STARTED WITH 1500 TICKETS, THEN  
11:01:17 UP TO 17.  
11:01:18 WOUND UP WITH 2200 TICKETS.  
11:01:21 I'LL TELL YA, IT WAS PROBABLY, WITHOUT A DOUBT, THE MOST  
11:01:25 ORGANIZED CONCERT I WAS EVER AT.  
  
11:01:28 THEY HAD PLENTY OF STAFFING.  
11:01:30 THE STAFF ALL WEARING SLACKS AND A YELLOW HERTZ SHIRT WITH A  
11:01:34 LOGO.  
11:01:35 THEY ALL HAD A HERTZ MASK ON, AND THEY MADE SURE EVERYBODY  
11:01:39 THAT CAME IN THAT STADIUM HAD A MASK ON.  
11:01:43 AND THEN ONCE THEY WERE SEATED, THEY HAD MONITORS WALKING  
11:01:47 AROUND.  
11:01:48 THEY HAD SOMEBODY UP THERE WITH THE MASK OFF, THEY WOULD GO

11:01:51 OVER AND TELL THEM TO PUT THEIR MASK BACK UP.  
11:01:54 IT WAS VERY IMPRESSIVE.  
11:01:55 PROBABLY THE NEATEST THING WAS WHEN I GOT UP ON STAGE AND I  
11:01:58 ASKED HOW MANY PEOPLE WERE THERE FROM ESTERO, THE WHOLE  
11:02:00 PLACE WENT COMPLETELY NUTS.  
11:02:03 THEY JUMPED UP, AND IT WAS SUCH AN EMOTIONAL TYPE THING.  
11:02:08 RAY SANDELLI WAS THERE.  
11:02:10 I ASKED HOW MANY FOLKS, OF COURSE, ARE FROM LEE COUNTY, AND  
11:02:12 THEN THEY ALL CHEERED FOR RAY.  
11:02:15 IF YOU GET A CHANCE TO GO TO A -- I KNOW WE'RE REALLY  
11:02:18 CONCERNED ABOUT COVID.  
11:02:19 WE'RE ALL PRACTICING SAFE DISTANCING AND MASKS AND  
11:02:24 EVERYTHING, IF YOU GET A CHANCE TO GO TO SOMETHING OVER  
11:02:26 THERE, DON'T BE AFRAID THAT YOU'LL BE WITH A BUNCH OF PEOPLE  
11:02:28 THAT DON'T CARE ABOUT YOUR HEALTH OR THEIR HEALTH.  
11:02:32 THE PEOPLE WHO WENT THERE REALLY WERE RESPECTFUL OF EACH  
11:02:36 OTHER.

11:02:36 AND THERE WERE A LOT OF MASKS THERE.  
11:02:38 A LOT OF FOLKS COMING THROUGH WITH THE ESTERO MASK ON AS  
11:02:42 THEY CAME THROUGH THE DOORS.  
11:02:43 THAT'S ALL I HAVE.  
11:02:44 MOTION TO ADJOURN.  
11:02:46 >>Vice-Mayor Errington: SO MOVED.  
11:02:47 >>Mayor Ribble: OKAY.  
11:02:47 THANK YOU.  
11:02:47 SEE YOU NEXT WEEK.

DISCLAIMER:

THIS FILE REPRESENTS AN UNEDITED VERSION OF REALTIME  
CAPTIONING WHICH SHOULD NEITHER BE RELIED UPON FOR COMPLETE  
ACCURACY NOR USED AS A VERBATIM TRANSCRIPT.  
ANY PERSON WHO NEEDS A VERBATIM TRANSCRIPT OF THE  
PROCEEDINGS MAY NEED TO HIRE A COURT REPORTER.