

VILLAGE OF ESTERO COUNCIL MEETING
WEDNESDAY, MAY 5, 2021
9:30 A.M.

DISCLAIMER:

THIS FILE REPRESENTS AN UNEDITED VERSION OF REALTIME
CAPTIONING WHICH SHOULD NEITHER BE RELIED UPON FOR COMPLETE
ACCURACY NOR USED AS A VERBATIM TRANSCRIPT.
ANY PERSON WHO NEEDS A VERBATIM TRANSCRIPT OF THE
PROCEEDINGS MAY NEED TO HIRE A COURT REPORTER.

09:30:06 >>Mayor Errington: GOOD MORNING.
09:30:11 WELCOME TO THE VILLAGE OF ESTERO COUNCIL MEETING.
09:30:13 AT THIS TIME, I WOULD LIKE TO REMIND EVERYONE TO SILENCE
09:30:18 YOUR CELL PHONES AND ASK ALL COUNCIL MEMBERS TO SPEAK UP TO
09:30:23 THE MIKE SO IT CAN BE PICKED UP AND ASK ANTONIO, IF YOU
09:30:27 CAN'T HEAR US, PLEASE LET US KNOW.
09:30:29 THANK YOU.
09:30:29 TODAY IS MAY THE 5th, 2021.
09:30:32 AND WE WILL CALL THE MEETING TO ORDER.
09:30:34 THIS MORNING, WE HAVE FATHER HUGH FROM OUR LADY OF LIGHT
09:30:41 HERE TO PROVIDE THE INVOCATION.
09:30:43 WELCOME, FATHER HUGH.
09:30:52 >> GOOD MORNING, MADAM MAYOR.
09:30:55 GOOD MORNING, COUNCIL.
09:30:59 IN LIGHT OF NATIONAL DAY OF PRAYER TOMORROW BEING HELD IN
09:31:02 ESTERO TOMORROW, LET US BOW OUR HEADS BEFORE THE LORD THIS
09:31:05 DAY IN PRAYER.

09:31:06 AS WE CALL UPON OUR GOD TODAY, MAY GOD GIVE US THIS DAY, THE
09:31:15 GRACE TO PERFORM THIS ACTION AS THE VILLAGE OF ESTERO.
09:31:18 GIVE US THE GRACE TO PERFORM THIS ACTION FOR THE LOVE OF YOU
09:31:23 AND THROUGH YOU.
09:31:24 MAY WE ACCOMPLISH ALL THROUGH YOUR LOVE.
09:31:26 IN YOUR NAME WE PRAY, LORD, FATHER, SON AND HOLY SPIRIT.
09:31:31 >> AMEN.
09:31:32 >> HAVE A BLESSED DAY, EVERYBODY.
09:31:33 >>Mayor Errington: THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR COMING AND DOING
09:31:35 THAT.
09:31:35 PLEASE STAND AND JOIN ME IN THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.
09:31:41 I'VE ASKED OUR VICE-MAYOR TO LEAD US BECAUSE TODAY IS HIS
09:31:45 BIRTHDAY.
09:31:46 [PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE]
MADAM CLERK, PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.

09:32:06 >>Joanne Ribble: HERE.
09:32:08 >>Larry Fiesel: HERE.
09:32:09 >>Jim Boesch: HERE.

09:32:10 >>Jim Ward: HERE.
09:32:12 >>Jim Wilson: HERE.
09:32:14 >>Vice-Mayor McLain: HERE.
09:32:16 >>Mayor Errington: HERE.
09:32:17 ITEM NUMBER 2, WE HAVE BEEN ASKED BY OUR VILLAGE MANAGER TO
09:32:25 TABLE ITEM 6A UNTIL THE MEETING OF MAY THE 19th OR JUNE
09:32:30 THE 2nd, IF ADDITIONAL TIME IS NEEDED.

09:32:36 ARE THERE ANY COMMENTS?
09:32:39 ANYONE HAVE ANY COMMENTS ABOUT THAT?
09:32:40 ASK STEVE.
09:32:45 ANY ADDITIONS OR DELETIONS OF ANYTHING ON THE AGENDA?
09:32:48 I WOULD LIKE TO INDICATE THAT ON THE AGENDA TODAY, WE'LL GET
09:32:54 BACK TO THE WAY WE USED TO DO THINGS.
09:32:56 IT WAS MY MISTAKE WHERE WE HAD PUBLIC COMMENT DURING THE
09:33:01 WORKSHOPS.
09:33:03 THE REASON -- THAT'S THE WAY IT'S BEEN DONE IN THE PAST, AND
09:33:07 IT WAS MY MISTAKE OF ALLOWING THAT.
09:33:10 THE WORKSHOP -- THE PURPOSE OF THE WORKSHOP IS FOR COUNCIL
09:33:14 MEMBERS, ACCORDING TO SUNSHINE LAW, WE ARE NOT SUPPOSED TO
09:33:17 TALK TO ONE ANOTHER ABOUT ANYTHING THAT'S COMING UP FOR A
09:33:21 VOTE.
09:33:22 THIS WILL HELP US TO HAVE OPEN DISCUSSION.
09:33:25 AND THIS IS FOR US TO TALK ABOUT ITEMS ON THE WORKSHOP.
09:33:29 SO IF YOU HAVE COMMENTS TO MAKE, YOU CAN DO THEM WHEN THOSE
09:33:33 ITEMS COME UP, IF THEY COME UP IN AN ACTION ITEM LATER IN A
09:33:39 MEETING.
09:33:42 >>Jim Boesch: MAKE A MOTION THAT WE APPROVE ITEM 2 WITH THE
09:33:49 CONDITION OF REMOVING ITEM 6A.
09:33:52 >>Mayor Errington: MOTION HAS BEEN MADE BY COUNCIL MEMBER
09:33:54 BOESCH.
09:33:54 DO I HAVE A SECOND?
09:33:57 COUNCIL MEMBER FIESEL SECONDED THE MOTION.

09:33:59 MADAM CLERK, PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.
09:34:03 >>Joanne Ribble: AYE.
09:34:04 >>Larry Fiesel: AYE.
09:34:06 >>Jim Boesch: AYE.
09:34:07 >>Jim Ward: AYE.
09:34:09 >>Jim Wilson: AYE.
09:34:10 >>Vice-Mayor McLain: AYE.
09:34:11 >>Mayor Errington: AYE.
09:34:13 ITEM 3, PUBLIC COMMENT ON ITEMS THAT ARE NOT ON THE AGENDA
09:34:22 WILL NOW BE TAKEN.
09:34:23 >>Carol Sacco: MAYOR, WE HAVE ONE.
09:34:26 MR. MARK NOVITSKI, REPRESENTING ECCL.
09:34:29 >>Mayor Errington: THANK YOU.
09:34:30 WELCOME, MARK.

09:34:31 >> GOOD MORNING, ALL.
09:34:32 >>Mayor Errington: PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME.
09:34:34 >> MARK NOVITSKI.
09:34:36 I LIVE IN ESTERO, BELLA TERRA.
09:34:39 TODAY I AM REPRESENTING ECCL, THE ESTERO HISTORICAL SOCIETY
09:34:44 AND ESTERO CHAMBER OF COMMERCE.
09:34:46 HAPPY BIRTHDAY, VICE-MAYOR McLAIN.
09:34:48 LAST NIGHT, WE WERE AT ESTERO HIGH SCHOOL, THE THREE
09:34:52 DIFFERENT ORGANIZATIONS, AND WE PRESENTED THREE
09:34:54 SCHOLARSHIPS.
09:34:54 THE ECCL PRESENTED A SCHOLARSHIP FOR GOVERNANCE, A THOUSAND

09:34:59 DOLLARS.
09:35:00 A LOCAL ESTERO HIGH SCHOOL STUDENT WROTE AN ESSAY, WE
09:35:03 SELECTED THE BEST ONE, AND WE AWARDED THAT.
09:35:05 THE ESTERO HISTORICAL SOCIETY HAD AN ESSAY ON HISTORY,
09:35:11 ESTERO HISTORY, AND WE AGAIN AWARDED A THOUSAND DOLLAR
09:35:14 SCHOLARSHIP.
09:35:15 AND THE ESTERO CHAMBER OF COMMERCE AWARDED A THOUSAND DOLLAR
09:35:18 SCHOLARSHIP ON ESTERO BUSINESSES.
09:35:20 SO IT WAS A NEAT EVENT.
09:35:23 I WAS HOPING SOME OF YOU ALL COULD ATTEND, BUT THEY ONLY LET
09:35:27 TWO PEOPLE BECAUSE OF COVID ATTEND THE CEREMONY.
09:35:29 SO WE'D LIKE TO DO IT AGAIN NEXT YEAR.
09:35:31 WE'D LIKE YOU ALL TO BE INVOLVED.
09:35:33 AND WHEN YOU APPOINT YOUR REPLACEMENT EDUCATION REP, WE
09:35:37 WOULD LIKE TO WORK WITH THAT PERSON.
09:35:39 ADDITIONALLY, THE HISTORICAL SOCIETY IS GOING TO TRY TO GET
09:35:43 INTO THE MIDDLE AND ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS AND DO ESSAY CONTESTS
09:35:46 FOR FOURTH AND SEVEN GRADERS.
09:35:48 SO, AGAIN, WE'LL WORK WITH YOUR EDUCATION LIAISON FOR THAT.
09:35:52 THANK YOU.
09:35:52 >>Mayor Errington: THANK YOU, MARK.
09:35:53 THANK YOU FOR THE WORK THAT YOU'RE DOING WITH THAT.
09:35:57 THANK YOU.
09:35:57 ITEM NUMBER 4, CONSENT AGENDA.
09:36:01 DO ANY OF THE COUNCIL MEMBERS HAVE ANY COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS

09:36:10 REGARDING ANYTHING THAT'S ON THE CONSENT AGENDA?
09:36:14 >>Vice-Mayor McLain: I HAVE A COMMENT.
09:36:16 >>Mayor Errington: OKAY.
09:36:17 VICE-MAYOR.
09:36:19 >>Vice-Mayor McLain: IF YOU NOTE, SHOWING ESTERO PARKWAY
09:36:23 IMPROVEMENT PROJECT WITH AN OVERAGE OF ABOUT \$215,000 FROM
09:36:30 BUDGET.
09:36:33 THERE'S SOME ACCOUNTING THAT HAS TO BE DONE I GUESS AT THE
09:36:36 END OF THE YEAR IN TALKING WITH DAVID, WE GOT OUR ACCOUNT
09:36:42 ZEROED OUT.

09:36:43 IN REALITY, WE ARE STILL ON THE PLUS SIDE OR THE NEGATIVE
09:36:46 SIDE.
09:36:47 WE HAVE ABOUT \$390,000 AFTER WHAT WE'RE EXPECTING FUTURE
09:36:53 BILLS.
09:36:53 SO IT DOES LOOK LIKE WE'LL BE ABLE TO COME IN ON BUDGET,
09:36:57 WHICH WAS OUR INTENT HERE, WAS TO ON TIME AND ON BUDGET.
09:37:01 SO WE'RE GOING TO BE CLOSE, BUT WE DON'T HAVE -- I THINK
09:37:05 IT'S IMPORTANT WHEN PEOPLE LOOK AT THESE AGENDA ITEMS THAT
09:37:08 IT SHOWS A \$215,000 DEFICIT.
09:37:12 IN REALITY, WE'RE NOT IN DEFICIT.
09:37:14 THE ACCOUNTING WILL CORRECT THAT IN THE FUTURE, AND WE
09:37:18 SHOULD BE BELOW BUDGET AS THIS THING CONCLUDES.
09:37:24 THERE'S STILL SOME DISCUSSIONS THAT HAVE TO GO ON BETWEEN
09:37:27 NOW AND THE CLOSE OF THE BOOKS ON THIS, BUT WE'LL BE
09:37:30 INVOLVED IN THAT AND DO OUR VERY BEST TO KEEP THIS THING

09:37:34 WITHIN BUDGET.
09:37:35 I THINK THAT'S IMPORTANT FOR OUR EFFORTS HERE.
09:37:40 >>Jim Boesch: I DON'T UNDERSTAND.
09:37:41 THERE'S NO OVERAGES.
09:37:45 IT'S JUST THAT THE PROJECT IS DONE AS DONE.
09:37:48 IS THIS SOMETHING THAT WASN'T DONE IN THE PROJECT?
09:37:52 >>Vice-Mayor McLain: MAYBE DAVID -- THERE ARE STILL BILLS
09:37:54 COMING IN THAT HAVE TO BE AUDITED, REVIEWED, LOOK AT THE
09:37:58 WORK.
09:37:58 THERE'S ABOUT 400,000 STILL OUT.
09:38:03 WE HAVE \$800,000 POSITIVE BALANCE RIGHT NOW.
09:38:05 THERE'S ABOUT \$400,000 OF INVOICES THAT WE'RE STILL LOOKING
09:38:10 AT TO SEE IF THOSE CHARGES ARE IN LINE WITH --
09:38:12 >>Jim Boesch: 400,000 IS FOR WHAT?
09:38:16 >>Vice-Mayor McLain: I DON'T HAVE THE DETAIL ON EXACTLY WHAT
09:38:18 THOSE ARE.
09:38:19 >>Jim Boesch: DAVID, DO YOU WANT TO RESPOND TO THAT, PLEASE?
09:38:29 >>David Willems: GOOD MORNING.
09:38:30 SO WE HAVE CONTRACTS THAT HAVEN'T BEEN COMPLETED YET.
09:38:35 WE HAVE THE INSPECTIONS THAT ARE DONE ON THE MAINTENANCE.
09:38:40 WE HAVE THE CONTRACTOR THAT STILL HAS TO DO ALL THE
09:38:43 MAINTENANCE FOR THE PROJECT.
09:38:45 THE CONSTRUCTION HASN'T BEEN BUILT 100% YET.
09:38:48 SO WE STILL HAVE, AS COUNCIL MEMBER McLAIN SAID, WE HAVE
09:38:53 ABOUT \$800,000 LEFT IN THE BUDGET FOR ESTERO PARKWAY, AND WE

09:38:58 HAVE CONTRACTS THAT COULD STILL BE BILLED FOR UP TO ABOUT
09:39:02 \$400,000.
09:39:03 >>Jim Boesch: IT WOULD BE A \$400,000 SURPLUS AT THE END.
09:39:06 >>David Willems: CORRECT.
09:39:08 >>Mayor Errington: THANK YOU.
09:39:08 THIS SHOULD PROBABLY BE DEFERRED THEN TO THE FUTURE FOR

09:39:14 VOTE.
09:39:16 NO.
09:39:17 DON'T HAVE TO.
09:39:17 >>Jim Boesch: JUST HAVE TO UNDERSTAND WHAT JON IS SAYING.
09:39:22 THAT THERE'S MONEY THAT'S IN THE BUDGET THAT'S BEEN
09:39:28 APPROVED.
09:39:31 WE SHOULD REALIZE THAT, WORK STILL HAS TO BE DONE.
09:39:35 >>Mayor Errington: ARE YOU COMFORTABLE WITH THAT, STEVE?
09:39:37 >>Steven Sarkozy: VERY MUCH SO.
09:39:37 THIS IS SIMPLY A MONTHLY STATEMENT.
09:39:39 AND THE PROJECT DETAIL WILL COME TO YOU AT A LATER DATE.
09:39:41 >>Mayor Errington: OKAY.
09:39:42 GOOD.
09:39:43 NOW, THE CHAIR WILL ENTERTAIN A MOTION.
09:39:46 >>Jim Boesch: MAKE A MOTION WE ADOPT THE CONSENT WITH THE
09:39:52 COMMENTS COUNCIL MEMBER McLAIN MADE REGARDING THE REST OF
09:39:56 THE PROJECTS THAT HAVE TO BE FINISHED ON ESTERO PARKWAY.
09:39:59 >>Mayor Errington: A MOTION HAS BEEN MADE BY COUNCIL MEMBER
09:40:02 BOESCH AND SECONDED BY COUNCIL MEMBER WARD.

09:40:05 CALL THE ROLL, PLEASE.
09:40:10 >>Jim Wilson: AYE.
09:40:11 >>Jim Ward: AYE.
09:40:13 >>Jim Boesch: AYE.
09:40:15 >>Larry Fiesel: AYE.
09:40:18 >>Joanne Ribble: AYE.
09:40:19 >>Vice-Mayor McLain: AYE.
09:40:20 >>Mayor Errington: AYE.
09:40:21 NUMBER 5, CONSIDERATION OF ITEMS DEFERRED FROM CONSENT
09:40:28 AGENDA.
09:40:29 WE HAVE NO ITEMS THERE.
09:40:30 ITEM NUMBER 6.
09:40:45 >>Carol Sacco: 6A WAS TABLED.
09:40:49 >>Mayor Errington: OKAY.
09:40:50 SEPTIC TO SEWER PROGRAM.
09:40:55 >>Carol Sacco: THAT WAS TABLED.
09:41:01 >>Mayor Errington: I THOUGHT THAT WAS 6A.
09:41:02 YEAH.
09:41:05 THAT WAS TABLED.
09:41:06 LET ME SEE WHAT I DID WITH MY NOTE HERE.
09:41:09 AGENDA ITEM NUMBER 2, I HAD IT IN THE WRONG PLACE.
09:41:17 OUR VILLAGE MANAGER ASKED THAT ITEM 6A BE TABLED UNTIL THE
09:41:21 MEETING OF MAY THE 19th OR JUNE THE 2nd, IF ADDITIONAL
09:41:26 TIME IS NEEDED.
09:41:27 I TALKED WITH OUR MANAGER ABOUT THAT, AND HE SAID IT'S GOOD

09:41:32 FOR A VOTE.
09:41:34 IF THERE ARE ANY QUESTIONS.

09:41:39 I WILL ENTERTAIN A MOTION.
09:41:43 >>Jim Boesch: DIDN'T WE MAKE THAT MOTION ALREADY IN
09:41:46 ACCEPTANCE OF THE CONSENT?
09:41:50 >>Steven Sarkozy: YOUR ACTION TO TABLE THAT UNDER ITEM 2, TO
09:41:54 ADJUST THE AGENDA, WOULD SUFFICE IN THIS CASE.
09:41:57 >>Mayor Errington: ALL RIGHT.
09:41:57 I HAD IT UNDER ITEM NUMBER 2.
09:42:00 >>Steven Sarkozy: WE CAN GO ON TO 6B.
09:42:08 >>Mayor Errington: 6B.
09:42:09 THE NEXT ITEM ON THE AGENDA IS 6B, RESOLUTION 2021-11.
09:42:17 MADAM CLERK, PLEASE READ THE RESOLUTION.
09:42:19 >>Carol Sacco: RESOLUTION 2021-11, A RESOLUTION OF THE
09:42:24 VILLAGE OF ESTERO ACCEPTING FROM WCI, NORTH COMMONS DRIVE
09:42:28 FROM COCONUT ROAD TO PELICAN COLONY BOULEVARD; PROVIDING FOR
09:42:32 IMPACT FEE CREDITS PURSUANT TO DRI; AND PROVIDING AN
09:42:35 EFFECTIVE DATE.
09:42:37 >>Mayor Errington: THANK YOU.
09:42:38 STEVE, WILL YOU INTRODUCE THIS, PLEASE?
09:42:40 >>Steven Sarkozy: THANK YOU, MAYOR, MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.
09:42:43 THIS ITEM GOES BACK TO A DECISION BY LEE COUNTY PRIOR TO THE
09:42:49 VILLAGE INCORPORATION REGARDING THE PELICAN LANDING DRI, AND
09:42:58 THE DRI IS AN ACRONYM FOR DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT.
09:43:03 WE'RE ASKING ASKED TO PROVIDE IMPACT FEES AND ACCEPT A

09:43:08 ROADWAY THAT WAS DEVELOPED UNDER THOSE GUIDELINES
09:43:11 ESTABLISHED AND APPROVED BY LEE COUNTY.
09:43:13 WE HAVE STAFF EXPLANATION ON ALL OF THIS.
09:43:19 I'LL START OFF WITH DAVID WILLEMS DESCRIBING THE PROJECT,
09:43:24 AND WILL ALSO CALL ON MARY GIBBS TO GET INTO THE IMPACT FEE
09:43:29 CREDIT ISSUE.
09:43:29 DAVID.
09:43:30 >>David Willems: GOOD MORNING AGAIN.
09:43:32 JUST REAL QUICKLY, I'M GOING TO SHARE A LOCATION MAP JUST SO
09:43:36 WE KNOW EXACTLY WHAT AREA WE'RE TALKING ABOUT.
09:43:40 SO AS STEVE MENTIONED, THE COUNTY APPROVED PELICAN LANDING,
09:43:48 AND WITH THAT, THEY REQUESTED DEVELOPER BUILD A ROADWAY TO
09:43:52 PROVIDE KIND OF A RELIEVER FOR U.S. 41.
09:43:55 AS PART OF THAT REQUEST, THEY AGREED THAT THE COUNTY WOULD
09:43:58 TAKE OVER THAT ROADWAY AND GIVE THE DEVELOPER IMPACT FEE
09:44:02 CREDITS BECAUSE THAT ROADWAY IS REALLY A PUBLIC USE.
09:44:06 IT WASN'T NEEDED FOR THE DEVELOPMENT ITSELF.
09:44:08 AND SO THE ROADWAY THAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT IS THE ONE ON
09:44:12 THE LEFT-HAND SIDE, WHICH IS NORTH COMMONS DRIVE.
09:44:16 WHAT THAT DOES IS IT ALLOWS A CONNECTION BETWEEN COCONUT
09:44:18 ROAD ALL THE WAY DOWN THROUGH BONITA SO THE PEOPLE DON'T
09:44:23 HAVE TO GET ON U.S. 41.
09:44:27 WE TALKED TO THE VILLAGE ATTORNEYS, AND THEY HAVE SAID THAT
09:44:30 THE DRI REQUIRES THAT, SO THIS IS SOMETHING THAT STAFF IS

09:44:34 RECOMMENDING THAT COUNCIL APPROVE BECAUSE IT IS OUTLINED IN

09:44:38 THE DRI.

09:44:39 AND WHAT THAT WOULD ENTAIL IS THE VILLAGE WOULD TAKE OVER

09:44:42 MAINTENANCE OF THE ROADWAY.

09:44:44 IT WOULD GET DEDICATED TO THE VILLAGE, AND THE VILLAGE WOULD

09:44:46 BE REQUIRED TO MAKE ANY IMPROVEMENTS THAT ARE REQUIRED.

09:44:49 BEFORE WE BROUGHT THIS FORWARD TO COUNCIL, VILLAGE STAFF

09:44:52 HIRED A CONSULTANT TO GO OUT THERE AND LOOK AT THE ROADWAY

09:44:55 AND MAKE SURE THAT IT MET VILLAGE STANDARDS.

09:44:59 THERE WERE SEVERAL ITEMS THAT THAT ENGINEER FOUND WERE

09:45:02 DEFICIENT.

09:45:03 THAT ENGINEER WORKED WITH WCI TO GET ALL OF THOSE FIXED.

09:45:07 THEY SIGNED OFF ON IT, AND RIGHT NOW THE ROADWAY IS IN

09:45:13 COMPLIANCE WITH THE VILLAGE REQUIREMENTS.

09:45:16 THE ONE PART THAT THE VILLAGE DID NOT WANT TO TAKE ON, WHILE

09:45:20 IT REQUIRES US TO TAKE OVER THE ROADWAY, THE VILLAGE DID NOT

09:45:23 WANT TO TAKE ON THE MAINTENANCE OF THE LANDSCAPING.

09:45:26 BECAUSE OF THE LANDSCAPING, THEN ALSO THERE ARE SOME

09:45:29 RETAINING WALLS TO HOLD BACK SOME OF THE BERMS.

09:45:33 SO WE REQUESTED THAT THE CDD, BAYSIDE CDD TAKE OVER THAT

09:45:38 MAINTENANCE.

09:45:38 THE OTHER PART IS THE IMPACT FEES.

09:45:41 MARY CAN GIVE A LITTLE MORE DETAIL, BUT THE APPLICANT IS

09:45:44 REQUESTING \$325,000 BASICALLY FOR THE LAND ITSELF.

09:45:49 THEY ARE NOT ASKING FOR ADDITIONAL FUNDS FOR THE ROADWAY

09:45:53 CONSTRUCTION ITSELF.

09:45:55 SO THE PART WHERE THIS GETS CONFUSING, THAT PART OF IT IS

09:45:59 PRETTY STRAIGHTFORWARD.

09:46:00 WHERE IT GETS CONFUSING IS THAT THE CDD HAS AGREED TO

09:46:03 MAINTAIN THE LANDSCAPING WITHIN THE ROAD RIGHT-OF-WAY WITH

09:46:08 THE CAVEAT THAT THEY WOULD LIKE THE VILLAGE TO TAKE OVER

09:46:11 WALDEN CENTER DRIVE, WHICH IS THE OTHER ROADWAY SHOWN ON

09:46:15 THIS MAP.

09:46:17 SO I BELIEVE IT WAS IN 2004, WCI TURNED OVER THIS SECTION OF

09:46:21 ROADWAY TO THE CDD, AND THIS IS REALLY THE ONLY PIECE OF

09:46:26 PROPERTY THAT THE CDD OWNS IN THIS AREA.

09:46:29 THE ROADWAYS AROUND IT ARE NOT OWNED BY THE CDD, AND THE

09:46:33 LANDS AROUND IT ARE NOT OWNED BY THE CDD.

09:46:36 IT'S KIND OF A PIECE OF PROPERTY THAT'S OUT THERE THAT THE

09:46:39 CDD OWNS AND IS REQUIRED TO MAINTAIN.

09:46:41 THIS PART OF PELICAN COLONY BOULEVARD JUST SOUTH OF BOTH

09:46:45 ROADS IS ACTUALLY OWNED BY THE CITY OF BONITA, SO IT'S A

09:46:49 PUBLIC ROAD.

09:46:50 AND WITH THAT, THE CDD HAS REQUESTED THAT WE TAKE OVER

09:46:53 MAINTENANCE OF THAT ROADWAY, AND THEN THE CDD WOULD MAINTAIN

09:46:56 THE LANDSCAPING FOR BOTH WALDEN CENTER DRIVE AND NORTH

09:47:00 COMMONS DRIVE.
09:47:01 WE HAVE NOT GONE THROUGH THE PROCESS OF INSPECTING WALDEN
09:47:05 CENTER DRIVE YET.
09:47:07 WE'RE LOOKING FOR DIRECTION FROM COUNCIL, WHETHER COUNCIL
09:47:11 WANTS TO CONSIDER THAT.

09:47:13 IF COUNCIL PROPOSES TO MOVE THAT FORWARD, WE'LL HIRE AN
09:47:17 ENGINEERING FIRM.
09:47:18 THEY'LL GO REVIEW IT JUST THE SAME WAY THEY DID IN NORTH
09:47:20 COMMONS DRIVE, AND THE VILLAGE WOULD NOT BE TAKING OVER
09:47:24 MAINTENANCE OF THAT UNTIL EVERYTHING IN THAT ROADWAY MET
09:47:27 VILLAGE OF ESTERO STANDARDS.
09:47:30 >>Jim Boesch: DAVID, THE CDD.
09:47:33 WHO IS CDD?
09:47:35 >>David Willems: BAYSIDE IMPROVEMENT COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
09:47:37 DISTRICT.
09:47:38 THAT'S BASICALLY THE CDD FOR PELICAN LANDING.
09:47:41 >>Jim Boesch: PELICAN LANDING.
09:47:43 THE SECOND ROAD ON THE RIGHT, IS THAT IN ESTERO?
09:47:49 >>David Willems: YES.
09:47:50 BOTH ROADWAYS ARE WITHIN THE VILLAGE OF ESTERO.
09:47:53 >>Jim Boesch: ANY OF IT NOT IN ESTERO?
09:47:55 >>David Willems: NO, NONE OF WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT.
09:47:57 IF YOU LOOK AT THIS MAP, THIS LITTLE OUTPARCEL AT THE CORNER
09:48:01 OF PELICAN COLONY AND U.S. 41 IS ACTUALLY WITHIN THE CITY OF
09:48:04 BONITA, BUT YOU CAN SEE WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT IS NOT IN
09:48:08 THAT LOCATION.
09:48:14 >>Larry Fiesel: THE EXTENSION OF THE NORTH COMMONS ROAD FROM
09:48:16 THE POINT OF OUR CONVERSATION THIS MORNING, ON UP TO
09:48:20 COCONUT, IS THAT ALREADY THE VILLAGE OF ESTERO ROAD?
09:48:26 >>David Willems: NORTH COMMONS OR --

09:48:28 >>Larry Fiesel: YEAH, THE NORTH COMMONS.
09:48:29 >>David Willems: NO, NORTH COMMONS IS NOT OWNED BY THE
09:48:33 VILLAGE OF ESTERO.
09:48:33 IT IS CURRENTLY OWNED BY WCI.
09:48:36 AND THAT'S WHAT THEY ARE ASKING.
09:48:37 THEY ARE ASKING TO GIVE THAT TO THE VILLAGE OF ESTERO, WE
09:48:40 WOULD NOW MAINTAIN IT.
09:48:41 >>Larry Fiesel: NO, I'M TALKING ABOUT THE ROAD THAT'S STILL
09:48:43 IN YELLOW, THAT IT GOES --
09:48:45 >>David Willems: OH, WALDEN CENTER.
09:48:47 TALKING ABOUT THE ONE OVER BY U.S. 41.
09:48:49 >>Larry Fiesel: YES, I GOT THE WRONG ROAD.
09:48:51 >>David Willems: NO, THAT IS NOT IN PUBLIC OWNERSHIP.
09:48:54 THE ENTITY THAT OWNS THAT IS THE PROPERTY ASSOCIATION FOR
09:48:57 THOSE COMMERCIAL PARCELS OUT THERE.
09:49:04 >>Vice-Mayor McLain: YOU SAID THAT WE ARE REQUIRED TO TAKE

09:49:06 OVER THIS ROAD ACCORDING TO DRI OR WHATEVER.
09:49:10 >>David Willems: YEAH, THAT'S WHAT THE ATTORNEYS TOLD US AND
09:49:13 WHAT IT APPEARS TO SAY IN THE DRI LANGUAGE, YES.
09:49:16 >>Vice-Mayor McLain: HOW DID LEE COUNTY NOT STEP UP AT THE
09:49:20 TIME THEY WERE REQUESTED TO TAKE OVER THE ROAD AND THEY
09:49:22 REFUSED TO DO THAT FOR WHATEVER REASON.
09:49:24 WEREN'T THEY ALSO REQUIRED TO TAKE THAT ROAD OVER AT THAT
09:49:26 POINT?
09:49:27 >>David Willems: I DON'T KNOW THE ANSWER.

09:49:28 MAYBE MARY MIGHT KNOW BETTER.
09:49:34 >>Mary Gibbs: I BELIEVE WHAT HAPPENED AT THE TIME IS THAT
09:49:36 THE ROAD WASN'T FINISHED CONSTRUCTION, AND THEN THE COUNTY
09:49:39 WAS REQUIRED TO GIVE THE IMPACT FEE CREDITS, BUT THE ROAD
09:49:42 WASN'T FINISHED, AND THEY DIDN'T GO THROUGH ALL THE PROCESS
09:49:45 TO PROVIDE THE DOCUMENTS TO ACCEPT IT.
09:49:47 AND THEN INCORPORATION HAPPENED.
09:49:49 SO IT BECAME OUR RESPONSIBILITY BECAUSE THE DRI DEVELOPMENT
09:49:55 ORDER HAS LANGUAGE THAT SAYS ANY SUCCESSORS AND INTEREST.
09:49:59 SO ONCE THE VILLAGE INCORPORATED, THEN WE BECAME STUCK WITH
09:50:02 IT BASICALLY.
09:50:03 AND WE HAD NANCY STROUD, OUR LAND USE ATTORNEY, RESEARCH
09:50:07 THIS.
09:50:10 THIS IS WHY IT'S TAKEN A REALLY LONG TIME TO GET BACK TO THE
09:50:13 COUNCIL BECAUSE WE WANTED TO BE VERY THOROUGH.
09:50:16 SHE DID CONTACT THE COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE BECAUSE ONE OF
09:50:19 THE THOUGHTS WE HAD WAS, LET'S GO BACK TO THE COUNTY
09:50:22 ATTORNEYS AND SAY, YOU SHOULD HAVE ISSUED THE CREDITS.
09:50:25 YOU NEED TO DO IT.
09:50:26 AND THEY SAID WE CAN'T LEGALLY NOW BECAUSE IT IS A CITY
09:50:30 RESPONSIBILITY.
09:50:30 THEN WE TRIED TO GET THEM TO LIKE SPLIT THE DIFFERENCE.
09:50:33 WE'LL DO HALF THE CREDITS AND YOU DO HALF, AND THEY SAID,
09:50:35 OH, THANK YOU, WE'RE NOT DOING THAT.
09:50:37 WE INHERITED THAT RESPONSIBILITY.

09:50:42 >>Vice-Mayor McLain: IS THE AMOUNT OF THOSE CREDITS UP FOR
09:50:45 NEGOTIATION?
09:50:45 DID WE HAVE AN APPRAISER GO OUT THERE?
09:50:48 >>Mary Gibbs: WE HAD AN APPRAISER.
09:50:50 WHAT WE DID IS THE APPLICANT HAS TO HIRE AN APPRAISER.
09:50:53 SO THEY HIRED MAXWELL AND HENDRY.
09:50:55 MIKE MAXWELL, HE'S DONE A LOT OF APPRAISALS.
09:50:58 THERE'S A METHODOLOGY IN THE CODE THAT EXPLAINS EXACTLY HOW
09:51:01 YOU HAVE TO DO IT.
09:51:02 SO HE DID THAT.
09:51:03 HE WENT THROUGH ALL THE STEPS.
09:51:04 WE REVIEWED THE APPRAISAL BUT THEN WE'RE NOT EXPERTS.

09:51:07 SO WE HIRED OUR OWN APPRAISER TO JUST DO A PEER REVIEW OF
09:51:11 HIS APPRAISAL TO MAKE SURE IT MET ALL THOSE NEEDS.
09:51:13 AND THAT WAS ABOUT A YEAR AND A HALF AGO, I THINK, WHEN WE
09:51:16 DID THAT.
09:51:17 WE DID HAVE AN APPRAISER LOOK AT IT AND THEY SAID, YES, THIS
09:51:21 APPRAISAL IS CORRECT.
09:51:22 ONE OF THE THINGS THAT DAVID MENTIONED IS THAT THEY ARE
09:51:26 ASKING FOR CREDITS FOR THE RIGHT-OF-WAY, NOT THE
09:51:28 CONSTRUCTION, WHICH WOULD HAVE BEEN AN ADDITIONAL AMOUNT.
09:51:31 SO WE THOUGHT THAT THE \$325,000 WAS A FAIR AMOUNT.
09:51:39 >> WALDEN CENTER HAS TO BE INSPECTED.
09:51:41 IF IT'S DEFICIENT AND NEEDS REPAIRS, WHO DOES THE REPAIRS?
09:51:45 .

09:51:45 >>Mary Gibbs: THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT WOULD BE
09:51:48 RESPONSIBLE FOR THE REPAIRS.
09:51:49 THEY DID SUBMIT JUST A PRELIMINARY ANALYSIS FROM THEIR
09:51:53 ENGINEER I THINK YESTERDAY.
09:51:55 AND THEY FEEL THAT IT MEETS IT.
09:51:58 DAVID WANTS TO GO THROUGH AND BE THOROUGH WITH THAT.
09:52:01 >>Joanne Ribble: SO TO GET THEM TO CONTINUE TO DO THAT
09:52:04 LANDSCAPING, WE HAVE TO TAKE WALDEN CENTER DRIVE.
09:52:11 >>Mary Gibbs: WE TRIED TO GET THEM TO AGREE TO DO IT BECAUSE
09:52:15 THEY ARE REALLY NICE.
09:52:15 THEY SAID, WE'RE NICE, BUT WE DON'T WANT TO BE THAT NICE.
09:52:19 >>Joanne Ribble: THEY WILL CONTINUE TO LANDSCAPE THAT
09:52:21 SECTION OF WALDEN CENTER?
09:52:23 >>Mary Gibbs: YES.
09:52:24 YES.
09:52:28 >>Vice-Mayor McLain: ARE WE REQUIRED TO TAKE OVER WALDEN
09:52:30 CENTER DRIVE --
09:52:33 >>Mary Gibbs: NO WALDEN CENTER DRIVE, THERE'S NOTHING IN THE
09:52:37 DRI -- THAT'S NOT PART OF THE DRI.
09:52:40 IT'S LIKE TWO SEPARATE ACTIONS.
09:52:44 THE NORTH COMMONS DRIVE IS REQUIRED BY THE DRI, SO WE'RE
09:52:50 KIND OF STUCK.
09:52:50 WALDEN CENTER WAS LIKE AN EXTRA THAT THE CDD ADDED ON AND
09:52:55 SAID WE'LL MAINTAIN NORTH COMMONS LANDSCAPING, BUT WE WANT
09:52:59 TO GIVE YOU THIS PIECE OF PROPERTY.

09:53:02 IT'S NOT REQUIRED, BUT IF WE WANT TO MAKE NORTH COMMONS
09:53:07 WORK, WE NEED SOMEBODY TO MAINTAIN THE LANDSCAPING.
09:53:10 SO WHO IS GOING TO DO THAT?
09:53:12 I DON'T THINK THE VILLAGE WANTS TO DO THAT.
09:53:14 IT'S INTERTWINED BUT IT'S NOT REQUIRED.
09:53:18 >>Vice-Mayor McLain: TODAY WE'RE LOOKING AT ONLY NORTH
09:53:20 COMMONS FOR THE THREE WHATEVER --
09:53:22 >>Mary Gibbs: WELL, IT'S A MULTIPART, IF YOU LOOK AT THE

09:53:25 ACTION REQUESTED, IT'S LIKE A MULTIPART -- IT WOULD BE A
09:53:29 MULTIPART MOTION.
09:53:31 WE TRIED TO SEPARATE NORTH COMMONS.
09:53:34 IF YOU GO TO YOUR ACTION REQUESTED, YOU'VE GOT LIKE THREE
09:53:36 ACTIONS REQUESTED.
09:53:37 YOU HAVE THE NORTH COMMONS DRIVE, THE IMPACT FEE CREDITS,
09:53:40 THE RESOLUTION FOR THE IMPACT FEE CREDITS, AND THEN THERE'S
09:53:44 AN INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT WITH THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
09:53:47 DISTRICT FOR THE MAINTENANCE OF THE LANDSCAPING, AND THEN IF
09:53:52 WE WANT AN ENGINEERING FIRM TO LOOK AT THE CDD'S PART OF THE
09:53:58 ROAD.
09:53:59 IT'S VERY COMPLICATED AND INTERTWINED.
09:54:10 >>Jim Ward: MARY, THANK YOU FOR THE CONVERSATION WE HAD
09:54:12 YESTERDAY REGARDING THIS ACTION.
09:54:14 I ALSO SPOKE TO DAVID WILLEMS ON THIS.
09:54:17 WHAT'S CONFUSING TO ME IS THE \$325,000 IN IMPACT FEES THAT
09:54:22 WE DISCUSSED.

09:54:24 AND I UNDERSTAND THE ESTERO VILLAGE'S RESPONSIBILITY IN
09:54:27 STEPPING UP TO THE PLATE AND TAKING OWNERSHIP OF THE
09:54:29 PROPERTY WITHIN THE VILLAGE OF ESTERO.
09:54:33 WHAT I DON'T UNDERSTAND IS I SERVED ON THE BROOK CDD FOR
09:54:38 PROBABLY SIX OR SEVEN YEARS, AND I CAN TELL YOU THAT THE
09:54:41 BROOK CDD WAS OWED \$325,000 FROM LEE COUNTY.
09:54:46 WE WOULD HAVE TAKEN EVERY STEP IN EVERY DIRECTION TO ENSURE
09:54:49 WE RECEIVED THOSE FUNDS.
09:54:51 SO WHAT CONFUSES ME IS WHY THE CDD DID NOT STEP UP.
09:54:57 THERE HAD TO BE A REASON WHY LEE COUNTY DID NOT COME FORWARD
09:55:00 WITH THAT \$325,000.
09:55:03 I'M REALLY TROUBLED BY THE FACT THAT ESTERO HAS TO TAKE ON
09:55:07 THAT FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY.
09:55:08 >>Mary Gibbs: I DO THINK THAT APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE IS
09:55:13 HERE TODAY, AND I DO THINK THEY CAN PROBABLY LEND A LITTLE
09:55:16 MORE INSIGHT BECAUSE THIS WHOLE SITUATION HAS BEEN GOING ON
09:55:20 FOR OVER 13 YEARS BECAUSE PART OF THE ROAD WAS IN BONITA AND
09:55:25 THEY WENT THROUGH THE SAME PROCESS THAT WE'RE GOING THROUGH
09:55:27 NOW, AND THERE WAS -- SO I KNOW THE ATTORNEY, I THINK, CAN
09:55:33 LEND A LITTLE INSIGHT INTO THAT, WHY THE COUNTY NEVER
09:55:35 ACCEPTED IT.
09:55:36 >>Jim Ward: THANK YOU.
09:55:39 >>Jim Boesch: I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE --
09:55:41 >>David Willems: JUST ONE FOLLOW-UP ON THAT POINT IS THAT
09:55:43 THE CDD IS NOT REQUESTING THE MONEY.

09:55:46 IT'S WCI.
09:55:47 IT IS THE ORIGINAL DEVELOPER THAT WILL GET THE IMPACT FEE
09:55:50 CREDITS, NOT THE CDD.
09:55:52 >>Jim Ward: THAT RAISES -- WCI TURNED OVER THE PROPERTY TO

09:55:56 THE CDD YEARS AGO, CORRECT?
09:55:59 >>David Willems: THEY WOULD HAVE TO ANSWER THAT.
09:56:01 I'M NOT SURE WHAT THE PROCESS OR WHAT THE STATUS OF THAT IS.
09:56:05 >>Jim Ward: THE CDD OWNS THE PROPERTY TODAY?
09:56:09 >>David Willems: NO.
09:56:09 NO.
09:56:10 WCI OWNS THAT ROADWAY.
09:56:13 >>Jim Ward: OKAY.
09:56:17 >>Mayor Errington: COUNCIL MEMBER WILSON FOLLOWS YOU.
09:56:23 >>Jim Wilson: I HAVE A QUESTION ABOUT THE CREDIT.
09:56:25 IS IT ANTICIPATED THAT WCI STILL HAS CONSTRUCTION
09:56:31 DEVELOPMENT TO DO IN THIS AREA OR WOULD THE MONEY BE SITTING
09:56:35 ON THE BOOKS AS A CREDIT TO BE USED SOMETIME IN THE FUTURE
09:56:38 ON ANOTHER PROJECT OTHER THAN THIS ONE?
09:56:43 >>Mary Gibbs: THEY MAY BE BETTER TO ANSWER THAT AS WELL.
09:56:45 YOU CAN USE THE CREDITS, BUT OUR IMPACT FEE DISTRICT COVERS
09:56:50 THE WHOLE VILLAGE.
09:56:52 SO MY UNDERSTANDING IS THEY COULD USE THE CREDITS.
09:56:55 THEY COULD SELL THEM TO SOMEONE ELSE, AND THEY COULD BE USED
09:56:57 IN THE VILLAGE ON ANOTHER PROJECT.
09:57:00 I KNOW THAT THEIR PLANNER HAS ASKED ME ABOUT THE CREDITS, SO

09:57:04 I THINK THEY ARE TRYING TO FIGURE OUT WHERE THEY WANT TO USE
09:57:07 THEM.
09:57:07 BUT THEY WOULD BE ABLE TO ANSWER THAT BETTER.
09:57:12 >>Jim Boesch: I WOULD ASK IF -- FIRST OF ALL, RESIDENT
09:57:19 PURCHASE -- SEVERAL PEOPLE ON THE COUNCIL, YOU'RE TALKING
09:57:24 ABOUT A BUSINESS DEAL.
09:57:26 THIS CERTAINLY WOULD NOT MAKE SENSE.
09:57:30 I DO UNDERSTAND COUNCIL MEMBER RIBBLE, THIS MORNING, TRULY A
09:57:39 BYPASS TO GET TO 41 WHICH DEFINITELY IS A SAFE THING FOR THE
09:57:42 PEOPLE IN ESTERO, ESPECIALLY COMING ON COCONUT ROAD.
09:57:45 SO I DO UNDERSTAND THAT PARTICULAR END OF IT, THE IMPORTANCE
09:57:50 OF IT.
09:57:53 THE OTHER ROAD I CERTAINLY DON'T UNDERSTAND WHY WE'RE
09:57:55 GETTING INVOLVED IN ANOTHER ROAD THE CDD DOESN'T WANT, BUT
09:57:59 THEY WANT US TO TAKE IT OVER FOR THEM.
09:58:03 I DON'T SEE ANY BENEFIT TO SOMEONE IN ESTERO, TELL ME THE
09:58:09 BENEFIT OF THAT, PLEASE TELL ME, WHAT WOULD BE A BENEFIT
09:58:14 THAT WE WOULD HAVE TO TAKE OVER THE SECOND ROAD?
09:58:19 >>Mary Gibbs: AND I THINK THE CDD SHOULD EXPLAIN WHY THEY
09:58:23 THINK IT'S A BENEFIT.
09:58:24 I THINK THE BENEFIT TO THE VILLAGE IS THAT WE GET SOMEBODY
09:58:26 TO MAINTAIN THE LANDSCAPING ON THE OTHER ROAD.
09:58:30 >>Jim Boesch: IS THE CDD HERE?
09:58:32 >>Mary Gibbs: YES, THEY ARE HERE ALSO.
09:58:34 >>Jim Boesch: WHY DON'T WE TAKE THEM IN TWO PARTS?

09:58:37 >>David Willems: ABSOLUTELY.
09:58:38 SO REALLY THE OPTIONS ARE WE CAN TAKE NORTH COMMONS DRIVE,
09:58:46 ISSUE THE IMPACT FEE CREDITS, AND THEN THE VILLAGE COULD
09:58:49 MAINTAIN THE LANDSCAPING.
09:58:50 THAT'S ONE OPTION.
09:58:52 THE OTHER OPTION IS WE TAKE THE NORTH COMMONS DRIVE, ISSUE
09:58:57 THE IMPACT FEE CREDITS.
09:58:59 THE CDD DOES THE MAINTENANCE OF THE LANDSCAPING, AND WE TAKE
09:59:04 WALDEN CENTER DRIVE.
09:59:05 SO THAT'S REALLY THE TWO OPTIONS.
09:59:07 YOU'RE EXACTLY RIGHT.
09:59:08 >>Jim Boesch: WHAT CAN THE CREDIT BE APPLIED TO?
09:59:13 >>Mary Gibbs: THE IMPACT FEE CREDITS CAN BE USED IN ESTERO.
09:59:17 SO A PROJECT IN ESTERO.
09:59:20 AND I DON'T KNOW --
09:59:21 >>Jim Boesch: THE \$325,000.
09:59:23 >>Mary Gibbs: YEAH, YEAH.
09:59:24 >>Jim Boesch: WHAT CAN THAT BE APPLIED TO?
09:59:26 >>Mary Gibbs: FOR SOMETHING THAT WCI WANTS TO DO -- LET'S
09:59:30 SAY, FOR EXAMPLE, AND THIS IS JUST A HYPOTHETICAL, THIS
09:59:34 PROJECT THAT'S COMING IN NEXT TO BELLA TERRA, GL HOMES, BUT
09:59:39 LET'S SAY THEY COULD SELL THE CREDITS AND MAYBE USE THEM
09:59:42 THERE.
09:59:42 THEY WOULD HAVE TO USE IT WITHIN THE CITY LIMITS.
09:59:45 >>Jim Boesch: WCI GETS THE CREDIT -- PROJECTS IN ESTERO.

09:59:53 >>Mary Gibbs: YES.
09:59:53 >>Jim Boesch: BASICALLY, WE'RE NOT GETTING ANY SPECIFIC
09:59:58 IMMEDIATE THING OUT OF THIS EXCEPT FOR THE POSSIBILITY THAT
10:00:01 WCI MAY BE USING CREDITS UP ON --
10:00:06 >>Mary Gibbs: CORRECT.
10:00:07 >>Jim Boesch: -- USING THOSE CREDITS.
10:00:09 BENEFIT MORE THAN WE IN THE SENSE OF ESTERO.
10:00:16 I THINK WE SHOULD TAKE IT IN TWO PARTS AS I THINK THERE'S --
10:00:25 I JUST DON'T SEE ANY REASON.
10:00:29 THE CDD IS LOOKING TO DUMP THE ROAD, DUMP IT ON US, THE
10:00:34 SECOND ROAD THERE.
10:00:36 SOMEBODY ASKED ME, WHY DID WE TAKE THAT ROAD, I COULDN'T
10:00:40 GIVE THEM A REASONABLE ANSWER.
10:00:44 THAT'S MY PERSONAL OPINION.
10:00:46 >>Mayor Errington: MEMBER RIBBLE.
10:00:47 >>Joanne Ribble: TO EXPAND ON WHAT JIM IS SAYING, THAT IS AN
10:00:52 ACCESS ROAD FOR THOSE COMING OUT OF THE COLONY TO GET TO 41
10:00:57 SAFELY, IF YOU WANT TO GO NORTHBOUND.
10:00:59 BUT, JIM, I THINK THE BENEFIT OF US TAKING WALDEN CENTER IS
10:01:05 WE DON'T HAVE TO MAINTAIN THE LANDSCAPE ALONG THE WHOLE WAY
10:01:11 OF NORTH COMMONS.
10:01:13 THAT'S THE BENEFIT TO US.

10:01:15 IF WE DON'T TAKE WALDEN CENTER, THAT MEANS WE HAVE TO ASSUME
10:01:22 THE MAINTENANCE OF ALL THE LANDSCAPE PLUS THE RETAINING WALL
10:01:26 ON NORTH COMMONS DRIVE.

10:01:28 SO THAT'S THE BENEFIT.
10:01:30 WE TAKE WALDEN CENTER, THE CDD WILL CONTINUE TO DO ALL THE
10:01:35 LANDSCAPING AND MAINTAIN THE BERM WALL.
10:01:39 I MEAN, YOU'VE GOT TO WEIGH -- THAT'S YOUR BENEFIT THAT YOU
10:01:41 HAVE TO WEIGH.
10:01:42 ARE WE GOING TO TAKE ON THE RESPONSIBILITY OF MAINTAINING
10:01:45 THAT ROAD IN PERPETUITY?
10:01:52 >>Jim Boesch: AGAIN, IN OTHER WORDS, IF WE DON'T TAKE THEM
10:01:57 BOTH, THEN WE'RE GOING TO -- AND WE ONLY TAKE ONE, WE HAVE
10:02:03 TO DO LANDSCAPING ON ONE?
10:02:07 OBVIOUSLY, IF THAT WERE THE CASE, THERE WOULD BE NO SENSE IN
10:02:10 US DOING THIS WHOLE THING.
10:02:12 WHY DO WE TAKE ON A ROAD THAT HAS FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS?
10:02:19 THINK ABOUT IT, ANOTHER 15 YEARS, WHO KNOWS, TEN YEARS, HAS
10:02:23 TO BE REPAVED AND EVERYTHING ELSE.
10:02:27 WHY DID BONITA SPRINGS TURN IT DOWN?
10:02:34 IT'S VERY CONFUSING.
10:02:37 WHEN I LOOK ON THE PROJECT MYSELF, I LIKE TO SAY THIS IS THE
10:02:42 REASON, AND HERE ARE THE BENEFITS WE GET OUT OF IT.
10:02:45 I JUST DON'T SEE ANY BENEFITS I COULD TELL ANY RESIDENT OF
10:02:51 ESTERO THAT WE GOT OUT OF DOING THIS HERE, EXCEPT FOR TAKING
10:02:55 SOME PROBLEMS OFF OF PEOPLE'S HANDS, AND WE NOW ABSORB IT IN
10:03:00 ADDITION TO THE COST OF IT IN THE FUTURE.
10:03:05 >>Mayor Errington: VICE-MAYOR.
10:03:10 >>Vice-Mayor McLain: I LISTENED TO JOANNE.

10:03:13 IT SOUNDS LIKE IT'S A PACKAGE DEAL.
10:03:15 IF WE DON'T DO THEM BOTH, WE HAVE TO DO THE LANDSCAPING,
10:03:17 WHICH I DON'T WANT TO -- I DON'T THINK THAT'S A BURDEN WE
10:03:20 WANT TO HAVE BECAUSE THAT IS REAL OPERATING DOLLARS THAT
10:03:22 WE'RE GOING TO SPEND OVER THE LONG TERM TO MAINTAIN THE
10:03:26 LANDSCAPE.
10:03:27 SO THERE'S A BENEFIT FOR US, I THINK THAT'S TO TURN THEM
10:03:30 BOTH -- WOULD THERE BE MORE IMPACT FEES INVOLVED WITH WALDEN
10:03:34 CENTER DRIVE THAT THEY WOULD BE ASKING FOR?
10:03:38 >>Mary Gibbs: NO.
10:03:39 >>Vice-Mayor McLain: SO IT IS THE 325.
10:03:40 I ALSO HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THE 325.
10:03:42 IT'S NOT A CASH -- NOT AN IMMEDIATE CASH EXPENDITURE BY US.
10:03:48 IF SOMEBODY BUILDS SOMETHING IN THE FUTURE, WE'RE GOING TO
10:03:51 GIVE THEM THE CREDITS, SO IT REDUCES THE AMOUNT OF IMPACT
10:03:53 FEE THAT WE GET.
10:03:55 I HAVE A LITTLE BIT OF A PROBLEM GIVING THE \$300,000 TO THEM
10:04:03 AT THIS POINT.

10:04:03 IT'S VERY LATE IN THE GAME FOR THIS.
10:04:05 THE ROAD HAS BEEN USED.
10:04:06 THE ROAD HAS BEEN INSPECTED, BUT STILL A 20-YEAR-OLD ROAD OR
10:04:09 SOMETHING.
10:04:10 I HAVE A PROBLEM WITH USE THIS IMPACT FEE AS A BARTERING
10:04:14 ELEMENT, AND THEY ARE -- WE GIVE THEM THREE AND A QUARTER,
10:04:18 THEY MAY SELL IT TO SOMEBODY ELSE FOR 150, AND THEY GET CASH

10:04:24 INTO THEIR CDD FOR THAT.
10:04:25 IS THERE ROOM FOR US BECAUSE OF THE DELAYED TAKEOVER BY, IF
10:04:31 WE ELECT TO TAKE IT OVER, TO REDUCE THAT 325 TO A NUMBER
10:04:36 THAT WE NEGOTIATED WITH THEM?
10:04:38 I MEAN, THAT'S NOT SET IN GOLD.
10:04:40 YOU'VE GOT APPRAISERS THAT HAVE BEEN OUT THERE.
10:04:45 THAT'S GOOD, BUT IF YOU'RE BUYING PROPERTY, YOU CAN HAVE AN
10:04:48 APPRAISAL BUT YOU CAN NEGOTIATE FROM THERE.
10:04:50 CAN WE NEGOTIATE ON THE IMPACT FEE AND REDUCE THAT DOWN TO
10:04:54 ANOTHER NUMBER?
10:04:56 >>Mary Gibbs: THE WAY THE LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE IS WRITTEN,
10:04:58 IT EXPLAINS IN A LOT OF DETAIL EXACTLY HOW IT HAS TO BE DONE
10:05:01 WHEN YOU'RE DEDICATING ROADS.
10:05:03 AND THEN THE APPRAISAL, IT'S VERY STRICT REQUIREMENTS FOR
10:05:05 THE APPRAISAL.
10:05:07 ONCE YOU GET THE APPRAISAL, AGAIN, AND HE DIDN'T INCLUDE
10:05:11 CONSTRUCTION, SO HE ONLY INCLUDED THE RIGHT-OF-WAY VALUE.
10:05:14 SET AS TO HOW EXACTLY THAT'S DETERMINED, AND THEN WE DID
10:05:18 HAVE OUR OWN INDEPENDENT APPRAISER REVIEW THAT JUST TO MAKE
10:05:21 SURE THERE WASN'T ANYTHING ODD GOING ON AND OUR APPRAISER
10:05:26 AGREED.
10:05:26 I THINK THAT BECAUSE OF THE LANGUAGE IN THE DRI DEVELOPMENT
10:05:30 ORDER THAT SAID CREDITS ARE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CODE,
10:05:33 THAT DOESN'T REALLY GIVE YOU ANY ROOM TO NEGOTIATE ON THAT.
10:05:39 >>Jim Boesch: YOU DO HAVE AN APPRAISAL, BUT THE APPRAISAL

10:05:42 SAYS, OKAY, THAT LAND IS WORTH THAT, BUT BRING IT TO A
10:05:46 BUSINESSMAN AND SAY, OKAY, HERE'S THE DEAL, YOU CAN BE SURE
10:05:49 THE BUSINESSMAN IN ONE SECOND WOULD TURN DOWN THE DEAL.
10:05:52 THE COUNTY IS ASKING US TO DO SOMETHING ON ONE PART OF THE
10:05:59 ROAD.
10:05:59 WE'RE GETTING VERY LITTLE OUT OF IT EXCEPT OWNERSHIP OF THE
10:06:02 ROAD AND THE OBLIGATION IN THE FUTURE.
10:06:05 AND THE DEVELOPER GETS A \$325,000 CREDIT FOR THE FUTURE
10:06:10 PROJECTS THAT HE MAY OR MAY NOT DO IN ESTERO.
10:06:14 THE SECOND ONE, THE CDD OWNS THAT LAND.
10:06:17 THEY ARE OBLIGATED TO PAVE IT AND EVERYTHING ELSE.
10:06:19 JUST LIKE THE CDD IN MY COMMUNITY AND EVERYTHING ELSE.
10:06:23 SO WHY ARE WE TAKING OVER LAND FROM A CDD THAT THEY'RE
10:06:31 OBLIGATED TO MAINTAIN AND DO THE ROAD?

10:06:33 MAYBE GET ANOTHER ENTRANCE OR SOMETHING, BUT THE RESIDENTS
10:06:39 OUGHT TO BE ACCEPTING THAT RESPONSIBILITY OF THAT PARTICULAR
10:06:43 PIECE OF LAND, NOT US.
10:06:46 HOW WOULD YOU LIKE IT IF ONE OF US CAME IN AND SAID IN OUR
10:06:50 COMMUNITY, WE WANT YOU TO TAKE OVER A ROAD?
10:06:56 RESPONSIBILITY TO PAVE IT AND SO FORTH, FLOOD OUR COMMUNITY.
10:07:00 THAT WOULD BE A GOOD DEAL FOR ANYBODY TO DO THAT AND WOULD
10:07:04 BE IN 32 COMMUNITIES SETTING A PRECEDENT THAT WE --
10:07:11 I'M ONLY ONE PERSON.
10:07:12 THAT'S MY PERSONAL.
10:07:14 I DON'T SEE ANY ADVANTAGES.

10:07:16 TELL ME ADVANTAGES.
10:07:18 THAT'S WHAT I WANT TO KNOW.
10:07:19 >>Mayor Errington: COMMENT FROM COUNCIL MEMBER RIBBLE.
10:07:20 >>Joanne Ribble: MARY, DID I UNDERSTAND YOU IN THE BEGINNING
10:07:23 THAT WE'RE REQUIRED TO DO THIS?
10:07:25 >>Mary Gibbs: WE'RE REQUIRED TO DO THE NORTH COMMONS DRIVE.
10:07:28 >>Joanne Ribble: WE ARE REQUIRED.
10:07:29 >>Mary Gibbs: WE ARE REQUIRED -- SO I UNDERSTAND --
10:07:32 >>Joanne Ribble: DRI.
10:07:33 >>Mary Gibbs: I UNDERSTAND WHERE YOU'RE COMING FROM.
10:07:35 WHEN WE STARTED THIS TWO YEARS AGO, WE HAD THE SAME
10:07:37 QUESTION, WHY WOULD WE DO THIS?
10:07:40 IT DOESN'T SEEM LIKE A GOOD DEAL.
10:07:42 ONCE WE RESEARCHED IT, DUG INTO IT AND HAD NANCY STROUD LOOK
10:07:45 AT IT, AND ONCE WE REALIZED WE HAD TO DO IT PER THE DRI
10:07:50 DEVELOPMENT LANGUAGE, SO THERE IS AN ADVANTAGE.
10:07:52 IN NORTH COMMONS, THERE IS CUT-THRU TRAFFIC.
10:07:55 WE WOULDN'T ACCEPT JUST ANY ROAD.
10:07:57 WE HAVE TO ACCEPT A ROAD THAT PROVIDES TRAFFIC NOT JUST FOR
10:07:59 THE PRIVATE DEVELOPMENT BUT FOR OTHER PEOPLE AS WELL.
10:08:01 DOES IT MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF A PUBLIC ROAD?
10:08:04 YES.
10:08:04 DOES IT MEET THE STANDARDS?
10:08:06 YES.
10:08:06 BUT WE'RE REQUIRED BY THIS DRI TO DO THIS, TO ISSUE THE

10:08:11 IMPACT FEE CREDITS.
10:08:12 BASICALLY, WE'RE STUCK.
10:08:13 >>Joanne Ribble: YEAH, SO WE'RE BACK DOWN TO THE SITUATION,
10:08:16 DO WE ACCEPT BOTH ROADS AND NOT HAVE TO DO LANDSCAPING OR
10:08:21 NOT ACCEPT WALDEN CENTER AND HAVE TO DO LANDSCAPING ON NORTH
10:08:27 COMMONS?
10:08:28 THAT'S THE QUESTION.
10:08:29 BECAUSE WE ARE REQUIRED TO ISSUE THE CREDIT.
10:08:32 AND THE CREDIT IS NOT NEGOTIABLE, SO THAT'S NOT GOING TO
10:08:36 CHANGE.

10:08:36 >>Jim Boesch: WE'RE NOT OBLIGATED TO DO ANY LANDSCAPING AT
10:08:39 ALL RIGHT NOW.
10:08:41 >>Joanne Ribble: NO, BUT WE ARE REQUIRED TO TAKE THE ROAD.
10:08:45 >>Jim Boesch: I'M SAYING RIGHT AT THIS PARTICULAR POINT, IS
10:08:48 THE CITY PAYING ANYTHING FOR LANDSCAPING IN THIS AREA?
10:08:51 SO WHY SHOULD, IF WE DID AGREE TO DO SOME OF THE -- WHY
10:08:56 SHOULD WE ACCEPT THAT RESPONSIBILITY IN THE FIRST PLACE?
10:08:59 THINK ABOUT IT.
10:09:03 YOU HAVE TEN DIFFERENT RESIDENTS HERE.
10:09:06 YOU WANT TO TELL THE RESIDENTS WHY THEY SHOULD TURN THIS
10:09:08 LAND OVER, THEY WANT TO KNOW, TELL ME AN ADVANTAGE I GET TO
10:09:14 TURN OVER THIS PROPERTY.
10:09:16 THAT'S WHAT WE ARE HERE DISCUSSING AND GO OVER NOW.
10:09:19 32 COMMUNITIES -- OR NOT ALL OF THEM, SOME HAVE CDDs, AND
10:09:27 THEY HAVE TO MAINTAIN THE ROADS IN THEIR COMMUNITY.

10:09:30 SO WHY SHOULD WE TAKE OVER A ROAD WITH OBLIGATIONS THAT WE
10:09:36 DO THE ROAD WHEN THE CDD IS RESPONSIBLE FOR THAT?
10:09:42 >>Joanne Ribble: BACK TO THE QUESTION.
10:09:43 ARE WE REQUIRED TO TAKE OVER THE ROAD?
10:09:45 >>Mary Gibbs: YOU'RE REQUIRED TO TAKE OVER NORTH COMMONS.
10:09:47 >>Joanne Ribble: WE ARE REQUIRED.
10:09:49 THERE'S NO GETTING AROUND IT, RIGHT?
10:09:50 >>Mary Gibbs: RIGHT.
10:09:51 >>Joanne Ribble: WHAT ARE WE ARGUING ABOUT TAKING OVER THE
10:09:53 ROAD WHEN WE'RE REQUIRED TO DO IT?
10:09:55 >>Mayor Errington: MAY I ASK, WHEN ARE WE REQUIRED?
10:09:57 IT'S BEEN SIX YEARS.
10:09:59 HOW MANY YEARS HAS IT BEEN?
10:10:02 >>Mary Gibbs: IT'S BEEN MAYBE 20 YEARS.
10:10:05 >>Mayor Errington: SOMEWHERE DOWN THE ROAD WE ARE REQUIRED
10:10:08 TO TAKE IT OVER.
10:10:10 >>Mary Gibbs: IT SHOULD HAVE ALREADY BEEN DONE BY THE
10:10:13 COUNTY, BUT AGAIN, IT WASN'T AND THE APPLICANT CAN EXPLAIN
10:10:17 WHY.
10:10:18 NOW WE DO NEED TO DO IT.
10:10:19 BONITA SPRINGS ACCEPTED THEIR PART.
10:10:22 TOOK A WHILE, BUT THEY ACCEPTED IT.
10:10:24 THIS IS THE ONLY PIECE THAT'S LEFT.
10:10:30 >>Jim Ward: AGAIN, I FULLY UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU'RE SAYING
10:10:32 ABOUT OUR REQUIREMENT, AND GOING BACK TO OUR DISCUSSION, AND

10:10:35 MY DISCUSSION WITH DAVID YESTERDAY, I FULLY UNDERSTAND THAT.
10:10:38 MY ISSUE IS SOLELY WITH THE IMPACT FEE CREDITS, WHICH ARE
10:10:43 THE RESPONSIBILITY, RESPONSIBILITY OF LEE COUNTY, SHOULD NOT
10:10:48 BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF ESTERO VILLAGE.
10:10:51 >>Mary Gibbs: I AGREE, BUT LEGALLY, OUR ATTORNEY SAID,
10:10:53 AGAIN, WE'RE STUCK.

10:10:55 WE HAVE TO DO IT.
10:11:00 >>Vice-Mayor McLain: I DON'T WANT TO TAKE ANYTHING OUT OF
10:11:02 THE BASKET HERE TOO MUCH, BUT THEY ARE ASKING US TO TAKE
10:11:05 OVER WALDEN CENTER DRIVE, JUST A PORTION OF IT.
10:11:08 WHO IS GOING TO OWN THE BALANCE OF WALDEN CENTER DRIVE WHERE
10:11:12 IT IS NOT IN THE RED?
10:11:17 >>David Willems: WALDEN CENTER DRIVE IS PRIVATELY OWNED TO
10:11:20 THE NORTH.
10:11:20 THERE IS AN HOA OR PROPERTY OWNERS ASSOCIATION THAT OWNS
10:11:23 THAT SECTION OF ROADWAY.
10:11:24 THE SECTION THAT'S SHOWN IN RED IS THE ONLY PORTION THAT WAS
10:11:28 TURNED OVER TO THE BAYSIDE CDD.
10:11:35 >>Vice-Mayor McLain: AND WE DON'T HAVE TO TAKE OVER WALDEN
10:11:37 CENTER DRIVE, BUT WE'RE TRADING TAKING THAT OVER FOR THE
10:11:42 LANDSCAPING OF THE FUTURE FOR BOTH OF THOSE ROADS.
10:11:47 >>David Willems: CORRECT.
10:11:48 >>Vice-Mayor McLain: THAT'S THE TRADE-OFF.
10:11:50 IT'S AN ECONOMIC TRADE-OFF.
10:11:55 >>Mayor Errington: IT APPEARS THAT THE COUNCIL IS PRETTY

10:11:58 DIVIDED ON THIS.
10:12:00 >>Mary Gibbs: YOU MAY WANT TO HEAR FROM THE APPLICANT
10:12:03 BECAUSE THEY MAY HAVE SOME ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.
10:12:06 >>Mayor Errington: IS THE APPLICANT HERE?
10:12:08 >>Mary Gibbs: YES.
10:12:09 WE HAVE A REPRESENTATIVE FROM WCI.
10:12:10 WE ALSO HAVE THE REPRESENTATIVE FROM THE CDD HERE.
10:12:13 SO I WOULD SUGGEST YOU MIGHT WANT TO LISTEN TO THEM.
10:12:18 >>David Willems: AS MARY HAD SAID, IT'S REALLY ALMOST TWO
10:12:21 SEPARATE ITEMS.
10:12:23 THE COUNCIL COULD EASILY DECIDE THAT WE TAKE OVER NORTH
10:12:27 COMMONS DRIVE, GIVE THE IMPACT FEE CREDITS, BUT WE MAINTAIN
10:12:31 THE LANDSCAPING FOR NOW, AND THEN MAYBE IN THE FUTURE WE
10:12:35 WORK OUT SOMETHING WITH THE CDD THAT WE TAKE IT OVER OR WE
10:12:39 JUST KEEP MAINTAINING THE LANDSCAPING.
10:12:42 WE DO LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE ON OTHER OF OUR ROADWAYS.
10:12:46 I MEAN, WE HAVE THE ABILITY TO DO IT.
10:12:50 >>Mayor Errington: SO, MARY, WOULD YOU INTRODUCE THE
10:12:53 APPLICANT?
10:12:56 >>Mary Gibbs: WE HAVE NEALE MONTGOMERY FROM WCI.
10:12:59 AFTER THAT, WE HAVE CHUCK ADAMS FROM THE COMMUNITY
10:13:07 DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT.
10:13:14 >>Neale Montgomery: UNLESS CHUCK WANTS TO GO FIRST.
10:13:21 >>Mayor Errington: STATE YOUR NAME, PLEASE.
10:13:22 >>Chuck Adams: CHUCK ADAMS WITH THE FIRM OF RAPPEL, HUNT,

10:13:25 AND ASSOCIATES, SPECIAL DISTRICT MANAGEMENT FIRM THAT'S
10:13:28 REPRESENTING BAYSIDE IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT.

10:13:30 I THINK YOU GUYS HAVE CERTAINLY HAD A HEALTHY DISCUSSION
10:13:33 THIS MORNING.
10:13:34 I THINK THAT STAFF DID A GREAT JOB OF LAYING OUT THIS
10:13:39 PROJECT AND THE INNER WORKINGS OF IT.
10:13:44 ONE OF THE THINGS FROM A PRACTICAL USAGE PERSPECTIVE -- AND
10:13:46 YOU REALLY HAVE TO GO OUT THERE AND KIND OF VISUALIZE AND
10:13:49 SEE HOW THE USAGE IS OF THESE TWO ROADS -- NORTH COMMONS
10:13:52 DRIVE IS CERTAINLY CONVENIENT ROADWAY FOR THOSE GOING IN AND
10:13:59 OUT OF THE GATE ON PELICAN COLONY BOULEVARD.
10:14:02 IT'S CERTAINLY IF YOU'RE GOING NORTHBOUND OR YOU'RE WANTING
10:14:04 TO JUMP OFF AT THE LIGHT EARLY ONTO COCONUT AND COME DOWN
10:14:07 INTO PELICAN COLONY BOULEVARD AND ENTER INTO THE COMMUNITY
10:14:11 THROUGH THAT GATEHOUSE.
10:14:12 HOWEVER, IF YOU'RE TRAVELING NORTH ON WALDEN CENTER DRIVE
10:14:18 BETWEEN NEST DRIVE, THE PARKWAY, UP TO THE COLONY BOULEVARD,
10:14:24 AND YOU'RE REALLY JUST WANTING TO GET TO COCONUT TO GRAB
10:14:27 THAT LIGHT TO GO NORTHBOUND ON 41, YOUR TENDENCY IS TO DRIVE
10:14:34 STRAIGHT ACROSS PELICAN COLONY BOULEVARD USING WALDEN CENTER
10:14:36 DRIVE NORTH AND NOT TRAVELING TO THE WEST TO GO NORTH TO
10:14:40 TRAVEL BACK TO THE EAST.
10:14:43 I'VE SAT THERE.
10:14:44 I'VE WATCHED THE TRAFFIC PATTERNS, AND THERE'S A LOT OF THAT
10:14:47 TRAFFIC THAT TAKES PLACE.

10:14:47 SO YOU'VE GOT COMMERCIAL CENTER.
10:14:50 YOU'VE GOT THE NCH BUILDING FURTHER SOUTH OF THERE AND
10:14:54 THERE'S A FAIR AMOUNT OF TRAFFIC AND A PUBLIX ON THE CORNER
10:14:57 OF PARKWAY AND WALDEN CENTER DRIVE.
10:15:00 ON THE SOUTH END, THAT TEND TO USE THAT ROAD.
10:15:04 WATCH THEM GO STRAIGHT ACROSS AND USE WALDEN CENTER DRIVE
10:15:08 NORTH AND STILL USING THAT ROADWAY THAT GOES UP THROUGH THE
10:15:12 COMMERCIAL CENTER ON THE CORNER OF 41 AND COCONUT.
10:15:14 ASSUMING THAT ROADWAY, EVEN THOUGH TODAY IT IS OWNED BY A
10:15:18 PRIVATE ENTITY AND MANAGED BY A COMMERCIAL ASSOCIATION,
10:15:22 ASSUMING THAT WILL STILL REMAIN OPEN, I THINK YOU'RE STILL
10:15:25 GOING TO SEE YOUR TRAFFIC PATTERNS GO THROUGH THERE.
10:15:28 AND I SUSPECT IT WILL STAY OPEN BECAUSE IT IS A BUSINESS
10:15:31 CENTER.
10:15:31 I DON'T SUSPECT THEY'LL BE PUTTING GATES ON IT.
10:15:34 SO THAT'S JUST KIND OF A PRACTICAL SIDE OF IT.
10:15:37 CERTAINLY, STILL BE ABLE TO USE THAT ROADWAY EVEN IF ESTERO
10:15:42 DOESN'T TAKE IT, THE DISTRICT OWNS IT.
10:15:44 DISTRICT IS A PUBLIC ENTITY, WE CAN'T GATE IT, BUT
10:15:47 CERTAINLY, I THINK THERE'S A LOT MORE USAGE THERE THAN JUST
10:15:50 LOCAL TO PELICAN LANDING.
10:15:52 >>Mayor Errington: QUESTION.
10:15:53 WHERE IS THAT IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE NEW TRAFFIC LIGHT
10:15:56 THAT'S SUPPOSED TO BE PUT ON U.S. 41?

10:16:00 >>Chuck Adams: I'M NOT AWARE OF NEW TRAFFIC SIGNAL ON U.S.

10:16:04 41.

10:16:04 >>Mayor Errington: SOMEDAY.

10:16:16 >>Joanne Ribble: I CAN ANSWER THAT FOR YOU.

10:16:18 >>Mayor Errington: DAVID.

10:16:18 >>David Willems: IT'S RIGHT HERE.

10:16:20 IT IS THE LOWER RIGHT-HAND CORNER.

10:16:22 THAT'S PELICAN COLONY.

10:16:23 THE LOWER RIGHT-HAND CORNER HERE IS PELICAN COLONY BOULEVARD

10:16:27 AND U.S. 41.

10:16:28 SO ONCE THAT TRAFFIC SIGNAL IS IN, SOME OF THE TRIPS THAT

10:16:31 USED TO GO NORTH ON COCONUT WILL PROBABLY GO AWAY BECAUSE

10:16:35 THEY'LL BE ABLE TO MAKE A LEFT AT THAT LOCATION.

10:16:38 >>Mayor Errington: THANK YOU.

10:16:39 NEALE.

10:16:57 >>Neale Montgomery: GOOD MORNING.

10:16:59 FOR THE RECORD, NEALE MONTGOMERY.

10:17:01 AS YOU ALL HAVE POINTED OUT, THIS HAS BEEN A 20-YEAR

10:17:04 ENDEAVOR.

10:17:05 MY CHILD WAS IN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL WHEN I STARTED.

10:17:11 IT'S NOT FOR A LACK OF TRYING TURN THE ROAD OVER.

10:17:14 WCI HAS CONSISTENTLY WORKED ON TURNING THE ROAD OVER.

10:17:17 >>Mayor Errington: NEALE, EXCUSE ME.

10:17:18 WE HAVE ASKED EVERYONE TO WEAR A MASK.

10:17:22 THANK YOU.

10:17:24 >>Neale Montgomery: I FIGURED YOU COULD HEAR ME BETTER.

10:17:26 >>Mayor Errington: WE'LL TRY.

10:17:27 THANK YOU.

10:17:28 >>Neale Montgomery: IF YOU CAN'T HEAR ME OR IF I SOUND LIKE

10:17:33 -- SOUNDS LIKE WAH-WAH-WAH, LET ME KNOW.

10:17:37 I WANT TO START WITH A POINT THAT DAVID WILLEMS MADE BECAUSE

10:17:40 I THINK THAT IS THE MOST IMPORTANT POINT, THIS ROAD WAS NOT

10:17:44 REQUIRED TO ADDRESS THE TRAFFIC IMPACTS OF PELICAN LANDING.

10:17:48 THIS ROAD WAS REQUIRED BECAUSE THE COUNTY DETERMINED THAT WE

10:17:52 WANTED A LOCAL ROAD NETWORK THAT WOULD TAKE TRIPS OFF OF 41

10:17:57 FOR THE BENEFIT, ESSENTIALLY, OF THE COMMERCIAL USES OUTSIDE

10:18:01 OF PELICAN LANDING.

10:18:03 IF YOU EVEN LOOK AT THE AERIAL, YOU CAN SEE THERE'S A ROAD

10:18:07 THAT TRACKS INSIDE THE COMMUNITY ADJACENT TO THIS ROAD JUST

10:18:11 THROUGH THE COMMUNITY.

10:18:12 SO THE REASON WHY IMPACT FEE CREDITS ARE PERMITTED IS

10:18:16 BECAUSE THIS WAS AN EXACTION FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE PUBLIC,

10:18:20 NOT FOR THE BENEFIT OF PELICAN LANDING.

10:18:24 AND WE'VE ALREADY TO SOME EXTENT NEGOTIATED BECAUSE THEY ARE

10:18:29 ENTITLED TO CREDITS FOR BOTH THE CONSTRUCTION AND THE

10:18:32 RIGHT-OF-WAY.

10:18:33 SO THEY HAVE AGREED TO JUST PURSUE THE RIGHT-OF-WAY AND NOT
10:18:40 THE CONSTRUCTION.

10:18:41 BUT THERE ISN'T FURTHER NEGOTIATION ON THE VALUE OF THE
10:18:44 RIGHT-OF-WAY.

10:18:45 SO THIS IS A ROAD REQUIRED A PRIVATE DEVELOPER TO BUILD, NOT

10:18:50 FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE PELICAN LANDING RESIDENTS, NOT FOR
10:18:53 THE BENEFIT OF THE DEVELOPER, FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE
10:18:55 COMMUNITY.

10:18:56 THE QUESTION YOU ALL HAVE EXPRESSED IS, WHY NOW?

10:19:02 WELL, IN ADDITION TO THE FACT THAT THE ROAD WAS REQUIRED,
10:19:06 THERE WAS A PHASING SCHEDULE IN THE DRI THAT SAYS YOU BUILD
10:19:10 THIS PIECE WITHIN THIS TIME FRAME AND YOU'LL BUILD THIS
10:19:12 PIECE WITHIN THIS NEXT TIME FRAME.

10:19:14 SO WCI ATTEMPTED AS THEY BUILT THE FIRST PIECE TO TURN IT
10:19:18 OVER AND WAS TOLD BY THE COUNTY, OH, NO, NO, WELL, YOU
10:19:22 CAN'T, EVEN THOUGH WE REQUIRED YOU TO BUILD IT IN THESE TIME
10:19:25 FRAMES, WE'RE NOT GOING TO ACCEPT ANY OF IT UNTIL ALL OF IT
10:19:29 IS BUILT.

10:19:30 SO THEN WHEN IT FINALLY ALL WAS BUILT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE
10:19:36 TIME FRAMES, THERE WAS AN INCORPORATION AND THEN IT WAS
10:19:38 LIKE, OH, NO, WE CAN'T DO IT NOW BECAUSE OF THIS.

10:19:40 THERE'S ALWAYS BEEN -- AND NOW YOU HAVE TO DO THIS.

10:19:44 HERE IS ANOTHER HOOP YOU HAVE TO JUMP THROUGH.

10:19:47 WHAT MARY DIDN'T TELL YOU IS SOME OF THOSE DISCUSSIONS THAT
10:19:50 WE HAVE WITH THE COUNTY ARE PRIOR TO THE TIME THAT I
10:19:54 SUBMITTED THE LETTER YOU SEE OCTOBER OF 2019.

10:19:58 SO WE HAD SEVERAL MEETINGS WHERE NANCY AND MARY MET WITH
10:20:02 REPRESENTATIVES OF THE COUNTY AND HAD A DEBATE ABOUT WHO WAS
10:20:06 GOING TO TAKE THE ROAD.

10:20:07 WCI'S PERSPECTIVE, WE ARE LEGALLY OBLIGATED TO TURN THE ROAD

10:20:11 OVER TO THE GOVERNMENT.

10:20:12 THE GOVERNMENT MADE US BUILD IT AND WE HAVE TO TURN THE ROAD
10:20:14 OVER TO THE GOVERNMENT, AND WE'RE ENTITLED TO IMPACT FEE
10:20:17 CREDIT.

10:20:18 SO WE HAVE BEEN VALIANTLY TRYING TO DO THAT WHICH WE'RE
10:20:21 LEGALLY OBLIGATED TO DO FOR 20 YEARS.

10:20:24 SO MY FONDEST HOPE IS THAT THE GOVERNMENT WILL DO ITS PART
10:20:30 TO COMPLY WITH THE DRI DEVELOPMENT ORDER AND ACCEPT THE ROAD
10:20:34 AND ISSUE THE IMPACT FEE CREDIT.

10:20:36 THE LANDSCAPE IS AN ISSUE.

10:20:39 THERE IS A THIRD ALTERNATIVE IS TO TAKE THE LANDSCAPING OUT,
10:20:41 BUT THAT SEEMS INCONSISTENT WITH ALL THE THINGS THE VILLAGE
10:20:44 IS DOING IN REGARDS TO USING IMPACT FEES TO PUT IN
10:20:51 LANDSCAPING.

10:20:51 SO I WOULD SUBMIT THE VILLAGE DOES HAVE A CHOICE.

10:20:56 I'M NOT HERE ON THE WALDEN CENTER.

10:20:58 I'M HERE ON NORTH COMMON.
10:20:59 I'M GOING TO ASK YOU TO DO WHAT THE DRI REQUIRES YOU TO DO.
10:21:05 >>Mayor Errington: THANK YOU.
10:21:11 >>Vice-Mayor McLain: YOU MAY OR MAY NOT KNOW, MAYBE DAVID
10:21:13 KNOWS THIS.
10:21:14 WHAT KIND OF TRAFFIC FLOW GOES ON ON NORTH COMMONS DRIVE?
10:21:18 IT'S A CONVENIENCE FOR PEOPLE CUTTING BACK AND FORTH.
10:21:20 IS THAT A HEAVILY TRAVELED ROAD?
10:21:24 I DON'T THINK I'VE EVER BEEN ON IT OR IS IT USED -- I'M NOT

10:21:26 SURE WHY I WOULD USE IT IF I LIVED IN THE AREA.
10:21:30 >>Joanne Ribble: I LIVE THERE.
10:21:33 I USE THAT ROAD CONSTANTLY.
10:21:34 IT IS AN ALTERNATE ACCESS ROAD TO GET AWAY FROM THE TRAFFIC
10:21:39 ON 41.
10:21:40 PEOPLE GET OFF AT COCONUT TO AVOID BACKUPS OR EXCESS TRAFFIC
10:21:47 ON 41 GOING SOUTHBOUND.
10:21:49 IT'S AN ALTERNATE ROUTE TO GO SOUTHBOUND ALL THE WAY DOWN TO
10:21:55 PELICAN LANDING BOULEVARD, WHICH IS, I WOULD SAY, A GOOD TWO
10:22:01 MILES IF THERE'S SOMETHING GOING ON ON 41 AND YOU WANT TO
10:22:04 AVOID TRAFFIC, IT IS USED CONSTANTLY.
10:22:07 NOT SO MUCH WALDEN CENTER, BUT NORTH COMMONS IS A
10:22:14 THOROUGHFARE FOR A LOT OF PEOPLE WHO WANT TO CUT THROUGH AND
10:22:16 AVOID TRAFFIC OR ANOTHER WAY TO GO COCONUT AND GO WESTBOUND,
10:22:23 TOO.
10:22:23 IT IS USED A LOT.
10:22:24 THERE'S ALSO THE DAY ACADEMY THERE, WHICH IS THE SCHOOL.
10:22:29 SO PEOPLE COMING TO BRING THEIR CHILDREN AND DROP THEM OFF
10:22:33 USE THAT ROAD.
10:22:38 >>Mayor Errington: ANY OTHER COUNCIL COMMENTS?
10:22:40 >>Jim Boesch: I MAKE A MOTION --
10:22:42 >>Mayor Errington: WAIT JUST A MINUTE.
10:22:43 >>Jim Boesch: MAKE A MOTION THAT WE ACCEPT -- [TRAILS OFF]
10:22:48 >>Mayor Errington: WE HAVE THE PUBLIC.
10:22:49 DO WE HAVE ANY PUBLIC COMMENT.

10:22:59 >> NO, MAYOR.
10:23:00 >>Jim Boesch: I MAKE A MOTION WE ACCEPT THE LONG RUN,
10:23:05 WHATEVER, AND WE PUT ASIDE THE WALDEN CENTER DRIVE OWNED BY
10:23:10 THE CDD AND HAVE FUTURE DISCUSSIONS WITH THEM ON HOW WE CAN
10:23:14 -- AND IF WE CAN RESOLVE THAT PARTICULAR PIECE.
10:23:22 >>Mary Gibbs: MAY I ADD ONE THING?
10:23:24 I FORGOT TO MENTION, IF YOU'RE GOING TO APPROVE THE NORTH
10:23:27 COMMONS, THE RESOLUTION WE NEED TO MAKE A SLIGHT CHANGE TO
10:23:30 SECTION 2, WHICH SAYS THE VILLAGE IS ACCEPTING THE
10:23:32 RIGHT-OF-WAY BY VIRTUE OF THE RESOLUTION THAT AUTHORIZES THE
10:23:35 STAFF TO PREPARE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY TO PERFECT
10:23:41 THE ACCEPTANCE.

10:23:42 AND I WANTED TO ADD, INCLUDING A LETTER ISSUING IMPACT FEE
10:23:46 CREDITS, JUST TO BE CLEAR THAT WE WILL ISSUE THE IMPACT FEE
10:23:51 CREDITS.
10:23:53 >>Mayor Errington: IS THAT IN AGREEMENT WITH YOUR MOTION,
10:23:54 JIM?
10:23:56 >>Jim Boesch: YES, I'M FINE.
10:23:58 >>Mary Gibbs: BASICALLY -- SO WHAT YOU'RE SAYING IS I THINK
10:24:02 YOU'RE APPROVING THE NORTH COMMONS, ACCEPTING THE ROAD,
10:24:06 ISSUING THE IMPACT FEE CREDITS AND APPROVING THE RESOLUTION.
10:24:11 >>Mayor Errington: THANK YOU.
10:24:11 IS THERE A SECOND?
10:24:17 >>Burt Saunders: MADAM CHAIR, COULD I ASK STAFF A QUICK
10:24:20 QUESTION?

10:24:20 >>Mayor Errington: YES.
10:24:22 >>Burt Saunders: YOU'RE NOT ACCEPTING THE DEAL DEALING WITH
10:24:25 THE MAINTENANCE OF THE LANDSCAPING.
10:24:27 I WANT TO MAKE CLEAR WHOSE OBLIGATION THAT BECOMES WHEN YOU
10:24:31 ACCEPT THE ROAD.
10:24:34 >>Mary Gibbs: THE WAY THE RESOLUTION IS WRITTEN, THAT IT
10:24:38 SAYS THE VILLAGE WILL ACCEPT THE RIGHT-OF-WAY FOR NORTH
10:24:40 COMMONS EXCLUDING THE LANDSCAPING.
10:24:42 SO THAT LEAVES -- I THINK IT LEAVES UP IN THE AIR.
10:24:46 >>Burt Saunders: WELL, IT LEAVES UP IN THE AIR, BUT WHOSE
10:24:49 OBLIGATION WOULD THAT BE ULTIMATELY IF THERE'S NO DEAL MADE
10:24:52 WITH THE CDD?
10:24:57 >>Mary Gibbs: I BELIEVE IT IS THE CDD.
10:24:58 >>David Willems: I THINK THAT TEXT NEEDS TO BE STRICKEN FROM
10:25:01 THE RESOLUTION IF WE'RE NOT DOING BOTH OF THEM, SINCE WE'RE
10:25:04 TAKING THE RIGHT-OF-WAY, WE WOULD TAKE OVER THE LANDSCAPING
10:25:06 AS WELL.
10:25:11 >>Vice-Mayor McLain: HOW INTENSE IS THE LANDSCAPING?
10:25:13 IT'S ONLY A TRAFFIC ROAD.
10:25:15 YOU DON'T HAVE STREETLIGHTS, IS IT INTENSIVE LANDSCAPING?
10:25:18 >>David Willems: NO, IT'S NOTHING ON THE ORDER OF ESTERO
10:25:20 PARKWAY OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT.
10:25:23 >>Joanne Ribble: BUT IT DOES INCLUDE THE WALL.
10:25:25 >>David Willems: IT DOES INCLUDE THE WALL, YES, SO THERE
10:25:28 WILL BE REPAIRS AND THINGS IN THE FUTURE.

10:25:30 >>Joanne Ribble: RUNS THE ENTIRE LENGTH OF THAT ROAD.
10:25:32 >>Mayor Errington: WHAT IS THE LENGTH OF THAT ROAD?
10:25:34 IS IT HALF A MILE?
10:25:36 >>David Willems: MAYBE NOT EVEN THAT.
10:25:38 HALF A MILE OR LESS.
10:25:42 >>Mayor Errington: WHO WOULD DO THE LANDSCAPING?
10:25:45 >>David Willems: THE VILLAGE WOULD DO THE LANDSCAPING.
10:25:47 >>Mayor Errington: WE WOULD DO THAT.

10:25:50 >>David Willems: UNLESS WE'RE ABLE TO WORK WITH THE CDD TO
10:25:54 WORK SOMETHING ELSE OUT.
10:25:55 >>Mayor Errington: HOW DO YOU DO LANDSCAPING WHEN YOU DON'T
10:25:57 PUT DOLLAR FIGURES ON SOMETHING?
10:26:00 I MEAN, THE DEMAND FOR, YOU KNOW, --
10:26:02 >>David Willems: WE WOULD ADD THAT TO THE CONTRACT WE
10:26:05 CURRENTLY HAVE WITH OUR LANDSCAPE CONTRACTOR.
10:26:07 THEY WOULD MOW THE GRASS AND TRIM ANY TREES THAT STARTED TO
10:26:11 IMPACT THE SIDEWALK OR ROADWAY.
10:26:14 >>Mayor Errington: NOT PUT IN TREES OR SHRUBBERY.
10:26:19 >>David Willems: NO WE WOULDN'T ADD ANYTHING.
10:26:20 >>Mayor Errington: MAINTAIN.
10:26:21 >>David Willems: YES.
10:26:22 >>Joanne Ribble: DAVID, THAT'S BOTH SIDES OF THE STREET?
10:26:25 >>David Willems: YES, WITHIN THE RIGHT-OF-WAY.
10:26:26 >>Mayor Errington: THEN WHAT ABOUT THE WALL?
10:26:28 YOU SAID THE WALL IS WHAT?

10:26:31 >>David Willems: EVERY STRUCTURE EVENTUALLY WILL
10:26:33 DETERIORATE.
10:26:33 THERE MAY NEED TO BE REPAIRS.
10:26:35 IT'S IN GOOD CONDITION RIGHT NOW.
10:26:36 SO THERE WILL BE NOTHING WITH THE WALL PROBABLY IN THE NEAR
10:26:40 FUTURE, BUT AT SOME POINT IT MAY NEED TO BE REPLACED OR
10:26:43 REPAIRED.
10:26:45 >>Joanne Ribble: OR PAINTED.
10:26:46 >>Mayor Errington: TEN YEARS FROM NOW, THE VILLAGE MAY HAVE
10:26:50 TO REPLACE IT, REPAIR IT?
10:26:52 >>David Willems: CORRECT.
10:26:52 >>Vice-Mayor McLain: AND THE WALL IS TO WHOSE BENEFIT?
10:26:56 THAT'S TO THE HOMEOWNERS?
10:26:59 >>David Willems: IT'S FOR THE COMMUNITY.
10:27:00 THAT'S WHAT ALLOWED THE BERM TO BE BUILT TO THE ELEVATION
10:27:03 THAT IT IS BUILT.
10:27:05 >>Vice-Mayor McLain: WHY WOULD WE TAKE OVER THE
10:27:06 RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE WALL?
10:27:08 >>David Willems: IT'S AN IMPROVEMENT --
10:27:09 >>Vice-Mayor McLain: -- ANY BENEFIT FROM WHAT I HEARD -- THE
10:27:12 ROAD HAS NO BENEFIT TO THE COMMUNITY ITSELF.
10:27:14 IT'S FOR THE CONVENIENCE OF THE PUBLIC.
10:27:17 THE WALL, THOUGH, IS FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE COMMUNITY.
10:27:22 >>David Willems: CORRECT.
10:27:23 >>Vice-Mayor McLain: I THINK THEY SHOULD MAINTAIN THAT.

10:27:26 WHO MAINTAINS THE SIDEWALKS?
10:27:28 >>David Willems: THE SIDEWALKS WILL BE THE VILLAGE AS WELL.
10:27:30 THAT'S WHY STAFF TRIED TO NEGOTIATE WITH THE CDD BECAUSE THE
10:27:34 CDD, THE COMMUNITY WAS THE BENEFICIARY OF THE WALL, BUT THEY

10:27:41 SAID, WELL, WE'LL DO THAT IF YOU TAKE WALDEN CENTER DRIVE.
10:27:48 >>Mayor Errington: ARE THERE ANY STREETLIGHTS ON THAT ROAD?
10:27:52 >>David Willems: I DON'T RECALL OFF THE TOP OF MY HEAD, BUT
10:27:55 I DON'T BELIEVE SO.
10:27:56 BUT I'M NOT SURE.
10:27:56 I'VE DRIVEN DOWN IT BUT NOT AT NIGHT.
10:28:01 >>Vice-Mayor McLain: GIVEN YOUR RECOMMENDATION AND I THINK
10:28:03 BASED ON ECONOMICS, WE TAKE OVER WALDEN AND LET THEM DO THE
10:28:09 MAINTENANCE OF THE SIDEWALKS, THE WALL, THE LANDSCAPING --
10:28:14 >>David Willems: I DON'T KNOW THAT THAT WOULD BE MY
10:28:16 RECOMMENDATION.
10:28:17 I MEAN, THE LANDSCAPING HAS AN ANNUAL COST THAT WE WOULD
10:28:20 HAVE TO INCUR BUT REPAVING A ROADWAY IS EXPENSIVE AS WELL.
10:28:25 IT'S REALLY A COUNCIL DECISION.
10:28:31 >>Mayor Errington: THANK YOU.
10:28:32 I DON'T KNOW WHETHER WE NEED AN AMENDMENT TO THIS ORIGINAL
10:28:37 MOTION.
10:28:38 BURT?
10:28:40 >>Burt Saunders: I SIMPLY WANTED TO RAISE THE ISSUE OF
10:28:43 LANDSCAPING SO THE BOARD UNDERSTOOD THAT YOU WOULD BE
10:28:46 RESPONSIBLE FOR IT.

10:28:47 WE CAN REWORD THE RESOLUTION TO REFLECT THE ACTION OF THE
10:28:52 COUNCIL, IF YOU'LL JUST GIVE US THE AUTHORITY TO MAKE
10:28:54 TECHNICAL CHANGES TO THE RESOLUTION, WE WON'T HAVE TO COME
10:28:58 BACK ON THAT.
10:29:01 >>Mary Gibbs: SO IT SOUNDS LIKE DAVID IS SAYING WE WOULD
10:29:05 ACCEPT THE ROAD AND THE LANDSCAPING.
10:29:08 >>Mayor Errington: AND THE WALL.
10:29:10 >>Mary Gibbs: AND THE WALL.
10:29:11 WHAT I'M HEARING THE MOTION WOULD BE IS THE ACTION REQUESTED
10:29:15 IS ACCEPTING NORTH COMMONS DRIVE AS A VILLAGE MAINTAINED
10:29:20 ROAD, ISSUING THE IMPACT FEE CREDITS FOR THE 325,000, AND
10:29:23 APPROVING THE RESOLUTION THAT PROVIDES FOR THE IMPACT FEE
10:29:27 CREDITS, BUT WE'RE GOING TO AMEND THE RESOLUTION TO TAKE OUT
10:29:30 THE -- BECAUSE RIGHT NOW WE SAID EXCLUDING THE LANDSCAPING,
10:29:33 SO WE'RE GOING TO AMEND THE RESOLUTION TO TAKE OUT THAT
10:29:36 LANGUAGE BECAUSE WE'LL BE ALSO TAKING OVER THE LANDSCAPING
10:29:41 AND HARD SCAPING.
10:29:44 SO BASICALLY WE WOULD CHANGE THE WHEREAS CLAUSE THAT TALKS
10:29:49 ABOUT ACCEPTING -- NOT ACCEPTING THE LANDSCAPING.
10:29:52 WE'LL CHANGE THAT.
10:29:53 AND WE'LL CHANGE SECTION 1 THAT REFERENCES THE LANDSCAPING.
10:29:56 WE'LL CHANGE SECTION 2 WHERE WE ISSUE A LETTER THAT APPROVES
10:30:01 THE IMPACT FEE CREDITS.
10:30:03 AND THEN ITEM 2, HAVING TO DO WITH THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
10:30:07 DISTRICT, WE'RE NOT DOING ANYTHING WITH THAT.

10:30:11 >>Mayor Errington: DOES THAT SOUND LIKE YOUR MOTION?
10:30:13 >>Jim Boesch: YES.
10:30:16 >>Burt Saunders: MADAM CHAIR, I WOULD JUST ADD TO THAT, THAT
10:30:20 IF THERE IS A DESIRE TO NEGOTIATE WITH THE CDD ON WALDEN,
10:30:24 YOU CAN STILL DO THAT.
10:30:25 YOU JUST HAVE TO COME BACK AND MAKE THAT CHANGE.
10:30:28 >>Mayor Errington: THANK YOU.
10:30:28 I NEED A SECOND.
10:30:31 SECONDED BY COUNCIL MEMBER WILSON.
10:30:35 MADAM CLERK, WOULD YOU PLEASE CALL THE ROLL, PLEASE?
10:30:42 >>Joanne Ribble: AYE.
10:30:44 >>Larry Fiesel: AYE.
10:30:46 >>Jim Boesch: AYE.
10:30:47 >>Jim Ward: AYE.
10:30:49 >>Jim Wilson: AYE.
10:30:51 >>Vice-Mayor McLain: AYE.
10:30:52 >>Mayor Errington: AYE.
10:30:53 LET'S GET SOMETHING TOUGH, THAT'S RIGHT.
10:31:07 BIRTHDAY BOY.
10:31:07 C, COUNCIL LIAISON ASSIGNMENTS.
10:31:19 STEVE, WOULD YOU INTRODUCE THIS TOPIC, PLEASE?
10:31:24 >>Steven Sarkozy: YES, MADAM MAYOR AND MEMBERS OF COUNCIL,
10:31:28 THE COUNCIL RULES AND PROCEDURES HAVE THE COUNCIL MEMBERS
10:31:33 SERVING IN A LIAISON CAPACITY TO OUTSIDE ORGANIZATIONS OR
10:31:38 AREAS OF SPECIAL INTEREST.

10:31:41 WE PERIODICALLY UPDATE THIS LIST, AND WITH NEW COUNCIL
10:31:46 MEMBERS ON BOARD, WE'RE BEGINNING TO DO THAT.
10:31:48 THE ATTACHED LIST OF LIAISONS IS INCLUDED IN YOUR PACKET,
10:31:56 AND IT'S APPROPRIATE FOR THE COUNCIL TO AFFIRM THIS BY A
10:32:01 VOTE TODAY.
10:32:02 I WOULD NOTE THAT WE'LL PROBABLY BRING BACK OTHER
10:32:05 ASSIGNMENTS AND LIAISONS AS WE GET DEEPER INTO THAT LIST.
10:32:12 SO THIS IS BY NO MEANS COMPLETE, BUT IT AT LEAST PROVIDES
10:32:18 THE INITIAL TOP PRIORITY ASSIGNMENTS FOR THE BENEFIT OF YOU
10:32:22 AND THE GENERAL PUBLIC.
10:32:24 >>Mayor Errington: THANK YOU.
10:32:24 DOES COUNCIL HAVE ANY COMMENTS?
10:32:27 ANY PUBLIC COMMENT?
10:32:31 >>Carol Sacco: NO, MAYOR.
10:32:35 >>Mayor Errington: I WILL NOW ENTERTAIN A MOTION.
10:32:37 MOTION MADE BY COUNCIL MEMBER WILSON AND SECONDED BY COUNCIL
10:32:42 MEMBER WARD.
10:32:43 MADAM CLERK, WOULD YOU PLEASE CALL THE ROLL?
10:32:50 >>Jim Wilson: AYE.
10:32:51 >>Jim Ward: AYE.
10:32:52 >>Jim Boesch: AYE.
10:32:53 >>Larry Fiesel: AYE.

10:32:55 >>Joanne Ribble: AYE.
10:32:57 >>Vice-Mayor McLain: AYE.
10:32:57 >>Mayor Errington: AYE.

10:32:59 NEXT ON THE AGENDA IS THE SECOND READING FOR ORDINANCE
10:33:05 NUMBER 2021-05.

10:33:09 MADAM CLERK, PLEASE READ THE ORDINANCE.

10:33:11 >>Carol Sacco: ORDINANCE NUMBER 2021-05, AN ORDINANCE OF THE
10:33:16 VILLAGE COUNCIL OF THE VILLAGE OF ESTERO, FLORIDA; PROVIDING
10:33:19 FOR THE INTENT AND PURPOSE; PROVIDING DEFINITIONS; PROVIDING
10:33:22 FOR APPLICABILITY; PROVIDING FOR LOCAL IMPLEMENTATION OF THE
10:33:26 MANDATORY YEAR-ROUND LANDSCAPE IRRIGATION CONSERVATION
10:33:29 MEASURES RULE OF THE SOUTH FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT
10:33:32 DISTRICT; PROVIDING FOR VARIANCES; PROVIDING FOR
10:33:36 ENFORCEMENT; PROVIDING FOR CODIFICATION; PROVIDING FOR
10:33:39 CONFLICTS; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; AND PROVIDING AN
10:33:42 EFFECTIVE DATE.

10:33:44 >>Mayor Errington: MADAM CLERK, HAS THE ORDINANCE BEEN
10:33:46 PROPERLY ADVERTISED?

10:33:47 >>Carol Sacco: YES, MAYOR.

10:33:48 >>Mayor Errington: STEVE, WOULD YOU INTRODUCE THIS, PLEASE?

10:33:51 >>Steven Sarkozy: YES, MAYOR, MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.

10:33:54 THIS IS COMING TO YOU VIA A REQUEST FROM THE SOUTH FLORIDA
10:34:00 WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT WHERE THEY ARE ASKING ALL
10:34:03 COMMUNITIES TO PARTNER IN THE CONTROL OF SOME OF OUR WATER
10:34:09 USAGE.

10:34:10 THIS IS A SIGNIFICANT STEP THAT WILL HAVE ALL OF US
10:34:17 PRESCRIBING TO THE GUIDELINES THAT THEY HAVE ESTABLISHED.
10:34:21 I'LL ASK DAVID WILLEMS TO COME FORWARD TO WALK THROUGH A

10:34:24 VERY BRIEF SLIDE PRESENTATION SO THAT YOU HAVE BETTER
10:34:31 IN-DEPTH KNOWLEDGE OF THE ORDINANCE THAT'S BEING PROPOSED.

10:34:35 >>David Willems: GOOD MORNING.

10:34:36 I'M JUST GOING TO PROVIDE SOME REAL QUICK BACKGROUND ON THE
10:34:49 PROJECT, AND THEN ALSO ANSWER A QUESTION OR TWO THAT WAS
10:34:52 RAISED AT THE FIRST READING.

10:34:54 THIS IS REALLY TO THE WATER RESTRICTION PART OF THE
10:34:57 ORDINANCE.

10:34:58 SO IT'S GOING TO LIMIT THE NUMBER OF DAYS THAT PEOPLE CAN
10:35:00 WATER AND THE NUMBER OF HOURS THAT THEY ARE ABLE TO WATER
10:35:03 EACH DAY.

10:35:05 THE REASON THAT WE NEED THIS IS THAT EVERYBODY KNOWS THAT WE
10:35:08 HAVE PRONOUNCED REALLY WET SEASON AND THEN A REALLY DRY, DRY
10:35:13 SEASON.

10:35:13 OFTENTIMES IN THE APRIL TO JUNE TIME FRAME, THE WATER LEVELS
10:35:16 START TO GET TO THE POINT THAT THEY ARE CRITICALLY LOW.

10:35:19 AS WITH LAST YEAR, WE WERE ACTUALLY UNDER AN EMERGENCY ORDER
10:35:22 FROM THE SOUTH FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT BECAUSE THE

10:35:24 WATER GOT SO LOW AND THEY WERE CONCERNED ABOUT IMPACTS TO
10:35:27 THE ENVIRONMENT.
10:35:28 AND THEN ALSO TO POTABLE WATER SOURCES.
10:35:32 SO LAWN IRRIGATION IS A MAIN USER OF IRRIGATION, AND WHAT
10:35:38 THIS DOES IS HELPS US TO CONTROL THE VOLUME OF WATER THAT
10:35:42 OUR RESIDENTS ARE USING.
10:35:43 SOUTH FLORIDA ALREADY HAS REQUIREMENTS THAT COVER THE

10:35:47 VILLAGE OF ESTERO.
10:35:48 REALLY WHAT WE'RE DOING IS ADOPTING AN ORDINANCE SO THAT THE
10:35:51 VILLAGE IS ACTUALLY ABLE TO ENFORCE IT.
10:35:52 BECAUSE OF SOUTH FLORIDA STAFF, THE NUMBER OF STAFF THAT
10:35:57 THEY HAVE, THEY ARE NOT REALLY ABLE TO ENFORCE IT ON A LOCAL
10:36:00 LEVEL.
10:36:00 WE WOULD BE ABLE TO DO THAT.
10:36:02 THAT'S WHAT THIS ALLOWS US TO DO.
10:36:04 SO WHAT DOES THE ORDINANCE SAY?
10:36:09 IT'S REALLY NO DIFFERENT THAN WHAT EVERYBODY HAS HEARD.
10:36:13 IT IS THE SAME AS WHAT THE COUNTY HAS AND THE SAME AS WHAT
10:36:16 BONITA SPRINGS HAS, WHICH MAKES IT A LITTLE EASIER THAT YOU
10:36:19 DON'T HAVE DIFFERENT MESSAGES IN DIFFERENT MUNICIPALITIES.
10:36:21 SO EVERYBODY IS ABLE TO WATER TWO DAYS A WEEK, AND THEN
10:36:27 THERE IS A PROHIBITED TIME THAT YOU ARE NOT ABLE TO WATER
10:36:31 BETWEEN 10 A.M. AND 4 P.M.
10:36:34 THE REASON FOR THAT IS BECAUSE IT'S SO HOT OUT, YOU END UP
10:36:38 GETTING A LOT OF EVAPORATION.
10:36:39 IT'S JUST NOT THE MOST EFFICIENT TIME TO WATER.
10:36:43 DO IT IN THE EVENING, MORNING, SO THERE ARE EXCEPTIONS.
10:36:48 THERE ARE CERTAIN USERS THAT ARE NOT SUBJECT TO THE WATER
10:36:52 RESTRICTIONS.
10:36:54 AND THAT'S SOMEBODY THAT USES FULLY RECLAIMED WATER.
10:36:57 SO IF YOU'RE GETTING IT FROM LEE COUNTY UTILITIES AND IT'S
10:37:00 ALL RECLAIMED WATER, YOU'RE NOT SUBJECT TO IT.

10:37:03 REASON FOR THAT, UTILITIES NEED TO GET RID OF THAT WATER
10:37:06 BECAUSE THERE'S WATER COMING IN, SO THEY NEED WATER GOING
10:37:09 OUT.
10:37:09 AND THEN ALSO AGRICULTURAL IS EXEMPT BECAUSE OF THE
10:37:13 ECONOMICS OF THAT.
10:37:15 WITH THESE RESTRICTIONS, THEY ARE TRYING TO BALANCE WATER
10:37:17 USE AND ECONOMICS.
10:37:18 AND THEN PLAYFIELDS, JUST BECAUSE OF THE DAMAGE THAT CAN
10:37:22 HAPPEN TO THE PLAY FIELDS IF THEY ARE NOT WATERED.
10:37:26 AT THE FIRST READING, THERE WAS A QUESTION ABOUT WHETHER THE
10:37:29 VILLAGE CAN BE MORE RESTRICTIVE.
10:37:31 THE ANSWER IS, YES, THE VILLAGE CAN BE MORE RESTRICTIVE, BUT
10:37:34 THE WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT WOULD REALLY RECOMMEND IF WE
10:37:37 WERE, TO HAVE SOME SCIENCE BEHIND WHY WE'RE BEING MORE

10:37:40 RESTRICTIVE.
10:37:41 THE OTHER THING THAT CAN HAPPEN IF WE'RE MORE RESTRICTIVE,
10:37:43 IT COULD CREATE CONFUSION BECAUSE YOU'D HAVE THE VILLAGE
10:37:46 BEING DIFFERENT THAN BONITA AND LEE COUNTY, WHICH CAN CREATE
10:37:50 SOME MESSAGING CONFUSION.
10:37:52 BUT WE COULD IF WE WANTED TO.
10:37:53 WITH THAT, I CAN ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS, BUT STAFF IS REALLY
10:37:58 LOOKING FOR ADOPTION OF THIS RESOLUTION SO STAFF IS ABLE TO
10:38:01 ENFORCE THE WATER RESTRICTIONS.
10:38:02 >>Jim Boesch: DO WE WANT TO MAKE -- CANNOT BE WATERING WITH
10:38:12 POTABLE WATER, RECLAIMED, NON-POTABLE WATER APPLIES TO THIS

10:38:18 PARTICULAR RESTRICTION WE'RE TALKING ABOUT, REALLY THE MAJOR
10:38:22 PORTION OF IT, CORRECT?
10:38:23 >>David Willems: I'M NOT CLEAR ON THE QUESTION.
10:38:25 BUT POTABLE WATER WOULD BE SUBJECT TO THIS RESTRICTION.
10:38:28 IF YOUR IRRIGATION SYSTEM IS HOOKED UP TO POTABLE WATER AND
10:38:32 YOU'RE IRRIGATING, THEN YOU WOULD BE SUBJECT TO THE WATER
10:38:35 RESTRICTION.
10:38:35 IF YOU HAVE A SEPARATE WATER SYSTEM AND THAT WATER SYSTEM IS
10:38:39 ALL REUSE WATER, THEN YOU WOULD BE EXEMPT FROM IT.
10:38:43 IF YOU ONLY GET PARTIAL AND SOME OF IT IS FROM WELLS, THEN
10:38:46 YOU WOULD STILL BE SUBJECT TO IT.
10:38:48 >>Jim Boesch: ALSO, I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT TO MENTION THAT I
10:38:55 WAS INVOLVED WITH MY COMMUNITY WHEN WE WENT THROUGH THIS
10:38:58 WHOLE PROCESS BEFORE.
10:39:02 SOUTH FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT CAME TO THE COMMUNITY.
10:39:06 WHEN WE FIRST TOOK OVER THE -- THE RESIDENTS, WE WERE USING
10:39:14 400 MILLION GALLONS OF WATER, INCLUDING THE GOLF COURSE.
10:39:20 AND THE REASON WE WERE UP THERE IS EVERYBODY THOUGHT IN
10:39:25 FLORIDA THEY HAD TO WATER THEIR LAWNS SEVEN DAYS A WEEK.
10:39:30 WHICH, DAVID, AS YOU KNOW, WE DON'T.
10:39:32 TWO DAYS IS PLENTY.
10:39:35 AND CONSEQUENTLY, SOUTH FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT CAME OUT TO
10:39:39 US TEN YEARS AGO BECAUSE OF THE EXCESSIVE USE OF WATER.
10:39:45 SO WE TRIED EVERYTHING, AND WE GOT FROM 400 TO 325 MILLION.
10:39:55 WATERING SEVEN DAYS JUST STAYED IN THE COMMUNITY AND WE

10:39:58 COULD NOT GET PEOPLE TO UNDERSTAND THAT, NUMBER ONE, WE WERE
10:40:07 GOING TO BE SUBMITTED TO VERY STRONG RESTRICTIONS, AND IF
10:40:10 THEY DIDN'T STOP THIS, BUT IT DIDN'T MAKE ANY DIFFERENCE.
10:40:13 SOME DID, BUT A LOT DID NOT.
10:40:16 ULTIMATELY, WHAT WE DID IS WE PUT IN WATER METERS IN OUR
10:40:20 COMMUNITY.
10:40:21 THAT'S WHAT WE HAD TO DO.
10:40:24 EXAMPLE, WENT FROM 325 TO 125 MILLION GALLONS JUST LIKE
10:40:31 PUTTING IN WATER METERS.
10:40:33 IT JUST GOES TO SHOW YOU, AND THERE IS NO DAMAGE TO THE

10:40:37 GRASS OR ANYTHING.
10:40:37 IT'S TO SHOW YOU HOW SIMPLE IT IS TO RECONCILE THE PROBLEM,
10:40:44 BUT IT'S IMPORTANT IF WE DON'T STICK BY THESE RESTRICTIONS,
10:40:48 IT'S GOING TO END UP IN EVERY SINGLE COMMUNITY, JUST LIKE IT
10:40:52 ENDED UP WITH US.
10:40:54 BECAUSE PRETTY SOON THEY ARE GOING TO START MONITORING
10:40:57 COMMUNITIES AND SEE WHAT THEY ARE USING IN WATER, AND THEY
10:41:01 CAN PRETTY WELL TELL HOW MUCH WATER IS NECESSARY TO WATER
10:41:05 THE LAWNS AND THE GOLF COURSE, AND YOU'LL END UP WITH --
10:41:14 CHUCK WAS A MANAGER AT THE TIME -- HOW IMPORTANT IT IS TO
10:41:18 MAKE SURE YOU'RE GOING TO BE MONITORING YOUR COMMUNITY SO
10:41:20 YOU'RE NOT ABUSING THE WATER RESTRICTIONS, OTHERWISE YOU'LL
10:41:24 END UP WITH WATER METERS AS WELL FOR EVERY SINGLE HOUSE FOR
10:41:28 RECLAIMED WATER.
10:41:31 >>Mayor Errington: ANY OTHER COMMENTS?

10:41:35 >>Larry Fiesel: DAVID, I APPRECIATE THIS SLIDE PRESENTATION
10:41:37 THAT YOU GAVE TO US.
10:41:39 YOU LOOK THROUGH THE LITERATURE FROM SOUTH FLORIDA, YOU GET,
10:41:42 AT LEAST I DO, SOMEWHAT OVERWHELMED.
10:41:45 I GUESS FROM MY SIMPLE UNDERSTANDING, BOTH THE ORDINANCES
10:41:50 DEALING WITH WATER PRESERVATION THAT WE'RE DEALING WITH
10:41:52 TODAY, WE'RE NOT INVENTING ANY NEW LANGUAGE OR IMPOSING ANY
10:41:56 NEW RULES AND REGULATIONS.
10:41:57 WE'RE JUST GIVING THE VILLAGE THE AUTHORITY OR THE ABILITY
10:42:00 TO ENFORCE WHAT FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT HAS ALREADY PUT IN
10:42:04 PLACE, IS THAT CORRECT?
10:42:05 >>David Willems: THAT IS CORRECT.
10:42:06 SO LAST YEAR, WHEN WE HAD THE WATER EMERGENCY, WE WERE
10:42:12 WORKING KIND OF A TIGHT LINE BECAUSE WE WERE REALLY DOING
10:42:15 MORE EDUCATION.
10:42:16 IF SOMEBODY WAS READY TO PUSH BACK, THE VILLAGE WOULD HAVE
10:42:20 HAD A HARD TIME FINDING THEM AND MAKING AN ISSUE OF IT.
10:42:24 NOW WITH THE ORDINANCES WE WOULD BE ABLE TO, SOMEBODY WHO IS
10:42:27 NOT DOING WHAT THEY ARE SUPPOSED TO DO, WE WILL BE ABLE TO
10:42:30 ENFORCE IT MORE DIRECTLY.
10:42:31 THE LANGUAGE THAT'S IN THESE RULES IS FROM SOUTH FLORIDA
10:42:35 WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT.
10:42:36 THEY HAVE A MODEL ORDINANCE THAT IMPLEMENTS WHAT THEY NEED
10:42:39 TO BE IMPLEMENTED AND THAT'S WHAT WE USE TO CREATE THIS.
10:42:44 >>Larry Fiesel: IT JUST GIVES STAFF THE TEETH TO BE ABLE TO

10:42:47 ENFORCE WHAT'S ALREADY ON THE BOOKS BY FLORIDA --
10:42:50 >>David Willems: YES.
10:42:50 >>Jim Boesch: AND INCLUDES GOLF COURSES.
10:42:53 >>David Willems: YEAH -- WELL, YEAH.
10:42:55 THEY ARE GIVEN A LITTLE BIT MORE LEEWAY THAN THE RESIDENTS,
10:42:58 JUST ESPECIALLY IN THE EMERGENCY ORDINANCES, BUT, AREA, THEY

10:43:02 ARE SUBJECT AS WELL.
10:43:04 >>Jim Ward: I THOUGHT GOLF COURSES WOULD BE EXEMPT UNDER THE
10:43:09 ATHLETIC PLAY FIELDS EXCEPTION.
10:43:12 >>David Willems: UNDER THIS, THEY ARE NOT ENFORCED THE SAME
10:43:15 WAY.
10:43:15 UNDER THE EMERGENCY ORDERS, THEY CAN BE BROUGHT IN BECAUSE
10:43:18 OF THE EMERGENCY --
10:43:20 >>Jim Ward: SOMETHING DIFFERENT THAN WHAT YOU'RE EXPLAINING --
10:43:22 >>David Willems: NOT ALL OF THEM, BUT A LOT OF THE GOLF
10:43:24 COURSES ARE USING RECLAIMED WATER AS WELL, AND THEY ARE
10:43:28 EXEMPT UNDER THAT PART AS WELL.
10:43:30 >>Jim Ward: THE INTERESTING THING THAT STRIKES ME, SOME
10:43:31 YEARS AGO WHEN I REMEMBER WE HAD WATER RESTRICTIONS, I
10:43:34 THOUGHT RECLAIMED WATER WAS PART OF THE RESTRICTION AT THAT
10:43:37 POINT IN TIME.
10:43:38 NOW, THE COMMUNITY I LIVE IN USES RECLAIMED WATER FOR
10:43:44 RESIDENCES, AND I BELIEVE THAT WE WERE UNDER THOSE
10:43:47 RESTRICTIONS AT THE TIME.

10:43:49 >>Jim Boesch: EXACTLY.
10:43:52 >>Jim Ward: I BELIEVE THAT THE GOLF COURSE WAS ALSO UNDER
10:43:54 THE RESTRICTIONS AT THAT TIME.
10:43:55 I WAS CURIOUS AS TO WHY THESE EXEMPTIONS --
10:43:59 >>David Willems: THESE ARE FOR THE DAYS OF THE WEEK THAT
10:44:01 YOU'RE SUPPOSED TO BE WATERING.
10:44:03 IF THERE'S AN EMERGENCY ORDER, THIS DOESN'T REALLY APPLY
10:44:07 ANYMORE, AND WHAT THE DISTRICT DOES IS THEY LOOK AT WHAT THE
10:44:12 SOURCES THAT ARE CREATING THE PROBLEM, AND THEY'LL PUT
10:44:15 RESTRICTION ON IT.
10:44:16 YOU KNOW, WHERE I LIVE, I THINK THERE IS SOME RECLAIMED
10:44:19 WATER, BUT IT'S NOT 100% RECLAIMED WATER.
10:44:22 SO YOU DO ACTUALLY ALSO HAVE SURFACE WATER SOURCES THAT ARE
10:44:24 SUPPLEMENTING THAT IN A LOT OF SITUATIONS.
10:44:26 I'M NOT SURE ABOUT YOURS EXACTLY.
10:44:28 >>Jim Ward: WHAT I'M TRYING TO UNDERSTAND, ARE YOU SAYING
10:44:31 THE EMERGENCY ORDER WOULD SUPERSEDE THIS ORDINANCE?
10:44:33 >>David Willems: YES.
10:44:36 >>Mayor Errington: ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
10:44:37 THANK YOU, DAVID.
10:44:40 APPRECIATE IT.
10:44:41 OPEN IT UP FOR PUBLIC COMMENT, MADAM CLERK?
10:44:46 >>Carol Sacco: NO, MAYOR.
10:44:47 WE HAVE NONE.
10:44:48 EXCUSE ME, VICE-MAYOR AND COUNCIL MEMBER BOESCH, THE

10:44:52 RESIDENTS ARE UNABLE TO HEAR YOU, SO IF YOU CAN TALK CLOSER
10:44:55 TO YOUR MIKES.
10:44:57 THEY ARE NOT ABLE TO HEAR YOU WHEN YOU'RE SPEAKING, THE

10:45:01 RESIDENTS.
10:45:03 >>Mayor Errington: YOU HAVE TO TALK CLOSER TO YOUR MIKE.
10:45:05 THANK YOU.
10:45:07 WE WILL NOW CLOSE THE DISCUSSIONS.
10:45:17 DO WE HAVE ANY MORE DISCUSSION?
10:45:21 MAKE SURE EVERYBODY HAS HAD A CHANCE TO SPEAK.
10:45:24 I NEED A MOTION TO APPROVE ORDINANCE 2021--- MOTION MADE BY
10:45:30 COUNCIL MEMBER WARD.
10:45:31 NEED A SECOND.
10:45:32 SECONDED BY COUNCIL MEMBER RIBBLE.
10:45:34 MADAM CLERK, PLEASE CALL THE ROLL?
10:45:37 >>Carol Sacco: COUNCIL MEMBER RIBBLE.
10:45:40 >>Joanne Ribble: AYE.
10:45:41 >>Larry Fiesel: AYE.
10:45:42 >>Jim Boesch: AYE.
10:45:43 >>Jim Ward: AYE.
10:45:43 >>Jim Wilson: AYE.
10:45:45 >>Vice-Mayor McLain: AYE.
10:45:46 >>Mayor Errington: AYE.
10:45:48 NEXT ON THE AGENDA IS THE SECOND READING FOR ORDINANCE
10:45:53 NUMBER 2021-06.
10:45:57 MADAM CLERK, PLEASE READ THIS ORDINANCE, PLEASE?

10:46:00 >>Carol Sacco: ORDINANCE NUMBER 2021-06 AN ORDINANCE OF THE
10:46:05 VILLAGE COUNCIL OF THE VILLAGE OF ESTERO, FLORIDA; PROVIDING
10:46:08 FOR THE INTENT AND PURPOSE; PROVIDING DEFINITIONS; PROVIDING
10:46:11 FOR APPLICABILITY; PROVIDING FOR THE DECLARATION OF WATER
10:46:15 SHORTAGE OR WATER SHORTAGE EMERGENCY; PROVIDING FOR
10:46:18 VARIANCES; PROVIDING FOR ENFORCEMENT; PROVIDING FOR
10:46:21 CODIFICATION; PROVIDING FOR CONFLICTS; PROVIDING FOR
10:46:24 SEVERABILITY; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.
10:46:28 >>Mayor Errington: THANK YOU.
10:46:29 HAS THIS ORDINANCE BEEN PROPERLY ADVERTISED?
10:46:31 >>Carol Sacco: YES, MAYOR.
10:46:32 >>Mayor Errington: THANK YOU.
10:46:33 STEVE, PLEASE INTRODUCE THIS ORDINANCE.
10:46:35 >>Steven Sarkozy: THANK YOU, MAYOR AND MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.
10:46:39 AGAIN, WE HAVE A SHORT PRESENTATION ABOUT THIS ISSUE
10:46:44 RELATING TO, OF COURSE, THE EMERGENCY WATER SHORTAGE RULES.
10:46:48 DAVID.
10:47:00 >>David Willems: SO, AS ALLUDED TO IN THE PREVIOUS
10:47:04 PRESENTATION, ANOTHER ORDINANCE THAT WE'RE PROPOSING IS FOR
10:47:07 US TO IMPLEMENT A WATER SHORTAGE EMERGENCY RULES FOR THE
10:47:12 VILLAGE OF ESTERO.
10:47:14 VERY SIMILAR TO THE PREVIOUS DISCUSSION, THIS IS SOMETHING
10:47:17 THAT WE'RE ALREADY SUBJECT TO BECAUSE WE'RE WITHIN THE SOUTH
10:47:21 FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT.
10:47:23 THIS JUST GIVES THE VILLAGE THE ABILITY TO ENFORCE ANY

10:47:26 EMERGENCY RULES THAT THE DISTRICT FINDS APPROPRIATE.
10:47:30 THERE ARE TWO THINGS THAT THEY COULD DECLARE.
10:47:32 ONE IS A WATER SHORTAGE, WHICH IS NOT -- OBVIOUSLY, IT'S NOT
10:47:36 AS BIG OF A DEAL AS THE EMERGENCY.
10:47:38 AND THESE ARE SOME DEFINITIONS THAT ARE ACTUALLY IN THE
10:47:41 RULES, THE SOUTH FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT RULES.
10:47:44 SO WHAT A WATER SHORTAGE MEANS, THERE'S INSUFFICIENT WATER
10:47:49 AVAILABLE FOR PRESENT AND ANTICIPATED NEEDS.
10:47:53 SO THIS COULD BE -- YOU'RE IMPACTING POTABLE WATER OR
10:47:57 STARTING TO IMPACT THE ENVIRONMENT, AND THEY WANT PEOPLE TO
10:47:59 PULL BACK ON HOW MUCH WATER THEY USE SO THAT WE DON'T
10:48:02 PERMANENTLY DAMAGE A RESOURCE.
10:48:04 A WATER SHORTAGE EMERGENCY IS BASICALLY A MUCH MORE -- A
10:48:11 MUCH BIGGER DEFICIT, AND THEY SEE THAT IT COULD ACTUALLY
10:48:16 CREATE AN ISSUE WITH PUBLIC HEALTH OR WETLANDS OR WILDLIFE.
10:48:20 WHAT THEY ARE LOOKING TO DO WITH THE WATER SHORTAGE, IT'S
10:48:25 REALLY JUST A REDUCTION, AND A LOT OF THIS APPLIES TO PEOPLE
10:48:28 THAT HAVE PERMITS WITH THE WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT.
10:48:31 YOU GET A CERTAIN ALLOCATION AND THEY MIGHT REQUIRE YOU TO
10:48:34 REDUCE THAT ALLOCATION DEPENDING ON WHICH LEVEL THEY ARE AT.
10:48:39 SO THEY KEEP REQUESTING MORE, AND WHAT THE HOPE IS WHEN THEY
10:48:42 GO THROUGH THIS, YOU DON'T EVER GET TO THE EMERGENCY, BUT
10:48:46 THERE ARE TIMES THAT THEY ARE REQUIRED TO GET TO THE
10:48:49 EMERGENCY ORDINANCE, AND REALLY THEY DON'T DO THAT JUST AT A
10:48:56 WHIM.

10:48:56 THEY HAVE A LOT OF DATA THAT THEY LOOK AT THAT TELLS THEM
10:49:01 WITH PREDICTIONS WHAT THE CURRENT RAINFALL PATTERNS, WHAT
10:49:04 IMPACT THAT COULD HAVE ON THE WATER RESOURCES.
10:49:07 AT THE LAST -- THE FIRST READING, COUNCIL HAD A COUPLE OF
10:49:10 QUESTIONS.
10:49:11 AND HERE I WANTED TO TRY TO ANSWER THOSE JUST REAL BRIEFLY.
10:49:14 COULD THE WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT REQUIRE NO IRRIGATION?
10:49:18 THAT IS A POSSIBILITY.
10:49:21 I TALKED TO THE WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT.
10:49:23 THEY SAID THAT'S HIGHLY, HIGHLY UNLIKELY BECAUSE WHAT THEY
10:49:27 TRY TO DO IS GET OUT IN FRONT OF IT SO THEY START THE
10:49:30 REDUCTIONS, AND HOPEFULLY THAT SHORTENS THE IMPACTS.
10:49:34 AND THEN ALSO, EVEN WHEN THEY DO THE EMERGENCY ORDINANCE, IT
10:49:37 DOESN'T ALWAYS APPLY TO EVERYBODY.
10:49:39 THEY TRY TO REALLY FOCUS IT SO THAT THEY GET THE BENEFIT
10:49:43 THEY NEED WITHOUT THE ECONOMIC IMPACT.
10:49:46 IF YOU READ THE RULE, WHICH SOME OF THAT IS IN YOUR PACKET,
10:49:49 IT REALLY FOCUSES THAT THEY NEED THE BALANCE.
10:49:52 IT'S NOT ALL OR NOTHING.
10:49:53 THEY NEED TO BALANCE THE ENVIRONMENT WITH THE ECONOMICS SO
10:49:57 THAT THEY ARE LOOKING AT BOTH OF THOSE.

10:49:59 IS THE VILLAGE ABLE TO WORK WITH THE WATER MANAGEMENT
10:50:02 DISTRICT?
10:50:03 YES, WITH SOME CAVEATS.
10:50:06 SO THEY ARE ALWAYS WILLING TO WORK WITH THE MUNICIPALITIES,

10:50:08 BUT THE ULTIMATE DECISION WHETHER WE GO INTO AN EMERGENCY
10:50:12 SHORTAGE FALLS ON THE WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT.
10:50:15 THE STATE LEGISLATURE GAVE THAT AUTHORITY AND REQUIREMENT
10:50:18 AND PUT THAT ON THEM.
10:50:20 AND THEY USE SCIENCE.
10:50:21 THERE'S NOT A WHOLE LOT OF GUESSING.
10:50:25 THEY ARE USING THE DATA THAT THEY ARE SEEING OUT IN THE
10:50:27 FIELD, THE MONITORING STATIONS, AND THEY KNOW FROM THE DATA
10:50:31 THAT THEY HAVE HOW THE SYSTEM WOULD LIKELY REACT TO THE
10:50:34 RAINFALL PATTERNS THAT WE'RE HAVING.
10:50:36 THEY USE THAT TO KNOW EXACTLY WHERE THEY ARE AT AT THE TIME
10:50:39 AND TRY TO PREDICT THE IMPACT GOING INTO THE FUTURE.
10:50:43 WHAT ARE THE GUIDELINES FOR THE EMERGENCY SHORTAGE?
10:50:47 I JUST PROVIDED SOME OF THEM HERE.
10:50:50 JUST TO KNOW THAT THERE'S A WHOLE LIST OF REGULATIONS AND
10:50:54 RULES.
10:50:55 I MEAN, I DON'T THINK WE WANT TO GET INTO THE DETAILS OF
10:50:57 THIS, BUT IT REALLY OUTLINES HOW THEY ARE SUPPOSED TO LOOK
10:51:00 AT IT, WHAT THEY ARE SUPPOSED TO DO, AND IT GETS INTO THE
10:51:03 SCIENCE PART OF IT.
10:51:04 THEY HAVE MONITORING DATA ALL OVER THE WATER MANAGEMENT
10:51:07 DISTRICT AND THEY ARE MONITORING THAT TO UNDERSTAND WHAT THE
10:51:09 WATER LEVELS ARE.
10:51:13 WITH THAT, I CAN ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS, BUT WE'RE
10:51:15 RECOMMENDING APPROVAL OF THIS ORDINANCE AS WELL.

10:51:18 >>Jim Boesch: WHO IS GOING TO MONITOR IF YOU HAVE A
10:51:21 SHORTAGE?
10:51:22 LET'S SAY IT'S DECLARED.
10:51:25 WHO IN ESTERO IS GOING TO GO OUT AND MONITOR, GO TO ALL THE
10:51:31 DIFFERENT COMMUNITIES AND SO FORTH?
10:51:32 WHO IS GOING TO DO THAT?
10:51:33 >>David Willems: SO LAST YEAR WHAT WE DID, WHEN WE GOT INTO
10:51:36 THIS, IT WAS MYSELF, FRANK, AND STANLEY.
10:51:41 WE HAD JUST LOOKED AT DIFFERENT PARTS OF THE VILLAGE KIND OF
10:51:44 AS A WEEKLY BASIS.
10:51:46 WE DIDN'T TRY TO COVER THE WHOLE VILLAGE IN A WEEK, BUT WE
10:51:48 TRIED TO SYSTEMATICALLY LOOK AT DIFFERENT SECTIONS AT
10:51:52 DIFFERENT TIMES TO JUST KIND OF SPOT CHECK THAT PEOPLE WERE
10:51:55 DOING WHAT THEY WERE SUPPOSED TO BE DOING.
10:51:57 LUCKILY, THE VILLAGE IS NOT A HUGE AREA.
10:52:00 IT TOOK US SOME TIME, BUT IT WASN'T OVERLY CUMBERSOME,
10:52:04 BECAUSE WE HAD THREE OF US AND WE JUST KIND OF SPLIT UP THE

10:52:07 VILLAGE AND DO IT THAT WAY.
10:52:08 IT TOOK SOME TIME, BUT IT WASN'T OVERWHELMING.
10:52:12 >>Jim Boesch: LET'S SAY A MAJOR, NOT A MAJOR, BUT LET'S SAY
10:52:16 YOU HAVE TO CUT DOWN 50%.
10:52:20 THEN YOU KNOW SOME WILL AND SOME WON'T.
10:52:25 WHO IS GOING TO GO OUT ON AN ONGOING BASIS AND CHECK THESE
10:52:30 COMMUNITIES TO MAKE SURE, BECAUSE THEY ARE 90% OF OUR -- WHO
10:52:37 IS GOING TO GO OUT AND MAKE SURE IF THERE IS AN AVERAGE AND

10:52:42 I GUESS IT'S A FINE, WHATEVER THE CASE, WHO IS GOING TO DO
10:52:45 ALL THAT?
10:52:46 >>David Willems: LUCKILY FOR US, THE WAY THE WATER
10:52:48 MANAGEMENT DISTRICT DOES THE IMPLEMENTATION AND THE WAY THEY
10:52:50 DID IT LAST YEAR, THE ONLY PART THAT THEY PUT ON THE VILLAGE
10:52:52 AND ASKED US FOR HELP ON WAS THE ACTUAL RESIDENCES.
10:52:57 ANYBODY THAT HAD A WATER USE PERMIT FROM THE WATER
10:53:00 MANAGEMENT DISTRICT, SOUTH FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT HANDLED
10:53:02 THAT ENFORCEMENT.
10:53:03 SO OURS WAS JUST, YOU'RE DRIVING AROUND THE COMMUNITIES AND
10:53:06 REALLY, WHAT WE WERE LOOKING AT LAST YEAR IS THE WATERING
10:53:09 GOT REDUCED TO ONE DAY, AND SO WE WERE JUST OUT MAKING SURE
10:53:13 PEOPLE WERE NOT WATERING ON THE OTHER -- OR THE FIVE DAYS OF
10:53:17 THE WEEK THAT NOBODY WAS SUPPOSED TO BE WATERING.
10:53:24 >>Jim Ward: WHAT I THINK REALLY WORKS IS JUST THE PEER
10:53:27 PRESSURE WITHIN THE COMMUNITY TO RECOGNIZE THAT YOU'RE
10:53:31 SUPPOSED TO BE WATERING ON THAT PARTICULAR DAY, AND YOUR
10:53:33 NEIGHBORS ARE AWARE OF THAT.
10:53:35 IT'S JUST PURE PEER PRESSURE.
10:53:37 >>David Willems: YEAH, LAST YEAR WHEN WE WENT THROUGH IT, IT
10:53:39 WAS GOOD TO GO THROUGH THAT SO WE KNOW EXACTLY WHAT WE'RE
10:53:42 TALKING ABOUT.
10:53:43 WE ONLY SENT OUT A FEW LETTERS THAT PEOPLE WERE WATERING ON
10:53:46 THE WRONG DAYS, AND MOST OF THEM JUST CALLED US RIGHT-OF-WAY
10:53:50 TO MAKE SURE THEY KNEW.

10:53:51 THEY JUST DIDN'T KNOW IT.
10:53:53 WE DIDN'T REALLY HAVE A WHOLE LOT OF PUSH-BACK.
10:53:56 PEOPLE SEEMED TO UNDERSTAND THE ISSUE.
10:53:58 HOPEFULLY IT WORKS LIKE THAT IN OTHER INSTANCES AS WELL.
10:54:07 >>Joanne Ribble: DAVID, WHEN YOU GO AROUND, DO YOU KNOW
10:54:09 WHICH COMMUNITIES ARE USING RECLAIMED WATER AND WHICH
10:54:11 AREN'T?
10:54:15 >>David Willems: WELL, DO WE -- I DON'T REMEMBER IF WE HAD
10:54:20 THAT DATA WITH US.
10:54:22 I'D HAVE TO CHECK.
10:54:25 >>Joanne Ribble: MY OTHER QUESTION WOULD BE, THE CDDs
10:54:29 MONITOR -- THEY WORK CLOSELY WITH THE WATER MANAGEMENT
10:54:31 DISTRICT ANYWAY.

10:54:32 SO THEY ARE IN TUNE WITH WHAT THEY ARE DOING ANYWAY.
10:54:35 >>David Willems: YEAH, ABSOLUTELY.
10:54:37 YEP.
10:54:45 >>Mayor Errington: I HAVE A QUESTION ABOUT THE PRESERVES
10:54:51 THEY GET NO WATER PERIOD EXCEPT FROM RAINWATER, AND THIS
10:54:57 CERTAINLY DOESN'T APPLY TO THE PRESERVES BECAUSE THEY DON'T
10:55:00 HAVE ANY FIRE HYDRANTS OR ANYTHING AROUND LIKE THAT.
10:55:04 IN THE FUTURE, MAYBE SOME THOUGHT SHOULD BE GIVEN ABOUT FIRE
10:55:09 HYDRANTS OR SOMETHING, FOR FIRE PROTECTION.
10:55:15 MOST OF THE DEVELOPMENTS HAVE PRESERVES.
10:55:17 AND WITH THE FIRE SEASON COMING UPON US, IT'S JUST A
10:55:21 THOUGHT.

10:55:22 >>David Willems: IT KIND OF TOUCHES ON WHY THE WATER
10:55:26 MANAGEMENT DISTRICT WANTS US TO ADOPT THESE ORDINANCES, AND
10:55:30 ESPECIALLY WHY THEY IMPLEMENT THE EMERGENCY ORDINANCES.
10:55:33 PART OF THE REASON THEY DO THAT IS TO NOT AFFECT THE
10:55:36 ENVIRONMENT.
10:55:36 THEY DON'T WANT THE DEVELOPMENTS TO BE PULLING THE WATER
10:55:39 TABLE DOWN SO LOW THAT IT NEVER RECOVERS AND HYDRATES THE
10:55:45 WETLANDS.
10:55:45 THAT'S PART OF WHAT THEY ARE LOOKING AT IS HOW THE WATER
10:55:49 USAGE IS AFFECTING THE ENVIRONMENT.
10:55:51 >>Mayor Errington: ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
10:55:54 THANK YOU, DAVID.
10:56:02 ANY PUBLIC COMMENT?
10:56:03 >>Carol Sacco: NO MAYOR.
10:56:04 >>Jim Boesch: MAKE A MOTION TO APPROVE THE --
10:56:06 >>Mayor Errington: MOTION HAS BEEN MADE BY COUNCIL MEMBER
10:56:08 BOESCH AND SECONDED BY VICE-MAYOR McLAIN.
10:56:17 >>Jim Wilson: AYE.
10:56:19 >>Jim Ward: AYE.
10:56:21 >>Jim Boesch: AYE.
10:56:23 >>Larry Fiesel: AYE.
10:56:24 >>Joanne Ribble: AYE.
10:56:26 >>Vice-Mayor McLain: AYE.
10:56:27 >>Mayor Errington: AYE.
10:56:28 ITEM 8.

10:56:33 THE CHAIR WILL NOW TAKE COMMENT ON ITEMS THAT ARE NOT ON THE
10:56:37 AGENDA.
10:56:38 MADAM CLERK, DO YOU HAVE ANYONE SIGNED UP TO SPEAK?
10:56:40 >>Carol Sacco: NO, MAYOR.
10:56:41 >>Mayor Errington: THANK YOU.
10:56:42 NOW WE ARE AT ITEM NUMBER 9, COUNCIL COMMUNICATIONS/FUTURE
10:56:49 AGENDA ITEMS.
10:56:50 THIS IS REPORT TIME.
10:56:54 COUNCIL MEMBER WILSON, WOULD YOU START US OFF?

10:56:59 >>Jim Wilson: SURE.
10:57:00 >>Mayor Errington: THANK YOU.
10:57:00 >>Jim Wilson: I HAVE NO REPORT.
10:57:02 >>Mayor Errington: OKAY.
10:57:03 COUNCIL MEMBER WARD?
10:57:05 >>Jim Ward: NO COMMENT.
10:57:07 >>Mayor Errington: COUNCIL MEMBER BOESCH?
10:57:08 >>Jim Boesch: NO COMMENT.
10:57:10 >>Mayor Errington: COUNCIL MEMBER RIBBLE?
10:57:12 >>Joanne Ribble: I JUST WANTED TO REPORT THAT AS MY LIAISON
10:57:16 TO THE FLORIDA LEAGUE OF CITIES, I'VE JUST BEEN ELECTED
10:57:20 THEIR SECRETARY ON THEIR EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE.
10:57:24 THE OTHER THING I'D LIKE TO MENTION IS I'D LIKE TO PUT A
10:57:28 PLUG IN FOR CASEY BISHOP, WHO ARE WATCHING OR HERE IN THE
10:57:33 CHAMBERS, A VERY TALENTED YOUNG LADY.
10:57:38 SHE IS ONE OF SEVEN FINALISTS.

10:57:41 I KNOW A LOT OF YOU ARE AWARE OF THE SITUATION OF THE
10:57:43 VOTING.
10:57:44 I WOULD JUST ENCOURAGE EVERYONE IN THE VILLAGE TO VOTE FOR
10:57:48 HER.
10:57:49 VERY SMALL AREA, AND SHE'S IN COMPETITION WITH MANY AND
10:57:54 WOMEN FROM LARGE METROPOLITAN AREAS.
10:57:58 MY MICROPHONE KEEPS CUTTING OUT.
10:58:01 ALTHOUGH SHE'S GETTING VOTES NATIONWIDE, IT DOESN'T HURT TO
10:58:06 HAVE THE WHOLE VILLAGE SUPPORT HER.
10:58:08 >>Mayor Errington: THANK YOU.
10:58:09 COUNCIL MEMBER FIESEL?
10:58:11 >>Larry Fiesel: NO REPORT.
10:58:12 >>Mayor Errington: VICE-MAYOR McLAIN?
10:58:14 >>Vice-Mayor McLain: I'D LIKE TO CARRY ON A LITTLE BIT ON
10:58:17 THE CASEY BISHOP AMERICAN IDOL SITUATION.
10:58:21 WE ARE IN DIRECT CONTACT WITH THE AMERICAN IDOL FOLKS.
10:58:26 AND WE'RE NOT A HUNDRED PERCENT SURE THAT WE'RE GOING TO
10:58:29 HAVE A TOWN EVENT HERE ON THE 18th OR 19th OF MAY.
10:58:36 SHE HAS TO BECOME THE TOP THREE.
10:58:39 IF SHE MAKES IT THE TOP THREE, SHE'S IN THE TOP SEVEN NOW,
10:58:43 ON THE 16th OF MAY, SHE WILL BE HOPEFULLY IN THE TOP
10:58:46 THREE, AND THEY'LL SCHEDULE A TRIP OUT HERE ON THE 17th
10:58:50 AND WILL DO SOME SHOOTING ON THE 18 AND 19.
10:58:53 WE HAVE A LOT OF ACTIVITIES THAT WE'RE PLANNING, AND WE'RE
10:58:57 MEETING WITH AMERICAN IDOL PEOPLE ON FRIDAY AGAIN.

10:59:00 SO THERE WILL BE A LOT OF FOLKS INVOLVED.
10:59:03 SOME OF THE COUNCIL MEMBERS HERE HAVE VOLUNTEERED TO HELP ON
10:59:06 SOME OF THE FORMATS.
10:59:07 SO WE'RE TRYING TO PUT ALL THIS TOGETHER SO THAT WHEN WE
10:59:10 PULL THE TRIGGER, WE'RE READY TO MAKE THIS HAPPEN.

10:59:14 IN GENERAL, WHAT WE'RE LOOKING AT WITH THE FILMING THING,
10:59:18 PROBABLY GOING TO BE A FAMILY VISIT.
10:59:20 WE'RE TRYING TO GET A TOUR DOWN THE ESTERO RIVER ON A
10:59:24 PONTOON BOAT OUT OF PELICAN SOUND.
10:59:26 AMERICAN IDOL HAS TO APPROVE THAT, BUT THAT'S ONE THING WE
10:59:29 COULD DO.
10:59:30 AND THEN WE'RE LOOKING FOR A CONCERT WHERE CASEY WOULD
10:59:33 PROBABLY SING THREE OR FOUR SONGS, AND HOPEFULLY WE CAN GET
10:59:37 HIGH SCHOOL KIDS IN HERE AND THE GENERAL PUBLIC.
10:59:41 AND WE'RE LOOKING AT A COUPLE OF SITES RIGHT NOW THAT HAVE
10:59:43 TO BE APPROVED BY AMERICAN IDOL.
10:59:45 WE'RE LOOKING AT THE BROOKS COMMONS CLUB, THE OPEN AREA THEY
10:59:49 HAVE OUT THERE WHERE THEY HAVE THE PAGODA, THAT'S ONE.
10:59:52 WE'RE LOOKING AT ESTERO HIGH SCHOOLS.
10:59:57 THEY HAVE A VENUE THERE, STADIUM, CONCERT HALL, HOLDS ABOUT
11:00:02 900 PEOPLE.
11:00:03 THERE MAY BE SOME ISSUES GETTING THAT, BUT WE'RE GOING TO
11:00:06 LOOK AT THAT, IN CASE IT RAINS, IT WOULD BE A FORMAT.
11:00:10 EVEN IF SHE DOESN'T MAKE THE TOP THREE, ALL THE PLANNING I
11:00:15 THINK CAN BE USED IN THE FUTURE ONCE SHE'S DONE WITH THE

11:00:19 WHOLE AMERICAN IDOL THING, I THINK WE SHOULD RECOGNIZE HER
11:00:24 AS A VILLAGE.
11:00:24 WE OBVIOUSLY, DEPENDING ON THE TIMING, WILL GIVE HER A
11:00:27 VILLAGE KEY, A KEY TO THE CITY AND THE LIKE.
11:00:30 A LOT OF MOVING PIECES RIGHT NOW.
11:00:32 WE HAVE A GOOD TEAM ESTABLISHED WITH A LOT OF THE
11:00:35 ORGANIZATIONS, THE ECCL HAS VOLUNTEERED.
11:00:40 WE'RE GOING TO REACH OUT TO THE FIRE DEPARTMENT, THE
11:00:43 SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT AND THE LIKE.
11:00:44 SO I THINK WE'RE IN ABOUT AS GOOD OF CONTROL AS WE CAN BE
11:00:49 RIGHT NOW, BUT WE'LL KEEP YOU INFORMED AS TO HOW THESE
11:00:52 EVENTS ARE.
11:00:53 MARILYN EDWARDS, OUR COMMUNICATIONS PERSON IS -- HAS ALREADY
11:00:57 WRITTEN UP THINGS SO THAT WHEN WE GET CLOSER, WE CAN BLOW
11:01:00 THIS OUT PRETTY QUICKLY.
11:01:02 STAY TUNED.
11:01:02 >>Mayor Errington: THANK YOU.
11:01:03 THANK YOU VERY MUCH.
11:01:03 I HAVE SEVERAL THINGS TO REPORT ON.
11:01:06 FIRST, I'D LIKE TO LEAD TO THANK DAVID WILLEMS FOR WORKING
11:01:11 WITH F.D.O.T. TRYING TO FIND A SOLUTION FOR THE LIGHTING AT
11:01:15 I-75 INTERCHANGE ON CORKSCREW.
11:01:20 PENNY AND CORKSCREW WOODLANDS AND THE ISLAND CLUB APPRECIATE
11:01:24 WHAT YOU'RE TRYING TO DO WITH THE LIGHTS.
11:01:27 I UNDERSTAND IT'S CAUSING SOME PROBLEMS WITHIN THE

11:01:29 DEVELOPMENT AT NIGHT.

11:01:29 THANK YOU, DAVID.
11:01:30 GROUNDBREAKING CEREMONY FOR THE WIDENING OF CORKSCREW ROAD
11:01:34 IS THURSDAY, MAY 13th AT 10 A.M.
11:01:37 BY THE LEE COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION.
11:01:41 HOPEFULLY I WILL BE THERE.
11:01:43 I'M SUPPOSED TO MEET SOMEONE ON A PLANE AROUND THAT SAME
11:01:47 TIME.
11:01:48 I'LL DO MY BEST.
11:01:50 THIRD IS NATIONAL DAY OF PRAYER IS TOMORROW, THURSDAY, MAY
11:01:54 THE 6th AT THE FIRST BAPTIST CHURCH ON TRAILSIDE DRIVE IN
11:01:59 ESTERO.
11:01:59 ALL ARE INVITED.
11:02:00 AND TRY TO ENCOURAGE EVERYONE IN ESTERO TO GET VACCINATED.
11:02:06 IT WOULD HELP US.
11:02:08 YOU GET VACCINATED, WE WILL TAKE OFF OUR MASKS, SO PLEASE
11:02:12 TRY TO GET VACCINATED SO WE CAN PROTECT EVERYONE WITH WHOM
11:02:17 WE COME IN CONTACT.
11:02:18 THE FIFTH ONE IS I HAVE RECEIVED MANY, MANY E-MAILS SINCE
11:02:30 BECOMING MAYOR.
11:02:31 I DIDN'T REALIZE SO MANY PEOPLE HAD SO MANY THINGS THEY ARE
11:02:34 TRYING TO SELL YOU OR WANTING TO GET FROM YOU OR WHATEVER,
11:02:37 BUT THE ONE AREA IS DEALING WITH THE PROCLAMATIONS.
11:02:43 I TALKED WITH OUR ATTORNEY, AND I'VE TALKED WITH STEVE ABOUT
11:02:47 THIS.

11:02:48 AND I KNOW THAT THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN A DECLARATION AND A
11:02:53 PROCLAMATION, A DECLARATION DOES NOT HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO
11:02:56 DO LIKE A GOVERNMENT BEHIND IT, LIKE WHEN THE DECLARATION OF
11:02:59 INDEPENDENCE WAS SOUGHT.
11:03:02 A PROCLAMATION MEANS YOU HAVE THE GOVERNMENT BEHIND IT.
11:03:04 AND I HAVE -- I'M VERY RELUCTANT TO DO A PROCLAMATION FOR
11:03:12 SOMEONE WHO JUST DECIDES THAT THEY WANT THE GOVERNMENT TO
11:03:15 GET BEHIND WHATEVER IT IS THEY ARE TRYING TO GET EVERYBODY
11:03:18 TO AGREE WITH THEM ON.
11:03:21 AS WE ALL KNOW, OUR SOCIETY HAS CHANGED SO MUCH IN THE LAST
11:03:25 FEW MONTHS.
11:03:27 WE HAVE SO MANY PEOPLE OUT THERE WANTING -- THEY HAVE
11:03:32 CAUSES.
11:03:32 SO THEY ARE TRYING TO GET OUR GOVERNMENT INVOLVED IN IT.
11:03:36 SO I WANT YOU TO KNOW WE TALKED ABOUT MAYBE GETTING A POLICY
11:03:39 ESTABLISHED, BUT I WANT YOU TO KNOW THAT THE PROCLAMATIONS
11:03:43 THAT WE DO WILL NOT BE DONE IN THE FUTURE WITHOUT THIS
11:03:47 COUNCIL HAVING SOME SAY IN WHETHER WE DO A PROCLAMATION FOR
11:03:52 WHATEVER, IF WE FEEL THAT IT IS NOT -- DOES NOT SUPPORT WHAT
11:03:57 THIS GOVERNMENT IS TRYING TO DO.
11:03:59 AND WHAT WE WANT IS PEACE AND CALM AND QUIET IN ESTERO, AND
11:04:02 WE WANT PEOPLE TO GET ALONG.
11:04:04 WE DON'T WANT CONTROVERSIAL ISSUES.

11:04:07 WITH THAT, I HAVE TO SAY, PLEASE, SUNDAY, MAY THE 9th IS
11:04:18 HAPPY MOTHER'S DAY.

11:04:20 SO SOMEONE WISH SOMEBODY A HAPPY MOTHER'S DAY.
11:04:22 AND I ALSO WOULD LIKE TO REITERATE TODAY IS JON McLAIN'S
11:04:27 BIRTHDAY, AND I THINK WE SHOULD GIVE A SHOUT-OUT TO JON.
11:04:30 HAPPY BIRTHDAY, JON.
11:04:32 [APPLAUSE]
11:04:32 VILLAGE ATTORNEY, WILL YOU GIVE US YOUR REPORT.
11:04:38 >>Burt Saunders: YEAH, JUST REAL QUICKLY.
11:04:41 THIS WAS NOT A PARTICULARLY GOOD YEAR FOR LOCAL GOVERNMENTS
11:04:44 IN THE LEGISLATIVE PROCESS.
11:04:45 I'LL BE SENDING YOU OUT INFORMATION AS THE GOVERNOR SIGNS
11:04:48 DIFFERENT BILLS TO LET YOU KNOW WHAT THE IMPACTS ARE, AND AT
11:04:53 YOUR NEXT MEETING, I'LL PROVIDE A LITTLE MORE OF DETAIL.
11:04:56 BUT I WANTED TO WAIT AND SEE WHAT ISSUES ARE ULTIMATELY
11:04:59 SIGNED BY THE GOVERNOR BEFORE MAKING A FINAL REPORT.
11:05:02 >>Mayor Errington: THANK YOU.
11:05:03 STEVE, VILLAGE MANAGER?
11:05:05 >>Steven Sarkozy: THANK YOU, MAYOR, MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.
11:05:08 I DO HAVE ONE UPDATE AND THAT IS REGARDING THE ESTERO ON THE
11:05:10 RIVER PROPERTY.
11:05:11 YOU'LL RECALL THAT WE BOUGHT 67 ACRES THERE A COUPLE OF
11:05:16 YEARS AGO, AND WE'VE BEEN VERY DELIBERATE IN WHAT WE'RE
11:05:21 GOING TO BE DOING THERE, TRYING TO BE A GOOD STEWARD NOT
11:05:25 ONLY OF OUR ENVIRONMENT BUT ALSO OF OUR FINANCES.
11:05:29 ONE OF THE ISSUES THAT WE HAVE IS A NUMBER OF OLDER,
11:05:33 DILAPIDATED STRUCTURES ON THE PROPERTY THAT REPRESENTS

11:05:37 SOMETHING OF A PUBLIC RISK, BEING AN ATTRACTIVE NUISANCE OUT
11:05:43 THERE, IT MIGHT ATTRACT EITHER PEOPLE OR VARMINTS WHICH ARE
11:05:49 NOT IN OUR BEST INTEREST.
11:05:51 WE HAVE PLANS TO DO A DEMOLITION PROJECT THAT'S BEEN
11:05:54 PREVIOUSLY SENT TO THE VILLAGE COUNCIL AND APPROVED.
11:05:57 BUT THAT'S COMING UP THIS WEEK.
11:05:59 SO I'D LIKE TO CALL ON KYLE COLEMAN TO JUST PROVIDE A BRIEF
11:06:04 UPDATE OF SOME OF THE ACTIVITY THAT YOU'LL SEE OUT THERE IN
11:06:09 THE VERY NEAR FUTURE.
11:06:10 PROBABLY MONDAY OF NEXT WEEK.
11:06:13 KYLE HAS A MAP AND A DESCRIPTION OF WHAT'S BEING DONE.
11:06:20 >>Mayor Errington: GOOD.
11:06:20 THANK YOU.
11:06:23 >>Steven Sarkozy: WHILE HE'S PULLING THIS UP, AN INTERESTING
11:06:28 ADDED BENEFIT HERE IS AS THEY GET IN TO CLEAR OUT SOME OF
11:06:32 THESE PROJECTS, IT WILL CLEAR OUT A LITTLE BIT OF THE
11:06:35 UNDERGROWTH ALLOWING US TO GET INTO THAT AREA TO GET A
11:06:39 BETTER LOOK AT IT.
11:06:40 IT IS A TRUE FOREST, AND IN MANY CASES, VERY, VERY DIFFICULT

11:06:46 TO GET THROUGH.
11:06:47 WE'LL BE TAKING PRECAUTIONS NOT TO TAKE DOWN ANY OF THE
11:06:53 MAJOR TREES AS A RESULT OF THIS WORK, BUT NONETHELESS, IT
11:06:58 STILL REQUIRES HEAVY EQUIPMENT TO GET IN THERE.
11:07:00 SO THERE WILL BE SOME DISRUPTION.
11:07:02 KYLE?

11:07:03 >>Kyle Coleman: SURE.
11:07:04 GOOD MORNING.
11:07:04 I'LL TRY TO KEEP THIS BRIEF.
11:07:07 AS STEVE SAID, WE WENT THROUGH -- WENT AND LOOKED AT ALL
11:07:11 THESE STRUCTURES FROM AN HISTORICAL STANDPOINT.
11:07:14 THERE ARE FEDERAL REGISTRIES THAT DENOTE IF SOMETHING IS
11:07:18 HISTORIC OR NOT, BUT THE DECISION TO REMOVE THINGS OR CHANGE
11:07:22 THINGS IS DONE AT THE LOCAL LEVEL.
11:07:26 THIS SIDE WAS REVIEWED IN 2006 WHEN IT WAS THEN A
11:07:30 DEVELOPMENT CONSIDERATION BY THE COUNCIL OR BY THE COUNTY.
11:07:38 SO WE MOVED FORWARD THOSE ZONING CONDITIONS STILL STAY IN
11:07:43 PLACE.
11:07:43 WE WANT TO ADHERE TO THE SAME CONDITIONS THAT WE PUT ONTO
11:07:47 THE PRIVATE COMMUNITIES.
11:07:48 YOU'RE LOOKING AT HERE A MAP OF THE STRUCTURES.
11:07:50 ABOUT HALF OF THEM ARE BUILDINGS.
11:07:52 ABOUT HALF OF THEM ARE CONCRETE SLABS OR BOMB SHELTERS THAT
11:07:57 HAVE SOME HISTORICAL SIGNIFICANCE.
11:08:00 THE ONES REALLY OF NOTE ARE NUMBER ONE.
11:08:05 SO WHEN YOU'RE GOING DOWN CORKSCREW AND YOU'RE LOOKING TO
11:08:07 YOUR RIGHT, HEADING WEST ON CORKSCREW, GOING NEAR 41, THAT'S
11:08:11 THE LARGE TWO-STORY CIRCULAR BUILDING.
11:08:17 THAT WILL BE REMOVED STARTING EITHER MONDAY OR TUESDAY
11:08:21 BECAUSE IT'S BEEN DEEMED THAT THERE'S NO HISTORICAL
11:08:23 SIGNIFICANCE.

11:08:24 WE WENT THROUGH A COMPREHENSIVE HISTORICAL STUDY OF IT.
11:08:30 WE HAD A CONSULTANT THAT DOES THIS FOR A LIVING.
11:08:32 WE ALSO HAD OUR ATTORNEYS LOOK AT IT.
11:08:35 SO TWO LAYERS OF IT.
11:08:38 STRUCTURES 6, 9, AND 10.
11:08:45 LET'S SEE, 6 AND 7 -- 6 IS -- 6, 7, 9, 10 ARE TWO ONE-STORY
11:08:56 BUILDINGS, OR HOMES, RATHER, THAT HAVE BEEN DEEMED
11:08:59 HISTORICAL.
11:09:00 NUMBER 10 IS KIND OF INTERESTING.
11:09:02 IT WAS THE SITE OF THE ANN LEWIS HOUSE THAT WAS MOVED TO THE
11:09:08 ESTERO PARK OVER HERE, BUT THE SLAB UNDERNEATH IT RETAINS
11:09:12 THE HISTORICAL VALUE, EVEN THOUGH THE HOUSE ITSELF WAS
11:09:15 MOVED.
11:09:16 ONE OF THEM IS ALSO A BOMB SHELTER THAT GOES BACK 50 YEARS
11:09:21 OR SO.

11:09:22 THE NEXT STEP HERE IS, WE BID THIS OUT IN 2020.
11:09:28 CONTRACT WAS APPROVED, SO WE GOT SOME BETTER PRICING ON IT.
11:09:32 WE'RE GOING TO START THIS DEMOLITION ON EITHER MONDAY OR
11:09:35 TUESDAY, AND THEN THE REMAINING FOUR, IF THERE IS A DESIRE
11:09:38 TO REMOVE THEM OR MAYBE REDUCE THEIR IMPACT ON THE SITE,
11:09:44 THAT WOULD GO TO OUR NEW PLANNING, ZONING, AND DESIGN BOARD.
11:09:48 SO EVERYTHING THAT LEE COUNTY HELD AS THE ZONING AUTHORITY
11:09:52 IS TRANSFERRED TO THAT BOARD AS PART OF OUR NEW LAND
11:09:56 DEVELOPMENT CODE.
11:09:57 ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS?

11:10:05 >>Vice-Mayor McLain: YOU SAY NUMBER 10 IS A SLAB OF A HOME
11:10:10 AND ITS HISTORICAL.
11:10:11 DOES THAT MEAN IT CAN'T BE REMOVED OR WILL BE REMOVED?
11:10:16 >>Kyle Coleman: TYPICALLY STRUCTURES AREN'T ENTIRELY
11:10:19 REMOVED, BUT THEY CAN BE SORT OF BUILT INTO A PLAN OR THEY
11:10:23 CAN BE MITIGATED OR YOU CAN DRESS THEM UP A LITTLE BIT.
11:10:26 IT IS YOUR DECISION, BUT TYPICALLY THEY ARE NOT TOTALLY
11:10:29 REMOVED.
11:10:30 IT'S WORTH -- AND CAN I PROVIDE SOME PHOTOS AFTER THIS.
11:10:37 BUT THEY ARE JUST NOT IN GREAT SHAPE.
11:10:41 IT TAKES SOME EFFORT TO FIND THEM.
11:10:43 IT'S GOING TO BE YOUR DECISION AND THE BOARD'S DECISION AS
11:10:48 TO WHETHER YOU WANT TO REMOVE THEM OR INTEGRATE THEM INTO
11:10:51 SOME PLAN THAT WE DO ON-SITE.
11:10:55 >>Jim Ward: THAT WAS THE QUESTION I WAS GOING TO ASK.
11:10:57 HAVE YOU LOOKED AT HOW WE COULD POTENTIALLY USE THIS AS THIS
11:11:01 LAND IS DEVELOPED IN THE FUTURE, WHETHER THERE'S ANY
11:11:05 POSSIBILITY TO REBUILD IT, RECLAIM IT, DO SOMETHING
11:11:09 SIGNIFICANT WITH IT?
11:11:12 >>Kyle Coleman: YEAH, I THINK THAT WILL BE PART OF OUR
11:11:14 PLANNING EFFORT, AS WE LOOK WHAT TO DO ON THIS SITE AND HOW
11:11:17 WE WORK WITH KORESHAN STATE PARK AND THE HISTORICAL ELEMENT
11:11:22 IS PART OF ALL THAT PLANNING EFFORT.
11:11:24 >>Jim Ward: I GUESS THE QUESTION IS BEFORE YOU TEAR IT DOWN,
11:11:28 HAVE YOU EXAMINED THE POTENTIAL THAT YOU COULD USE IT FOR

11:11:31 SOMETHING ELSE?
11:11:32 >>Kyle Coleman: SO THE SEVEN STRUCTURES THAT ARE SET TO BE
11:11:35 TORN DOWN HAVE BEEN DEEMED TO HAVE NO HISTORICAL VALUE.
11:11:38 SO WE ARE GOING AS CONSERVATIVELY AS WE CAN, REMOVING THE
11:11:42 ONES THAT HAVE NO VALUE.
11:11:43 AND THEN LEAVING THE FOUR THAT COULD HAVE SOME.
11:11:47 >>Jim Boesch: A LOT OF THEM ARE LIKE SHEDS.
11:11:49 OLD.
11:11:56 >>Mayor Errington: MOST OF THOSE BUILDINGS YOU'RE TALKING
11:11:58 ABOUT ARE ABOUT READY TO FALL OVER ANYWAY, AREN'T THEY?
11:12:01 YEAH.

11:12:01 SO THIS PIECE OF PROPERTY IS BEAUTIFUL.
11:12:07 IT'S BEAUTIFUL.
11:12:11 HAS A THOUSAND TREES ON IT, AND SIGNIFICANT, BIG TREES.
11:12:16 HERITAGE OAKS THAT ARE 51 INCHES OR MORE IN DIAMETER.
11:12:20 I'VE BEEN FOLLOWING THIS FOR YEARS, THIS PIECE OF PROPERTY,
11:12:23 THIS PARTICULAR PARCEL HERE.
11:12:29 IT'S JUST GORGEOUS.
11:12:32 SOMEDAY IT WILL BE GORGEOUS.
11:12:36 I'M CURIOUS ABOUT 6, 7, 8, 9.
11:12:41 I KNOW THAT 7 IS AN OLD BUILDING AND 6 AND 8, TELL ME AGAIN
11:12:50 WHAT THOSE ARE.
11:12:52 >>Kyle Coleman: SURE, THE ONES WE ARE NOT REMOVING, 6, 7, 9,
11:12:56 10.
11:12:56 6 IS KNOWN AS THE HOLLY PARK HOUSE.

11:12:59 7 IS THE LOCKWOOD HOUSE.
11:13:02 THOSE ARE THE ONES, THERE ARE TWO OF THEM SORT OF TO THE
11:13:04 WEST OF HAPPEHATCHEE.
11:13:07 >>Mayor Errington: NOT REMOVING THOSE.
11:13:08 YOU ARE OR NOT --
11:13:10 >>Kyle Coleman: YES, THOSE ARE TWO OF THE FOUR THAT WOULD
11:13:13 STAY FOR NOW BECAUSE THEY MIGHT HAVE SOME HISTORICAL VALUE.
11:13:17 >>Mayor Errington: AND THEN 8 AND 9.
11:13:19 >>Kyle Coleman: IS WOULD BE 9 AND 10.
11:13:22 8 WOULD BE REMOVED.
11:13:24 9 IS -- SORRY, 6 IS THE BOMB SHELTER.
11:13:32 7 AND 9 ARE HOLLY PARK AND LOCKWOOD HOUSE.
11:13:36 10 IS THE SITE OF THE FORMER ANN LEWIS HOUSE.
11:13:39 8 IS -- IT'S A SMALLER KIND OF WOOD-FRAME SHED THAT USED TO
11:13:47 HAVE SOME WELL EQUIPMENT IN IT.
11:13:51 >>Mayor Errington: HAVE YOU COORDINATED WITH THE Y WITH THE
11:13:53 DAY CAMP THEY ARE HAVING --
11:13:54 >>Kyle Coleman: YEAH, THEY KNOW WHAT'S GOING ON.
11:13:59 >>Mayor Errington: ANY OTHER COMMENTS, QUESTIONS?
11:14:01 OKAY.
11:14:04 THANK YOU, DAVID.
11:14:05 I'M SORRY, KYLE.
11:14:08 I CALLED DAVID OUT SO MUCH THIS MORNING.
11:14:11 THANK YOU.
11:14:11 >>Kyle Coleman: SURE.

11:14:15 >>Mayor Errington: LET'S ADJOURN THIS PART OF THE MEETING,
11:14:20 AND WE'LL GO TO A WORKSHOP.
11:14:21 BUT LET'S TAKE A TEN-MINUTE BREAK, IF THAT'S OKAY.
11:14:24 [RECESS]
11:16:57 >>Mayor Errington: -- THEN WE WILL ADJOURN.
11:27:00 SO WE WILL BEGIN WITH THE FIRST WORKSHOP ITEM THAT RELATES TO --
11:27:08 >>Carol Sacco: NO.

11:27:10 IT'S CORKSCREW ROAD IMPROVEMENTS.
11:27:13 >>Mayor Errington: YEAH, THAT IS WRONG, ISN'T IT?
11:27:15 I JUST NOW NOTICED THAT.
11:27:17 CORKSCREW ROAD IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT UPDATE.
11:27:20 STEVE, WOULD YOU INTRODUCE THIS?
11:27:23 >>Steven Sarkozy: THANK YOU, MAYOR, MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.
11:27:26 YOU'RE ALL AWARE, I'M SORRY, THAT THE CORKSCREW PROJECT
11:27:29 BEING INITIATED BY LEE COUNTY IS GOING TO WIDEN CORKSCREW
11:27:33 FROM BEN HILL GRIFFIN EASTWARD OVER TO THE EASTERN TERMINUS
11:27:40 OF THE VILLAGE AND THEN ON TO ALICO ROAD.
11:27:45 WE HAVE REQUESTED AND HAVE AN INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT WITH LEE
11:27:51 COUNTY TO ALLOW US TO DO SOME ADDITIONAL LANDSCAPING IN
11:27:55 THERE.
11:27:55 AND AS PART OF THAT PROJECT, WE'RE USING JOHNSON ENGINEERING
11:28:00 TO LAY OUT WHERE WE MAY PUT A PATHWAY.
11:28:04 THE PRESENTATION TODAY WILL TALK ABOUT WHERE THAT PATHWAY
11:28:09 COULD EXIST.
11:28:11 THIS IS A PROJECT WHERE WE'RE TRYING TO CREATE SOMETHING OF

11:28:14 A LINEAR PARK, OPENING IT UP FOR ADDITIONAL PEDESTRIAN AND
11:28:21 BIKE USAGE.
11:28:22 IT'S A REAL OPPORTUNITY FOR US TO CREATE A NICE STREETScape
11:28:27 ALONG THAT STRETCH OF ROAD.
11:28:29 WE'VE GOT DAVID WILLEMS HERE TO WALK THROUGH THE CONCEPTS,
11:28:39 AND WE'LL TALK FURTHER ABOUT FINANCING THESE PROJECTS AS WE
11:28:42 CONCLUDE THE PUBLIC PRESENTATION.
11:28:44 SO, DAVID, IF YOU'D WALK US THROUGH --
11:28:48 >>David Willems: WE'LL BRIEFLY, I'LL GIVE AN INTRODUCTION,
11:28:50 AND THEN I'M GOING TO HAVE RYAN BELL PRESENT WHAT THEIR
11:28:54 FINDINGS WERE FOR THE PROJECT.
11:28:56 I'LL BRING UP THE PRESENTATION FIRST.
11:28:59 JUST REAL BRIEFLY, CORKSCREW ROAD IS I THINK WITHIN THE NEXT
11:29:05 WEEK OR TWO, THEY ARE SUPPOSED TO BE STARTING CONSTRUCTION.
11:29:09 SOME OF THE SIGNS AND THAT ARE ALREADY UP FOR THE WIDENING
11:29:12 PROJECT.
11:29:12 IT LOOKS LIKE THE CONSTRUCTION SHOULD BE FINISHING UP AT THE
11:29:16 END OF 2023, SO WE STILL HAVE A LITTLE WHILE.
11:29:20 WHAT WE'RE GOING TO BE PRESENTING IS RIGHT NOW JUST THE
11:29:23 PATHWAY PART OF IT.
11:29:25 LATER ON WE'LL BE DOING LANDSCAPING AND STREET LIGHTING.
11:29:28 ONE THING AS WE'RE LOOKING AT THE PRESENTATION, WHICH IS
11:29:32 GOING TO GO THROUGH A LITTLE BIT OF BACKGROUND, PROJECT
11:29:35 SCHEDULE, HOW WE'RE GOING TO MOVE FORWARD AND THEN GET INTO
11:29:38 SOME SPECIFIC OPTIONS THAT WE'RE LOOKING FOR DIRECTION FROM

11:29:40 COUNCIL ON.
11:29:42 THERE IS THE POTENTIAL THAT WE MIGHT BE ABLE TO MOVE
11:29:45 PORTIONS OF THIS PROJECT FORWARD BECAUSE THE COUNTY IS

11:29:49 ALREADY OUT THERE UNDER CONSTRUCTION.
11:29:52 IT'S GOING TO BE VERY DIFFICULT FOR US TO ACTUALLY HIRE
11:29:54 ANOTHER CONSULTANT TO DO THE WORK.
11:29:56 WE'RE REALLY GOING TO HAVE TO WAIT UNTIL AFTER THE PROJECT
11:29:58 IS COMPLETED.
11:30:00 BUT THERE MAY BE A POTENTIAL TO PIGGYBACK ON TO THE COUNTY'S
11:30:03 PROJECT TO DO THE PATHWAY PORTION OF IT.
11:30:07 BECAUSE SOME OF THOSE QUANTITIES ARE ALREADY IN THE BID.
11:30:10 MIGHT BE ABLE TO PIGGYBACK TO GET THAT DONE EARLIER INSTEAD
11:30:13 OF HAVING TO WAIT UNTIL 2023.
11:30:15 JUST RIGHT NOW, WE'RE GOING TO GO OVER BACKGROUND AND THEN
11:30:18 THE PATHWAY ITSELF AND THEN AT THE END WE CAN TALK ABOUT THE
11:30:24 FINANCE PART OF IT.
11:30:26 RYAN BELL IS THE PROJECT ENGINEER WITH THE PROJECT AND HE'S
11:30:29 THE ONE WHO HELPED US LAY OUT THE ROADWAY AND MET WITH THE
11:30:33 ADJACENT COMMUNITIES TO GET SOME OF THEIR INPUT AS WELL.
11:30:38 >>Mayor Errington: WELCOME.
11:30:39 >>Ryan Bell: GOOD MORNING.
11:30:40 THANK YOU.
11:30:41 AS DAVID MENTIONED, MY NAME IS RYAN BELL WITH JOHNSON
11:30:44 ENGINEERING.
11:30:45 I KNOW WE'RE GETTING CLOSE TO LUNCH.

11:30:47 I'LL TRY TO BE BRIEF.
11:30:48 IF I SPEED THROUGH ANYTHING, I APOLOGIZE.
11:30:51 HAPPY TO ELABORATE.
11:30:52 WE WANTED TO TOUCH ON SOME OF THE ENHANCEMENTS WE'RE LOOKING
11:30:55 AT WITH CORKSCREW ROAD.
11:31:00 WHAT I'D LIKE TO GO THROUGH, GIVE YOU A QUICK BACKGROUND AND
11:31:03 HISTORY ON THE ROADWAY, KIND OF WHY IT GOT TO WHERE IT IS
11:31:06 NOW, SHOW YOU SOME OF THE PRELIMINARY ALIGNMENT THAT WE'RE
11:31:10 LOOKING AT WITH THE PATHWAYS AND THEN DISCUSS SOME OF THOSE
11:31:12 OPTIONS, TAKE WHATEVER QUESTIONS YOU HAVE ON THOSE AND
11:31:15 HOPEFULLY GET SOME KIND OF DIRECTION ON WHICH OF THESE
11:31:17 OPTIONS YOU MAY WANT TO PURSUE.
11:31:19 REAL QUICK, THE ROADWAY, THIS IS THE TYPICAL SECTION FROM
11:31:26 THE COUNTY'S PROJECT, THE WIDENING PROJECT FROM BEN HILL
11:31:30 GRIFFIN THROUGH FIREHOUSE LANE.
11:31:33 THEY ARE CURRENTLY CONSTRUCTING SIX LANES.
11:31:37 THIS PROJECT, AS YOU MAY KNOW, WAS PREVIOUSLY DESIGNED AND
11:31:41 PERMITTED.
11:31:41 SO IN THE COURSE OF THIS MOST RECENT REDESIGN, WE HAD TO
11:31:44 WORK WITHIN THE CONSTRAINTS OF THE RIGHT-OF-WAY THAT WAS
11:31:48 ATTAINED AND THE AREA OF IMPACTS ALREADY PERMITTED.
11:31:51 IT DIDN'T LEAVE US A WHOLE LOT OF ROOM.
11:31:53 THE COUNTY HAD PROPOSED TO DO SOME PATHWAY IMPROVEMENTS OR
11:31:58 THE MOST BIKE AND PEDESTRIAN IMPROVEMENTS THAT THEY COULD.
11:32:01 IN THIS CASE, IT WAS A SIX-FOOT SIDEWALK ON THE NORTH SIDE

11:32:05 AND A TEN-FOOT PATHWAY ON THE SOUTH SIDE DIRECTLY AT THE
11:32:08 BACK OF CURB.
11:32:10 MOVING EAST, GO PAST FIREHOUSE LANE, BASICALLY THROUGH THE
11:32:14 WILDCAT RUN AREA, THE RIGHT-OF-WAY IS NARROWER, SO WE HAD TO
11:32:19 TIGHTEN IT UP EVEN MORE.
11:32:21 AGAIN, WE STILL HAD THE SIX-FOOT SIDEWALK ALONG THE NORTH
11:32:24 SIDE AND TEN-FOOT PATHWAY ON THE SOUTH SIDE AT THE BACK OF
11:32:27 CURB.
11:32:28 MOVING EAST OF THERE, YOU GET PAST THE WILDCAT RUN AREA, WE
11:32:33 HAVE A LITTLE BIT MORE RIGHT-OF-WAY, BUT THAT WAS UTILIZED
11:32:36 TO WIDEN OUT THE MEDIAN SO THAT WE HAD ROOM FOR FUTURE
11:32:40 SIX-LANE WIDENING.
11:32:43 ONCE YOU GET PAST THIS AREA, THE WILD BLUE, THEIR FIRST
11:32:48 ENTRANCE IS PRETTY MUCH THE LIMITS OF THE VILLAGE ON THE
11:32:52 NORTH SIDE.
11:32:53 FROM THERE ON, THE COUNTY IS DOING A SIX-FOOT SIDEWALK
11:32:57 REGARDLESS, AS IS WITHIN THE UNINCORPORATED AREA.
11:32:59 BUT THEY HAVE PROPOSED A 10-FOOT PATH, CONTINUING IT AGAIN
11:33:02 AT THE BACK OF SIDEWALK BECAUSE WE'RE PRESSED FOR SPACE.
11:33:06 AS DAVID MENTIONED, THEY ARE STARTING THE CONSTRUCTION NOW.
11:33:10 THEY ACTUALLY HAVE STARTED DOING A LOT OF UTILITY
11:33:13 RELOCATION.
11:33:14 AS YOU MENTIONED, IT'S ABOUT A 30-MONTH PROCESS, SO WE'RE
11:33:17 LOOKING AT COMPLETION NEAR THE END OF 2023.
11:33:20 MENTIONED THE INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT, OF AWAY THE GOAL WAS TO

11:33:26 DESIGN ENHANCED LEVELS OF PEDESTRIAN BIKE FACILITIES, LOOK
11:33:30 AT ENHANCED LANDSCAPING, STREET LIGHTING, SOMETHING MORE
11:33:34 THAN THE STANDARD CORE LEVEL THAT THE LEE COUNTY TYPICALLY
11:33:38 PROVIDES.
11:33:39 THERE IS A PROCESS IN DOING SO.
11:33:41 FIRST, IS TO DETERMINE THE PATHWAY LOCATION, CONFIRM WHERE
11:33:43 IT'S GOING TO BE, AND THAT'S WHERE WE'RE AT RIGHT NOW.
11:33:46 ONCE WE HAVE GENERAL AGREEMENT, OKAY, HERE'S WHERE WE'RE
11:33:49 GOING, THEN WE CAN GET INTO ACQUIRING THE EASEMENTS,
11:33:52 RIGHT-OF-WAY NECESSARY AND THE DESIGN AND SOME OF THE
11:33:55 PERMITTING FINER POINTS.
11:33:57 A COUPLE OF MAIN TENETS WE WANT TO MAINTAIN THROUGHOUT THE
11:34:04 PROCESS IS OUR DESIGN OBJECTIVE, NUMBER ONE, BE ABLE TO
11:34:07 PROVIDE TEN-FOOT PATHWAYS ON BOTH SIDES OF THE ROAD, NOT
11:34:10 JUST THE SOUTH SIDE.
11:34:11 AND ALSO MOVE THE PATHWAY OUT AS FAR AS WE COULD TO GET THEM
11:34:16 AWAY FROM THE ROADWAY, CLOSER OUT TO THE RIGHT-OF-WAY OR IN
11:34:19 SOME CASES JUST BEYOND.
11:34:21 REAL QUICKLY, I'LL SHOW YOU WHAT WE'RE LOOKING AT WITH THE
11:34:27 ALIGNMENT.
11:34:27 THIS IS STARTING AT BEN HILL GRIFFIN.

11:34:29 THE GREEN LINES ON THE OUTSIDE ARE GENERALLY WHERE WE'RE
11:34:33 LOOKING AT PUTTING THE PATHWAYS.
11:34:36 AND THAT IS GENERALLY AS FAR OUT AS WE PHYSICALLY CAN, THAT
11:34:41 THERE'S NO BUILDINGS.

11:34:42 THERE'S NO WETLANDS OR ANYTHING ELSE THAT RESULT IN
11:34:45 TREMENDOUS ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS.
11:34:48 YOU CAN SEE HERE ON THE NORTH SIDE, WE'RE HOPING OR LOOKING
11:34:51 TO GET INTO UTILIZING SOME OF THE AREA OF THE BERM ON THE
11:34:55 NORTH SIDE OF THE ROAD, SOUTH SIDE OF GRANDEZZA.
11:34:58 BECAUSE OF THE ELEVATION, IT GETS A LITTLE TRICKY IN DOING
11:35:01 THAT, STILL MEETING ADA.
11:35:04 I'LL TALK ABOUT THAT IN A SECOND.
11:35:06 MOVING EAST FROM THERE, AGAIN, GENERALLY STAYING TO THE
11:35:13 OUTSIDE OF THE RIGHT-OF-WAY OR JUST OUTSIDE OF THE
11:35:19 RIGHT-OF-WAY.
11:35:20 MOVING FURTHER EAST, ONCE YOU GET PAST THE FPL TRANSMISSION
11:35:24 LINE INTO THE WILDCAT RUN AREA, THERE IS ONE AREA WE WANTED
11:35:28 TO LOOK AT POSSIBLE BOARDWALK WHERE THERE'S EXISTING NATIVE
11:35:34 VEGETATION AREAS IN FRONT OF WILDCAT RUN WHERE WE COULD
11:35:38 SWING OUT WIDE THROUGH THE TREES, WHICH REFERRING TO AS
11:35:41 BOARDWALK NUMBER ONE RIGHT THERE.
11:35:43 MOVING FURTHER EAST, YOU HAVE A COUPLE OF OTHER UNDEVELOPED
11:35:50 AREAS WITH SOME OPPORTUNITY.
11:35:52 THIS IS BASICALLY THE EAST SIDE OF GRANDEZZA AND WILDCAT
11:35:57 RUN.
11:35:58 BOARDWALK NUMBER 2 ON THE SOUTH IS WITHIN WILDCAT RUN
11:36:02 PROPERTY.
11:36:03 BOARDWALK NUMBER 3 WOULD BE WITHIN THE GRANDEZZA PROPERTY.
11:36:08 NUMBER 3, THAT GRANDEZZA PROPERTY DOES HAVE A CONSERVATION

11:36:12 EASEMENT OVER IT RIGHT NOW.
11:36:13 SO THERE ARE SOME PERMITTING IMPLICATIONS WITH THAT.
11:36:16 THEN AS YOU GET CLOSE TO THE WILD BLUE ENTRANCE, AS I
11:36:21 MENTIONED, THIS SECTION LINE YOU SEE HERE COMING AT AN ANGLE
11:36:25 IS THE NORTHERN LIMITS OF THE VILLAGE.
11:36:27 SO ONCE WE GET BEYOND THAT WILD BLUE ENTRANCE, EVERYTHING ON
11:36:31 THE NORTH SIDE IS CONSIDERED COUNTY.
11:36:33 SO THE PATHWAY REALLY ONLY EXTENDS ON THE SOUTH SIDE BEYOND
11:36:37 THIS POINT.
11:36:41 SO MOVING EAST OF THERE AS YOU APPROACH THE BEND, THERE'S
11:36:46 ANOTHER PRESERVE AREA, WHICH IS WHERE WE'RE SHOWING THE
11:36:49 POSSIBILITY OF BOARDWALK NUMBER FOUR.
11:36:52 THIS PROPERTY IS OWNED BY THE PRESERVE AT CORKSCREW, BUT,
11:36:54 AGAIN, IT'S UNDER A CONSERVATION EASEMENT.
11:36:57 THERE'S SOME WILDLIFE CONSIDERATIONS HERE.
11:36:59 BUT THIS WOULD BE ANOTHER OPPORTUNITY FOR A BOARDWALK.
11:37:03 THEN AS WE MOVE EAST, WE GET AROUND THE BEND, WE HAVE A

11:37:07 LITTLE BIT MORE RIGHT-OF-WAY AND THE PLAN, AGAIN HERE WAS
11:37:13 BASICALLY TO PUT IT AS FAR SOUTH, AS FAR AWAY FROM THE
11:37:16 ROADWAY AS POSSIBLE, BASICALLY FOLLOWING THE LARGER BERM
11:37:22 THEY HAVE ON THE FRONT SIDE OF BELLA TERRA.
11:37:26 SO THAT IS THE GENERAL ALIGNMENT.
11:37:29 THE OPTIONS THAT WE WANTED TO TALK ABOUT TO SEE IF IT'S
11:37:34 SOMETHING THAT YOU WOULD LIKE US TO PURSUE FURTHER, ONE OF
11:37:38 WHICH IS UTILIZING SOME OF THAT AREA ON THE SOUTH SIDE OF

11:37:41 THE GRANDEZZA BERM.

11:37:43 OBVIOUSLY, THIS REQUIRES COOPERATION FROM GRANDEZZA AND
11:37:46 ASSUMING WE CAN GET EASEMENTS TO THIS EFFECT, BUT IF WE
11:37:48 WANTED TO UTILIZE THAT AREA, BECAUSE OF THE ELEVATIONS, IT'S
11:37:54 A LITTLE BIT EASIER SAID THAN DONE.

11:37:56 AS A PUBLIC PATHWAY, YOU HAVE TO MAINTAIN ADA REQUIREMENTS
11:38:03 AND ALSO THERE ARE SOME MAINTENANCE CONSIDERATIONS.

11:38:06 THERE ARE THREE DIFFERENT OPTIONS OF HOW WE COULD DO THAT.
11:38:08 WE COULD PUT THE BERM UP ON THE PATH AND IN A FILL SCENARIO
11:38:14 WHERE WE HAVE TO BRING IN SOME ADDITIONAL DIRT, GRADE IT
11:38:18 OUT.

11:38:18 WE WOULD END UP ENCROACHING ON THE EXISTING DRAINAGE DITCH
11:38:23 THERE, SO WE NEED TO DO SOME DRAINAGE PIPING.

11:38:26 THE SECOND OPTION IN THE MIDDLE IS LITERALLY CUTTING THE
11:38:30 PATHWAY INTO THE SIDE OF THE BERM, THOUGH IN DOING THAT,
11:38:35 WE'D HAVE TO HOLD BACK SOME OF THE DIRT, THE TOP SIDE OF IT,
11:38:40 THE HIGH SIDE OF THAT, SO NEED TO BE A LITTLE GRAVITY WALL.
11:38:44 THAT'S WHAT'S SHOWN IN RED.

11:38:46 IN EITHER SITUATION, YOU'RE EITHER DOING A PIPE OR A GRAVITY
11:38:50 WALL, SO IT'S PRETTY MUCH A WASH DOLLAR-WISE.

11:38:53 THE THIRD OPTION DOWN AT THE BOTTOM WOULD BE GETTING OUTSIDE
11:38:56 OF THE RIGHT-OF-WAY A LITTLE BIT BUT NOT GOING TO SUCH
11:38:59 EXTREME, NOT GOING ALL THE WAY UP THE DETERMINE, STAYING
11:39:02 KIND OF AT THE BOTTOM OF THE BERM, FILLING THAT DITCH WITH A
11:39:05 PIPE TO GIVE YOU SOME MORE FLAT AREA TO PLAY WITH DOWN AT

11:39:08 THE BOTTOM OF THE BERM.

11:39:10 THOSE ARE OUR THREE OPTIONS THERE, IF YOU WANTED TO TRY TO
11:39:13 UTILIZE THIS AREA, YOU CAN SEE THE PRICES RANGE FROM ALMOST
11:39:18 700 TO ALMOST 800 THOUSAND DOLLARS FOR THOSE PARTICULAR
11:39:23 OPTIONS.

11:39:23 LOOKING AT THE COST OF THE OTHER OPTIONS, HERE YOU SEE A
11:39:30 GENERAL SUMMARY.

11:39:31 THE TOP FOUR ITEMS ARE THE COST OF THE BOARDWALKS.

11:39:36 THE COST INCLUDES BOTH THE CONSTRUCTION COST AND THE
11:39:40 MITIGATION.

11:39:41 SEVERAL OF THESE BOARDWALKS WE HAVE SHOWN WILL TRAVERSE SOME
11:39:45 ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE AREAS.

11:39:47 THERE MAY BE WETLAND IMPACTS AND WITH THAT, THERE'S

11:39:49 PERMITTING AND THERE'S MITIGATION THAT WOULD NEED TO BE
11:39:53 ADDRESSED BEFORE IT COULD BE PERMITTED.
11:39:55 SO YOU SEE THE COSTS HERE ON THE RIGHT.
11:39:58 SO THE TOTAL ON THE FAR RIGHT FOR THOSE BOARDWALKS, NUMBER
11:40:04 ONE, THE WEST SIDE OF WILDCAT RUN IS JUST UNDER A MILLION
11:40:07 DOLLARS.
11:40:08 THE ONE FURTHER EAST ON WILDCAT WOULD BE 1.4 MILLION.
11:40:12 GRANDEZZA, THE LONGEST ONE, IS APPROXIMATELY 2.6 MILLION.
11:40:16 AND THEN THE ONE JUST EAST OF THE PRESERVE NEAR THE BEND
11:40:21 THERE, APPROXIMATELY 1.5 MILLION.
11:40:23 THESE BOARDWALKS WOULD BE NICE, BUT THERE'S A PRICE TAG THAT
11:40:28 COMES WITH THEM.

11:40:29 THE BERM OPTIONS THAT I TALKED ABOUT, THE NEXT THREE YOU SEE
11:40:32 ARE THOSE BERM OPTIONS WE JUST LOOKED AT.
11:40:35 IF WE WANT TO UTILIZE THAT GRANDEZZA BERM, HERE ARE SOME OF
11:40:39 OUR SUBOPTIONS, SO TO SPEAK.
11:40:41 AND THEN LASTLY, DOWN AT THE BOTTOM, IS WHAT WE'RE REFERRING
11:40:44 TO AS SECONDARY PATHWAY LIGHTING.
11:40:49 AND THIS ISN'T AS MUCH AN OPTION AS IT GOES WITH, THE FACT
11:40:54 THAT YOU'RE PUSHING THE PATHWAYS OUT, WE HAVE TO LIGHT THEM.
11:40:58 CERTAIN MINIMUM ILLUMINATION LEVELS YOU HAVE TO PROVIDE FOR
11:41:02 PUBLIC SIDEWALKS AND PATHWAYS.
11:41:06 WITH WHAT THE COUNTY PROVIDED, WHEN IT'S TIGHT UP TO THE
11:41:08 ROADWAY, THE STREET LIGHTING ALSO COVERS THE PATHWAY AND THE
11:41:12 SIDEWALK.
11:41:12 SO WE CAN ILLUMINATE EVERYTHING WITH A -- LIGHTS ON EACH
11:41:18 SIDE OF THE ROADWAY.
11:41:18 ONCE WE START PUSHING THE PATHWAY FURTHER OUT AWAY FROM THE
11:41:21 STREETLIGHTS, THEN WE GET INTO HAVING BASICALLY A SEPARATE
11:41:26 INDEPENDENT LIGHTING FOR THE PATHWAY ITSELF.
11:41:28 SO WHEN WE GO THAT ROUTE, PUSHING TOWARDS THE OUTSIDE, THEN
11:41:32 WE HAVE TO THINK ABOUT THIS ADDITIONAL PATHWAY LIGHTING.
11:41:35 WITH THAT, THAT BRINGS US TO BASICALLY OUR SIX OPTIONS OR
11:41:46 ADD-ONS, IF YOU WILL, THAT MAY BE PURSUED WITH DESIGN.
11:41:50 THE FIRST ONE IS WHAT I JUST MENTIONED WAS THE ADDITIONAL
11:41:54 LIGHTING ASSOCIATED WITH THE FACT THAT YOU WOULD BE PUSHING
11:41:57 THE PATHWAYS TO THE OUTSIDE.

11:41:58 AGAIN, THAT'S JUST UNDER \$800,000.
11:42:03 THE SECOND WAS UTILIZING THE GRANDEZZA BERM AREA.
11:42:15 THERE ARE A COUPLE OF SUBOPTIONS THERE, GENERALLY IN THE 700
11:42:18 TO 800 THOUSAND DOLLARS, IF YOU WANT TO UTILIZE OR ATTEMPT
11:42:22 TO UTILIZE THAT AREA.
11:42:25 NUMBER THREE, THE WILDCAT RUN, THE WESTERN ONE, WE'RE JUST
11:42:31 UNDER A MILLION DOLLARS.
11:42:34 THEN NUMBER FOUR WOULD BE THE EASTERN PORTION OF WILDCAT
11:42:37 RUN, AGAIN, YOU'RE AT 1.4.

11:42:40 THE GRANDEZZA UTILIZING THE GRANDEZZA CONSERVATION AREA,
11:42:44 WE'RE AT APPROXIMATELY 2.6 AND THEN FINALLY THE ONE AT THE
11:42:49 PRESERVE JUST EAST OF THE PRESERVE, JUST SOUTH OF THE BEND,
11:42:53 IF YOU WANTED TO LOOK AT DOING A BOARDWALK TYPE FACILITY
11:42:56 THERE, YOU'RE LOOKING AT ALMOST 1.5 MILLION.
11:42:59 THAT'S WHAT I HAVE.
11:43:03 THESE ARE THE SIX GENERAL OPTIONS THAT WE'RE LOOKING FOR
11:43:08 DIRECTION ON.
11:43:09 BE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS.
11:43:11 >>Jim Boesch: FIRST OF ALL, ASK QUESTION AS A RESIDENT OF
11:43:16 CORKSCREW AND -- I HAVE LIKE MOST RESIDENTS ON CORKSCREW WE
11:43:23 HAVE OUR PERSONAL IDEAS AND SO FORTH.
11:43:27 THERE IS A BEND IN THE ROAD ON CORKSCREW ROAD.
11:43:30 PRESERVE.
11:43:33 ARE WE GETTING THE PEOPLE OFF THE ROAD THERE IN THIS
11:43:38 PRESENTATION?

11:43:39 >>Ryan Bell: ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT GETTING THEM AWAY FROM
11:43:42 THE ROADWAY AT THE BEND FOR THE PICTURE THAT YOU SEE HERE.
11:43:46 AND, YES, IF YOU'RE TO ELECT TO DO THAT BOARDWALK, THAT
11:43:50 WOULD GIVE THEM THE OPTION OF TRAVERSING SOMEWHERE OTHER
11:43:57 THAN THE ROADWAY ITSELF.
11:43:58 NOW, THIS WOULD LIKELY BE AN ALTERNATIVE ROUTE.
11:44:03 IT MAY NOT BE THE ONLY ROUTE TO GET WHATEVER WE DO HERE
11:44:07 NEEDS TO GET PERMITTED BY LEE COUNTY BECAUSE LEE COUNTY OWNS
11:44:10 THE RIGHT-OF-WAY.
11:44:11 TYPICALLY THEIR LDC REQUIRES PATHWAY FACILITIES ALONG THE
11:44:16 ROADWAY.
11:44:16 SO I THINK THEY MAY STILL REQUIRE A BASE ALIGNMENT, IF YOU
11:44:22 WILL, ALONG THE ROADWAY ITSELF, BUT THIS MIGHT BE VIEWED AS
11:44:26 AN OPTION FOR THOSE THAT DECIDE TO TAKE THE PATH LESS
11:44:31 TRAVELED.
11:44:32 >>Jim Boesch: YOU HAVE TO BE CAREFUL, BECAUSE WE'VE HAD
11:44:34 DEATHS ON THAT PARTICULAR CURVE.
11:44:38 DON'T WANT PEOPLE WALKING CLOSE TO THE ROAD THERE.
11:44:43 PROBABLY THE MOST DANGEROUS PORTION OF THIS WHOLE ...
11:44:51 >>Joanne Ribble: RYAN, I WAS JUST WONDERING, AS WE'RE
11:44:54 FINDING OUT WITH ALL OUR IMPROVEMENTS THAT WE'VE BEEN DOING
11:44:59 IN THE VILLAGE, WHEN THIS GOES TO DESIGN AND PERMITTING AND
11:45:04 CONSTRUCTION BID, COULD WE SEE UP TO MAYBE 30% INCREASES ON
11:45:10 ALL THE PRICES THAT WE HAVE HERE?
11:45:12 BECAUSE THAT'S WHAT WE'RE FINDING WITH ALL OUR PROJECTS THAT

11:45:15 WE SENT OUT TO BID, AND THEY ARE COMING BACK BECAUSE OF THE
11:45:19 COVID SITUATION SO MUCH HIGHER.
11:45:21 AND WE'RE MAKING ADJUSTMENTS ON EVERY PROJECT.
11:45:25 DO YOU SEE THAT HAPPENING HERE, TOO?
11:45:27 >>Ryan Bell: IT'S VERY POSSIBLE, YES.

11:45:29 IN OUR ESTIMATES, WE TRY TO BE HEALTHY.
11:45:34 TRY TO AVOID ANY SURPRISES IN THE FUTURE, IF WE CAN.
11:45:37 I KNOW IT'S A LOT OF MONEY, BUT RIGHT NOW THERE ARE ISSUES
11:45:41 WITH SUPPLIES AND THE MATERIAL.
11:45:45 ONE THING I WILL SAY THAT MAKES THE BOARDWALKS AS EXPENSIVE
11:45:51 AS THEY ARE IS THEY ARE VERY DIFFICULT TO BUILD IN A LINEAR
11:45:55 FASHION.
11:45:55 IT'S ONE THING FROM DOING IT IN A WIDE OPEN FIELD AND CAN I
11:45:58 GET ALL MY EQUIPMENT AND MY WORKERS CAN PARK ALONG THE WAY,
11:46:01 BUT IN THESE CASES, WE ALMOST HAVE TO CLEAR A SWATH THROUGH
11:46:07 THE TREES AND BUILD IT AS YOU BACK YOURSELF OUT.
11:46:09 SO THERE ARE SOME DIFFICULTIES IN THAT REGARD.
11:46:11 I THINK THAT'S WHERE SOME OF THE COSTS COMES FROM, BUT, YES,
11:46:16 THE DEMAND FOR CONTRACTORS RIGHT NOW, THERE ARE LOTS OF
11:46:20 HOUSES TO BUILD.
11:46:21 THEY ARE MAKING A LOT OF MONEY BUILDING HOUSES.
11:46:23 TO GET THEM TO WANT TO COME BUILD SIDEWALKS AND PATHWAYS AND
11:46:27 BOARDWALK, IT TAKES MONEY.
11:46:30 YES, THERE IS REAL POSSIBILITY OF FLUCTUATING IN THE COSTS.
11:46:35 >>Joanne Ribble: MY SECOND QUESTION IS THERE'S NO PATHWAY

11:46:37 LIGHTING FOR THOSE BOARDWALKS.
11:46:41 THE BOARDWALKS WOULD REQUIRE LIGHTING, WOULD THEY NOT?
11:46:45 OKAY.
11:46:45 SO THAT'S AN ADDITIONAL COST THAT WOULD GO WITH THE
11:46:48 BOARDWALKS.
11:46:49 >>Ryan Bell: 783, WHAT I HAVE THERE IN NUMBER ONE, THAT
11:46:53 ASSUMES THAT WE'RE DOING SEPARATE LIGHTING FOR THE PATHWAY
11:46:58 ITSELF.
11:46:59 NOW, WITH THE BOARDWALK, BECAUSE IT'S GOING THROUGH NATURAL
11:47:02 AREAS IT LIKELY WOULD NOT BE ON POLES.
11:47:05 IT WOULD BE PROBABLY LOWER LEVEL, EMBEDDED INTO THE
11:47:08 BOARDWALK ITSELF, BUT, YES, THAT WOULD BE INCLUDED IN THE
11:47:12 783 IN ITEM NUMBER 1.
11:47:15 >>Joanne Ribble: OH, IT IS INCLUDED.
11:47:16 >>Ryan Bell: YES.
11:47:17 >>Joanne Ribble: I THOUGHT SOMEWHERE I READ THE BOARD
11:47:19 LIGHTING WAS NOT INCLUDED.
11:47:21 OKAY.
11:47:25 >>Jim Ward: YOU INITIALLY DESCRIBED THIS, I THINK, AS
11:47:28 PREMIUM OPTIONS TO THE PATHWAY DEVELOPMENT THAT WE ARE
11:47:31 LOOKING AT.
11:47:33 IS THERE A BASE COST THAT YOU'RE LOOKING AT IN TERMS OF THE
11:47:37 SIDEWALKS AND PATHWAYS AND THESE ARE PREMIUMS TO THAT?
11:47:42 >>Ryan Bell: YES.
11:47:43 DAVID CAN EXPAND IF HE WANTS.

11:47:49 I SAID THESE ARE -- WHAT WE'RE SHOWING ARE, LIKE I SAID,

11:47:53 SOME OPTIONS.
11:47:55 THE BASE COST WE'RE LOOKING AT IF YOU DID NONE OF THE THINGS
11:47:58 IS CLOSER TO THE \$4 MILLION FOR THE PATHWAY AND THE LIGHTING
11:48:08 ITSELF.
11:48:08 THE WILDCARD HERE IS THE LANDSCAPING.
11:48:14 LANDSCAPING PRICES CAN RANGE.
11:48:15 I CAN DO FIVE TREES.
11:48:18 I CAN DO 500 TREES.
11:48:19 SO THAT ONE IS REALLY AT YOUR DISCRETION HOW MUCH --
11:48:25 >>Jim Ward: MY POINT IS WHEN I ORIGINALLY WENT THROUGH THIS
11:48:27 DISCUSSION WITH DAVID, THERE WERE TWO BASIC PROPOSITIONS.
11:48:30 ONE WAS A BASIC COST OF DOING SIDEWALKS AND PATHWAYS AND
11:48:36 THEN THERE WAS THE ADDITIONAL PREMIUM OPTION THAT IS LIKELY
11:48:43 TO BE SIX MILLION DOLLARS IN EXCESS OF THE BASE COST, IF I'M
11:48:48 UNDERSTANDING CORRECTLY.
11:48:51 >>David Willems: YEAH, WHEN WE KIND OF MADE THE CONCEPT OF
11:48:55 THIS PROJECT, WE CREATED A BUDGET THAT INCLUDED BASICALLY
11:48:58 THE PATHWAYS ALONG THE RIGHT-OF-WAY ALL THE WAY DOWN THE
11:49:01 ROADWAY, WHICH WOULD BE A TEN-FOOT PATH THE ENTIRE WAY.
11:49:04 IF WE WANTED TO DO SOMETHING IN EXCESS OF THAT, SOMETHING
11:49:08 THAT MAY BE BETTER THAN THAT, THAT'S WHAT THESE COSTS.
11:49:11 >>Jim Ward: SO TO UNDERSTAND THESE OPTIONS, THESE PREMIUM
11:49:14 OPTIONS THAT YOU'RE PROPOSING, YOU'D HAVE TO SUPERIMPOSE
11:49:17 THESE COSTS ON TOP OF A BASE COST.

11:49:20 >>David Willems: WELL, WE HAVE -- COUNCIL IN THE LAST C.I.P.
11:49:25 APPROVED BASICALLY \$11 MILLION FOR THE PROJECT WITH 3
11:49:30 MILLION COMING FROM THE COUNTY AS REIMBURSEMENT PART OF THE
11:49:34 INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT.
11:49:36 SO DEPENDING ON WHAT LANDSCAPING WE DO, IF WE DO EVERYTHING
11:49:40 THAT WAS INCLUDED IN THAT ORIGINAL ESTIMATE, WE WOULD BE
11:49:43 ADDING THESE COSTS TO THAT 11 MILLION.
11:49:53 >>Vice-Mayor McLain: DAVID, WHEN I'M LOOKING AT THE SLIDE
11:49:54 THAT'S UP THERE RIGHT NOW, YOU'VE GOT THE GREEN PATHWAY.
11:49:57 IS THAT GREEN PATHWAY, THAT'S OFF THE CURB OF THE ROAD.
11:50:02 THAT'S SET BACK ALREADY.
11:50:04 >>David Willems: YES.
11:50:05 >>Vice-Mayor McLain: THE WALKWAY IS GOING TO BE IN ADDITION
11:50:06 TO THAT.
11:50:08 COULD YOU EXTEND THE GREEN LINE BACK FROM THE ROAD WHERE
11:50:14 IT'S SAFE TO WALK, SAFETY ISSUE AND THE LIKE?
11:50:19 >>David Willems: SO IN THIS LOCATION, LIKELY NOT.
11:50:21 THE REASON IS THAT THIS IS WHERE THE WILDLIFE CROSSING IS,
11:50:25 SO THERE IS ACTUALLY A BRIDGE THAT -- SO THEY ARE REQUIRED
11:50:30 TO BUILD A BRIDGE THAT HAS AN 8-FOOT CLEARANCE UNDERNEATH
11:50:34 IT.
11:50:35 THE BRIDGE IS SO HIGH AND THE RIGHT-OF-WAY IS SO LIMITED,
11:50:38 THE SIDEWALK WOULD BE RIGHT ON THAT CURB AT THAT LOCATION.

11:50:43 >>Vice-Mayor McLain: IS THIS THE ONLY LOCATION WHERE YOU
11:50:45 HAVE THAT KIND OF SITUATION?

11:50:47 >>David Willems: I BELIEVE SO.
11:50:49 >>Vice-Mayor McLain: I THINK REALLY ATTRACTIVE, PRETTY HIGH
11:50:52 PRICE TAG TO THEM.
11:50:53 THE OTHER CONCERN I HAVE WITH SOME OF THESE WALKWAYS, ONE BY
11:50:58 THE PRESERVE AT CORKSCREW IS THE SAFETY ELEMENT FOR THESE
11:51:04 WALKWAYS.
11:51:05 THEY ARE QUITE A WAYS AWAY FROM THE ROAD.
11:51:07 IF YOU'RE WALKING IN THE EVENING OR CHILDREN ARE OUT THERE,
11:51:11 IT'S NOT GOING TO BE VISIBLE FROM THE ROAD.
11:51:14 SO I THINK FROM A PUBLIC STANDPOINT THERE COULD BE SOME
11:51:19 ISSUES FOR US MAKING THESE KIND OF WALKWAYS AND THESE PUBLIC
11:51:23 TRANSPORTS.
11:51:24 BUT I THINK HE INDICATED, IN THIS PARTICULAR SITE, I DON'T
11:51:28 THINK THAT LEE COUNTY COULD PUT A STANDARD WALKWAY ALONG
11:51:33 THERE WITH THE WILD GAME THING GOING ON UNDERNEATH THERE.
11:51:37 >>David Willems: I BELIEVE THE PLANS HAD A TEN-FOOT PATH
11:51:41 RIGHT ALONG THE BACK OF CURB THROUGH THAT LOCATION.
11:51:43 SO WHAT THE ANSWER COULD BE IS IF WE WANTED TO DO ONE OR TWO
11:51:46 OF THESE BOARDWALKS, AS RYAN KIND OF ALLUDED TO, IS YOU
11:51:50 COULD HAVE A PATH THAT IS RIGHT ALONG THE ROAD SO IF PEOPLE
11:51:55 -- RIGHT, MAYBE THE BOARDWALK GETS CLOSED AT NIGHT.
11:51:59 THERE'S DIFFERENT WAYS YOU COULD DO IT.
11:52:01 WE'RE LOOKING FOR DIRECTION FROM COUNCIL WHETHER WE WANT TO
11:52:03 CONSIDER THE BOARDWALKS OR NOT CONSIDER, WHICH BOARDWALKS TO
11:52:07 CONSIDER.

11:52:09 >>Mayor Errington: ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
11:52:11 >>Joanne Ribble: ARE YOU EXPECTING US TO MAKE THIS DECISION
11:52:13 NOW?
11:52:14 IS THAT WHAT YOU'RE ASKING US TO DO?
11:52:16 >>David Willems: THAT WOULD BE IDEAL SO THAT WE CAN MOVE
11:52:18 FORWARD WITH THE DESIGN.
11:52:19 WE'RE WAITING ON THIS TO REALLY GET FORWARD BECAUSE WE
11:52:24 REALLY NEED TO KNOW WHERE THE PATH IS BEFORE WE CAN EVEN
11:52:26 START THE DESIGN FOR THE LIGHTING AND EVEN THE LANDSCAPING.
11:52:30 >>Mayor Errington: COULD YOU ELABORATE ON THE CULVERTS FOR
11:52:35 THE ANIMALS?
11:52:36 I KNOW THAT WILD BLUE HAS ONE.
11:52:38 ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT ANOTHER ONE?
11:52:40 >>David Willems: SO WILD BLUE IS LOCATED DIRECTLY NORTH OF
11:52:44 THIS LOCATION.
11:52:46 SO THEY HAVE WILDLIFE CROSSINGS THAT CONNECT AND GET TO THIS
11:52:52 LOCATION WHERE THERE'S A LARGE BRIDGE THAT IS GOING TO ALLOW
11:52:57 WILDLIFE TO GO UNDERNEATH THE ROAD INSTEAD OF HAVING TO GO
11:52:59 OVER IT, IS BASICALLY --

11:53:01 >>Mayor Errington: IS THAT WHAT THE WILDLIFE COMING THROUGH
11:53:04 HERE WOULD BE EXPECTED TO GO THROUGH THAT?
11:53:06 >>David Willems: YES.
11:53:07 THERE IS A WILDLIFE CROSSING AND THEN ACTUALLY TWO LARGE BOX
11:53:10 CULVERTS, AND THOSE BOX CULVERTS ARE REALLY MORE INTENDED
11:53:13 FOR STORMWATER.

11:53:14 THERE WILL BE STORMWATER THROUGH THE --
11:53:17 >>Mayor Errington: ANIMALS GO THROUGH THAT?
11:53:19 >>David Willems: THEY COULD.
11:53:19 THEY COULD.
11:53:20 IT'S GOING TO BE MUCH MORE INVITING TO GO THROUGH THE BRIDGE
11:53:22 BECAUSE IT'S NOT JUST A CORRIDOR.
11:53:24 YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE A BRIDGE, THEN AN OPENING, THEN A
11:53:27 BRIDGE.
11:53:28 >>Mayor Errington: I AGREE WITH THE VICE-MAYOR ON SAFETY.
11:53:32 I'M A LITTLE CONCERNED, IT'S SET BACK SO FAR.
11:53:37 IT IS A CONCERN.
11:53:39 IT'S ALSO VERY EXPENSIVE.
11:53:42 EVERYTHING IS VERY EXPENSIVE.
11:53:44 ARE WE LOOKING AT DOING ANYTHING JUST WITH -- YOU SAY A
11:53:48 PATHWAY IS A CONCRETE WALK?
11:53:50 IS THAT WHAT A PATHWAY IS?
11:53:52 TEN FEET?
11:53:53 >>David Willems: RIGHT NOW, WE'RE CONSIDERING ASPHALT WITH
11:53:57 THE 10-FOOT WIDTH.
11:53:59 RIGHT NOW THINKING ASPHALT.
11:54:00 >>Mayor Errington: THAT IS CONSIDERED NOT A SIDEWALK BUT A
11:54:02 PATHWAY.
11:54:03 >>David Willems: CORRECT.
11:54:04 >>Mayor Errington: THEN WE'RE LOOKING AT LANDSCAPING THE
11:54:08 SIDE.

11:54:09 DO WE HAVE AN OPTION OF COMPLETING IT WITH JUST THE PATHWAYS
11:54:14 AND THE LANDSCAPING AND THEN MAKE THIS IN THE FUTURE THE
11:54:19 BOARDWALKS?
11:54:20 >>David Willems: ABSOLUTELY.
11:54:21 I THINK THAT IS A DEFINITE ALTERNATIVE.
11:54:22 >>Mayor Errington: THAT IS A TREMENDOUS AMOUNT OF MONEY ON
11:54:25 OUR --
11:54:26 >>David Willems: YEAH, IF WE BUILT THE PATHWAY ALL ALONG THE
11:54:28 ROADWAY, IT DOES NOT PRECLUDE US FROM COMING BACK AND DOING
11:54:31 A BOARDWALK.
11:54:32 >>Mayor Errington: WE'RE OBLIGATED TO PUT IN LIGHTS,
11:54:34 CORRECT?
11:54:35 SO WE SAID WE WOULD.
11:54:37 STREETLIGHTS.
11:54:37 >>David Willems: YES.

11:54:39 >>Mayor Errington: IF WE HAVE PATHWAYS WITH SHRUBBERY AND --
11:54:42 NOT AS ELABORATE AS ESTERO PARKWAY, BUT COMPARABLE, AM I
11:54:46 HEARING YOU RIGHT?
11:54:47 >>David Willems: YEAH, IT WILL FIT INTO THE CONTEXT OF THAT
11:54:50 AREA, ABSOLUTELY.
11:54:51 >>Mayor Errington: THEN AT A FUTURE DATE WE HAVE THE OPTION
11:54:54 OF START DOING THESE BOARDWALKS.
11:54:57 >>David Willems: YEAH, ANOTHER -- SO IF COUNCIL WANTED TO
11:55:00 MOVE THE PATHWAY FORWARD, TRY TO GET THE PATHWAY MAYBE
11:55:05 CLOSER TO THE SAME TIME FRAME OF WHAT THE ROADWAY IS, THAT

11:55:08 MAY BE DIFFICULT IF WE SELECTED SOME OF THESE BOARDWALK
11:55:11 OPTIONS, ESPECIALLY THIS ONE HERE, IS GOING TO BE VERY
11:55:15 COMPLICATED TO PERMIT BECAUSE IT'S ALREADY -- IT WOULD HAVE
11:55:18 TO GO THROUGH A WETLAND THAT'S ALREADY UNDERNEATH THE
11:55:21 CONSERVATION EASEMENT.
11:55:22 SO WE'D HAVE TO WORK WITH THE WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT TO
11:55:25 FIRST GET THAT CONSERVATION EASEMENT MODIFIED TO ALLOW THE
11:55:28 BOARDWALK TO BE BUILT, AND THEN WE'D HAVE TO WORK ON THE
11:55:31 DESIGN AND THEN MITIGATE FOR THOSE IMPACTS.
11:55:35 IT COULD BE A YEAR OR TWO JUST TO DO THAT.
11:55:37 >>Mayor Errington: SOUNDS LIKE A LONG TIME.
11:55:40 >>David Willems: RIGHT.
11:55:41 >>Jim Boesch: I WOULD LIKE TO REMIND THE COUNCIL -- ESTERO
11:55:45 PARKWAY.
11:55:45 HOPE TO USE THE SAME STANDARDS IN THIS PARTICULAR
11:55:52 CONSTRUCTION PROCESS AS WE DID ON THE ESTERO PARKWAY.
11:55:56 AND THEY HAD McADAM PARKWAY AND ESTERO PARKWAY AND
11:56:02 ELIMINATED AND PUT IN THE UPSCALE PATHWAYS AND SO FORTH.
11:56:09 THOSE ARE THE SAME GOALS WE'RE LOOKING TO ACCOMPLISH ON
11:56:11 CORKSCREW ROAD AS COMPARED.
11:56:13 SO IF YOU WANT TO -- GO OVER TO ESTERO PARKWAY AND THEN
11:56:20 THINK ABOUT THE SAME IMAGE LOOKING.
11:56:25 NOT GOING TO PUT SIDEWALKS ALONG THE ROAD CORKSCREW ROAD
11:56:29 BECAUSE IT'S TOO DANGEROUS.
11:56:30 GO TAKE A LOOK AT STONEYBROOK AND SEE WHERE THE SIDEWALK IS

11:56:34 TODAY AND YOU'LL SEE THE DANGER PUTTING THE SIDEWALK THERE
11:56:38 COMPARED TO DOING WHAT WE DID ON ESTERO PARKWAY.
11:56:43 PLEASE KEEP THAT IN MIND.
11:56:46 THAT'S HOW WE STARTED THE CONCEPT OF BUILDING ROADS IN
11:56:50 ESTERO.
11:56:52 >>Mayor Errington: JON.
11:56:53 >>Vice-Mayor McLain: I THINK THIS IS ALMOST A NO-BRAINER AT
11:56:55 THIS POINT.
11:56:56 I THINK WHAT YOU'VE SAID IS WE CAN DO WALKWAYS AWAY FROM THE
11:57:02 ROADWAY, TEN-FOOT PATHWAYS ON BOTH SIDES.
11:57:05 IT WILL CUT DOWN THE NOISE.

11:57:07 IT WILL ACTUALLY BE BETTER THAN ESTERO PARKWAY THAT HAS
11:57:10 SIX-FOOT PATHWAYS.
11:57:11 THESE ARE TEN-FOOT PATHWAYS.
11:57:13 YOU CAN COORDINATE THAT HOPEFULLY WITH THE LEE COUNTY
11:57:18 TIMETABLE, AND I THINK WITH COVID-19 AND THE CONSTRUCTION
11:57:22 COSTS AND ALL THESE THINGS, THAT THE BEAUTIFICATION AREAS
11:57:29 WITH THE BOARDWALKS AND THE LIKE, I THINK WE SHOULD DELAY
11:57:33 THOSE BECAUSE OF THE TIMING DIFFICULTIES, BECAUSE OF THE
11:57:36 COST, UNCERTAIN COST THAT COULD BE THERE.
11:57:38 OBVIOUSLY, TEN-FOOT PATHWAYS IN, AND WE DO THE LANDSCAPING
11:57:44 APPROPRIATELY TO OUR STANDARDS THAT WE INCORPORATED.
11:57:49 >>Joanne Ribble: YEAH, I AGREE WITH YOU, JON.
11:57:51 I THINK SIX AND A HALF MILLION DOLLARS RIGHT NOW WITH
11:57:54 ADDITIONAL COSTS, THAT WE MAY EXPECT IN JUST THE BASIC

11:57:58 PROJECT IS A LITTLE BIT TOO MUCH.
11:58:00 AND I AM WITH YOU.
11:58:02 I WOULD PREFER TO SEE THE TEN-FOOT PATHWAYS DONE AND THEN IN
11:58:06 THE FUTURE POSSIBLY PUTTING IN BOARDWALKS, ALTHOUGH I DO
11:58:10 SHARE THE SAME CONCERN OF SAFETY WITH SOME OF THE PLACES
11:58:14 THESE BOARDWALKS WILL BE OUT.
11:58:16 AND ALSO, THEY ARE GOING INTO CONSERVATION AREAS.
11:58:20 THAT MEANS POSSIBLY SOME HABITATS MIGHT BE DISTURBED.
11:58:23 DO WE REALLY WANT TO DO THAT? LET THEM STAY NATURAL, LET
11:58:28 THEM STAY AS CONSERVATION AREAS INSTEAD OF DISTURBING THEM.
11:58:32 >>Mayor Errington: ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
11:58:33 JIM.
11:58:36 >>Jim Wilson: DOES YOUR OFFICE HAVE INPUT FROM GRANDEZZA AND
11:58:39 WILDCAT RUN AND THE PRESERVE AT CORKSCREW ON THESE PROPOSED
11:58:42 PROJECTS?
11:58:43 HAVE THEY ALREADY SEEN?
11:58:44 >>David Willems: YES.
11:58:45 WE HAVE MET WITH EVERY COMMUNITY TWICE.
11:58:48 FIRST AT THE BEGINNING, BEFORE WE REALLY EVEN HAD CONCEPTS.
11:58:52 AND THEN WE MET WITH THEM AGAIN TO SHOW THEM BASICALLY THE
11:58:55 EXACT LAYOUTS THAT YOU'RE SEEING HERE.
11:58:57 EVERYBODY WAS GENERALLY IN AGREEMENT.
11:58:59 SOME OF THEM, I MEAN, THEY REALLY LIKED WHAT WE'RE
11:59:03 PROPOSING.
11:59:04 NOBODY REALLY SAID, NO, WE'RE NOT GOING TO PROVIDE --

11:59:06 BECAUSE REALLY THE COORDINATION IS NOT ONLY TO LET THEM KNOW
11:59:09 WHAT WE'RE DOING, IN A LOT OF CASES, WE'RE GOING TO WANT
11:59:13 EASEMENTS FROM THEM IN ORDER TO BE ABLE TO BUILD THE
11:59:16 FACILITIES.
11:59:16 WHAT WE WANTED TO DO IS MAKE SURE WE'RE GOING TO GET THE
11:59:19 EASEMENTS WITHOUT HAVING TO BUY THOSE EASEMENTS AND
11:59:21 EVERYBODY SEEMED TO BE WILLING TO DO THAT.

11:59:25 >>Mayor Errington: STEVE.
11:59:26 >>Steven Sarkozy: A COUPLE OF IDEAS HERE.
11:59:29 WE FULLY UNDERSTAND THAT THERE'S A CONCERN WITH COSTS.
11:59:32 ONE OF THE SEGMENTS HERE GENERALLY THE BOARDWALK NUMBER
11:59:38 THREE OPTION HAS AN EXTENSION FROM THE WEST -- I'M SORRY,
11:59:44 THE FAR EAST ENTRANCE INTO GRANDEZZA AT SAVONA GOING ALL THE
11:59:51 WAY OUT TO WILD BLUE.
11:59:53 THAT REALLY DOESN'T HAVE A CONNECTION HERE FOR ESTERO
11:59:56 RESIDENTS BECAUSE THE FOLKS AT SAVONA COULD GET ON AT THE
12:00:00 BOARDWALK AND GO WEST OR ON THE WALKWAY AND GO WEST.
12:00:05 I WOULD THINK THAT WE COULD ELIMINATE THAT WHOLE SEGMENT FOR
12:00:10 THE TIME BEING AND AT A LATER DATE COME IN AND DO THAT PIECE
12:00:17 WHEN WE'RE ABLE TO WORK WITH WILD BLUE.
12:00:21 THAT'S ONE SUGGESTION I WOULD MAKE, AND THAT WOULD BE A WAY
12:00:27 OF SIMPLY ELIMINATING THAT FROM THIS PROJECT ELEMENT.
12:00:32 THE OTHER PIECE IS THAT WE'VE TAKEN THE PRINCIPLE OF MOVING
12:00:38 THE SIDEWALKS AS FAR BACK AS WE CAN, AND IN ORDER TO
12:00:42 MAINTAIN THAT PRINCIPLE, I THINK THAT WE'D WORK IN ALL AREAS

12:00:51 BY REDUCING THE BOARDWALKS WITH THE EXCEPTION OF THE ONE
12:00:55 BOARDWALK NUMBER 4 BECAUSE THAT'S AT THE CURVE, AND IF WE
12:01:01 PUT A TEN-FOOT SIDEWALK AT THE BACK OF THE CURB, THAT
12:01:12 REPRESENTS A DEVIATION FROM THE PRINCIPLE AND THE SITUATION
12:01:14 WHERE YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE WALKERS RIGHT AT THE BACK OF THE
12:01:18 CURB AT THAT CURVE IN THE ROADWAY.
12:01:23 I THINK THAT IS PROBABLY NOT A GOOD DESIGN ALTERNATIVE
12:01:29 BECAUSE IT'S A SAFETY RISK, MORE SO THAN OTHER AREAS.
12:01:34 MAYBE THERE'S A WAY OF REDUCING THAT BOARDWALK NUMBER FOUR.
12:01:38 REMEMBER, THAT'S THE TEN FOOT BACK OF CURB IS GOING TO BE UP
12:01:45 EIGHT FEET OVER THE CONSERVATION AREA.
12:01:50 AND I DON'T THINK THAT'S -- THAT'S NOT GOING TO HAVE A GOOD
12:01:55 LOOK AND IT'S NOT GOING TO HAVE THE RIGHT FEEL FOR THE REST
12:01:57 OF THE AREA.
12:01:58 SO THE THIRD THING I'D LIKE TO MENTION IS THAT WE'VE TALKED
12:02:05 ABOUT THIS BEING A PROJECT THAT COULD BE ELIGIBLE UNDER THE
12:02:10 RECOVERY ACT AND HAVING A BIG CHUNK OF THAT MONEY OR THESE
12:02:18 COSTS COVERED BY THE FEDERAL GRANTS AND STILL GET TO WHERE
12:02:23 WE WANT TO BE IN TERMS OF THE QUALITY OF THE OVERALL
12:02:26 PROJECT.
12:02:28 >>Mayor Errington: YOU SAID TO ELIMINATE BOARDWALK NUMBER 3.
12:02:31 >>Steven Sarkozy: POSTPONE IT AT THIS TIME.
12:02:33 >>Mayor Errington: NOT ELIMINATE.
12:02:34 BUT POSTPONE IT.
12:02:38 >>Steven Sarkozy: MAINTAIN THE PRINCIPLE --

12:02:39 >>Mayor Errington: -- ON THE SAFETY OF BOARDWALK NUMBER 4,
12:02:43 HOW -- YOU FEEL LIKE THAT --
12:02:47 >>Steven Sarkozy: YEAH, REMEMBER THAT THAT SEGMENT OF THE

12:02:50 ROAD IS GOING TO BE EIGHT FEET IN THE AIR TO PROVIDE FOR THE
12:02:56 UNDERCROSSING.
12:03:00 >>Mayor Errington: I WAS THINKING MORE PEDESTRIAN SAFETY,
12:03:02 TOO.
12:03:02 >>Steven Sarkozy: YOU'RE GOING TO BE GOING UP AS YOU'RE
12:03:05 GOING EASTBOUND.
12:03:06 YOU'LL HAVE A TEN-FOOT PATHWAY ON THE OUTSIDE EDGE, AND THEN
12:03:10 IT WILL DROP OFF INTO THE PRESERVE.
12:03:12 THAT DOESN'T HAVE THE RIGHT FEEL.
12:03:15 >>Mayor Errington: I AGREE.
12:03:16 IT DOESN'T FEEL GOOD.
12:03:17 >>Steven Sarkozy: SO MAYBE THERE'S A WAY OF REWORKING THIS
12:03:20 SO BOARDWALK NUMBER 4 IS A LITTLE LESS COSTLY BUT STILL
12:03:26 ADHERES TO OUR PRINCIPLES.
12:03:31 >>Vice-Mayor McLain: I'M OKAY WITH THAT.
12:03:41 >>Jim Wilson: IN TERMS OF THE FINANCE, WE'RE REMINDED IN OUR
12:03:44 AGENDA DESCRIPTION THAT LEE COUNTY WOULD REIMBURSE THE
12:03:46 VILLAGE FOR THE COSTS THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN INCURRED BY THE
12:03:46 COUNTY IF THE COUNTY HAD INSTALLED THE IMPROVEMENTS TO
12:03:50 COUNTY STANDARDS.
12:03:52 AND YOU FOLKS ARE TELLING US THAT THAT'S TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT
12:03:56 IN YOUR BUDGET?

12:03:58 >>David Willems: SO, YEAH.
12:04:00 IF YOU LOOK AT THE C.I.P., THE TOTAL PROJECT WE ESTIMATED
12:04:04 WAS 11, AND 3 MILLION OF THAT IS COMING FROM -- WOULD BE
12:04:08 COMING FROM LEE COUNTY, SO OUR NET IS 8.
12:04:20 >>Jim Ward: THERE'S A LOT TO UNPACK HERE.
12:04:22 ESSENTIALLY, I'M IN FAVOR OF DOING A PROJECT THAT IS
12:04:25 CONSISTENT WITH WHAT WE DID ON ESTERO PARKWAY AND THE BEAUTY
12:04:28 OF WHAT WE DID ON ESTERO PARKWAY.
12:04:31 THESE BOARDWALKS ADD SIX AND A HALF MILLION DOLLARS OF COST
12:04:35 TO THE BASE COST OF THE PROJECT.
12:04:38 MY PREFERENCE WOULD HAVE BEEN TO HAVE LAID OUT IN FRONT OF
12:04:41 US THE COST OF THE BASIC PROJECT AND THEN A COST OF THESE HE
12:04:46 INCREMENTAL PROJECTS, THESE INCREMENTAL BOARDWALKS.
12:04:50 WHEN YOU AND I SAT A COUPLE OF WEEKS AGO AND WE WENT THROUGH
12:04:52 THIS, IT TOOK ME THROUGH THE BASE COST OF WHAT THE SIDEWALKS
12:04:56 AND PATHWAYS WOULD BE, TAKING FROM I GUESS BEN HILL GRIFFIN
12:05:06 ALL THE WAY OUT.
12:05:07 THEN WE LOOKED AT THE INCREMENTAL COST.
12:05:09 THE INCREMENTAL COST WAS STAGGERING AS COMPARED TO THE BASE
12:05:14 COST.
12:05:15 SOMEHOW, WE HAVEN'T SEEN THAT COMPARATIVE TODAY.
12:05:19 I'M A LITTLE CONCERNED ABOUT THAT.
12:05:21 I JUST THINK IN THIS ENVIRONMENT, ADDING SIX AND A HALF
12:05:28 MILLION DOLLARS OF COST TO WHAT WE ALREADY HAVE AS A BASE
12:05:32 COST IS BEYOND WHAT I THINK IS NECESSARY.

12:05:34 I'M COMMITTED TO A NICE PROJECT THAT MIMICS WHAT WE DID ON
12:05:39 ESTERO PARKWAY, BUT I THINK THIS IS GOING BEYOND WHAT IS
12:05:44 NECESSARY, AND I THINK BASED ON THE COMMENTS OF OTHER
12:05:50 COUNCIL MEMBERS, THE COUNCIL PEOPLE, THERE ARE OTHER
12:05:53 CONCERNS RELATED TO SAFETY AND ENVIRONMENTAL.
12:05:58 >>Mayor Errington: ANYONE ELSE HAVE ANY COMMENTS?
12:06:01 >>Joanne Ribble: WHAT ABOUT THE BERMS?
12:06:02 DO YOU WANT TO DISCUSS THE BERM OPTIONS?
12:06:07 >>David Willems: IT MIGHT BE GOOD TO GO THROUGH EACH ONE.
12:06:09 THE FIRST ONE IS WHERE WE WOULD, THE LIGHTING.
12:06:14 I THINK WE PROBABLY NEED TO PROVIDE THAT IF WE'RE GOING TO
12:06:16 DETACH IT FROM THE ROADWAY, WE WANT TO PROVIDE SUFFICIENT
12:06:19 LIGHTING.
12:06:19 I'M ASSUMING THAT IS WHAT COUNCIL WOULD WANT TO DO.
12:06:29 >> YEAH.
12:06:29 >> AGREE.
12:06:30 >>David Willems: THE SECOND ONE IS, WOULD WE WANT TO DO THE
12:06:33 SCENARIO WHERE WE PUT THE PATHWAY ON THE GRANDEZZA BERM?
12:06:38 NOW, WE STILL HAVE DETAILS TO WORK OUT WITH GRANDEZZA.
12:06:41 THEY COULD END UP AND NOT WANT US TO DO IT.
12:06:43 AS OF RIGHT NOW, THEY SEEMED OPEN TO IT.
12:06:46 THAT WOULD BE POSSIBLY HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLARS TO DO THAT.
12:06:51 IN THAT CASE, YOU WOULDN'T HAVE THE ISSUES THAT YOU WOULD
12:06:53 HAVE WITH THE BOARDWALK IN THAT YOU WOULD SEE THE PATHWAY
12:06:56 FROM THE ROADWAY.

12:06:57 IT WOULDN'T BE HIDDEN.
12:06:59 >>Vice-Mayor McLain: IN THAT AREA YOU COULD PUT A TEN FOOT
12:07:02 PATHWAY NOT ON THE BERM BUT AWAY FROM THE ROAD.
12:07:05 >>David Willems: YES, ABSOLUTELY.
12:07:06 >>Vice-Mayor McLain: WHAT DOES THE BERM ACTUALLY ACCOMPLISH?
12:07:11 >>David Willems: WHAT WE THINK IT DOES IS IT CREATES A
12:07:14 UNIQUE AREA, THAT, REALLY, IF YOU ARE GOING AROUND ESTERO OR
12:07:18 ANYWHERE IN SOUTHWEST FLORIDA, THERE ARE VERY FEW PATHS THAT
12:07:21 GO UP AND DOWN.
12:07:22 EVERYTHING IS VERY, VERY FLAT.
12:07:24 THIS WOULD GIVE US THE ABILITY TO CREATE SOMETHING UNIQUE
12:07:26 WHERE WE WOULD BE ABLE TO GO UP ON THE BERM AND KIND OF
12:07:29 MEANDER UP AND DOWN THE BERM.
12:07:31 THAT'S REALLY THE REASON TO DO IT.
12:07:32 >>Mayor Errington: IS THAT A THREE-FOOT BERM?
12:07:35 >>David Willems: NO, THAT BERM IS PROBABLY 20 OR 30 FEET.
12:07:39 IT'S HUGE.
12:07:42 >>Joanne Ribble: I WOULD IMAGINE PUSHING A STROLLER UP AND
12:07:44 DOWN IS NOT WHAT --
12:07:46 >>David Willems: WE WOULD STILL -- THE BERM, WHATEVER PATH
12:07:49 WE DO WOULD STILL NEED TO MEET ADA REQUIREMENTS.

12:07:53 YOU WOULDN'T HAVE A REALLY, REALLY STEEP PATH, BUT IT WOULD
12:07:56 GO UP NICELY AND COME UP AND DOWN.
12:07:57 >>Joanne Ribble: I KNOW THAT OPTION THREE THERE TENDS TO
12:08:00 KEEP ALL THE TREES.

12:08:01 THE OTHER TWO OPTIONS, THE HIGHER UP YOU GO, THE MORE TREES
12:08:05 YOU HAVE TO REMOVE.
12:08:06 OPTION THREE RETAINS THE TREES.
12:08:12 >> OPTION TWO, DOES THE DITCH STAY A DITCH?
12:08:19 >>David Willems: YES.
12:08:20 BUT THERE WOULD BE A WALL.
12:08:21 THE REASON THE COST IS VERY SIMILAR IS THERE IS A WALL ON
12:08:24 THE OUTSIDE TO HOLD THE BERM UP SO THAT WE DON'T HAVE TO DO
12:08:28 THE PIPE.
12:08:31 >>Jim Wilson: DOESN'T THE PIPE OFFER SOME INTERESTING
12:08:33 POTENTIAL IN TERMS OF WATER FLOW AND FLOODING AND ALL THE
12:08:38 ISSUES?
12:08:40 >>David Willems: THE FLOOD IMPROVEMENT WOULD PROBABLY BE
12:08:42 VERY SIMILAR.
12:08:43 THE ADVANTAGE WOULD BE, AS YOU KNOW, IT GETS FULL OF
12:08:48 VEGETATION.
12:08:49 ONCE YOU PUT IN THE PIPE -- RIGHT, YOU DON'T HAVE THE SAME
12:08:54 MAINTENANCE ISSUE WITH THE VEGETATION IF IT IS A PIPE.
12:08:59 >>Mayor Errington: ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
12:09:02 >>Jim Ward: STEVE, WE COULD STILL USE THE COVID FUNDS TO DO
12:09:06 THE BASE PROJECT AS WELL?
12:09:10 >>Steven Sarkozy: WE THINK SO, YES.
12:09:11 >>Jim Ward: THANK YOU.
12:09:12 >>David Willems: JUST IN CLOSING, JUST TO GET CONSENSUS, THE
12:09:16 FIRST TWO LOOK LIKE SOMETHING THAT WE WANT TO MOVE FORWARD

12:09:20 WITH, WHICH IS THE LIGHTING AND THE GRANDEZZA BERM.
12:09:25 >>Vice-Mayor McLain: I'M OKAY WITH THAT, AS LONG AS WE
12:09:27 UNDERSTAND THE FULL COST OF THIS WHOLE THING.
12:09:29 >>David Willems: DO WE WANT TO DO ANY OF THEM OR ONE OF THEM
12:09:33 OR HOW DOES THE COUNCIL WANT TO MOVE FORWARD -- AND KEEP IN
12:09:36 MIND THAT JUST BECAUSE A DECISION IS MADE TODAY, WE'RE NOT
12:09:39 SIGNING A CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT.
12:09:42 IF WE GET THE COSTS BACK AND IT'S EVEN HIGHER THAN WE
12:09:45 THOUGHT OR SOMETHING, THERE'S STILL TIME TO PULL THINGS OUT.
12:09:47 WE'RE NOT GOING TO BUILD IT YET.
12:09:49 WE STILL HAVE A LOT OF DESIGN TO DO.
12:09:51 >>Mayor Errington: I THINK WHAT I'M HEARING FROM COUNCIL IS
12:09:53 THAT WE WOULD LIKE TO SEE IT PRESENTED IN DIFFERENT OPTIONS
12:09:58 NOW.
12:09:59 YOU'VE HEARD WHAT THE COUNCIL HAS SAID.
12:10:05 BECAUSE OF CONSTRUCTION COSTS RIGHT NOW, WE'RE KIND OF NOT
12:10:09 GOING TOWARD BOARDWALKS, BUT NOT AT THE PRESENT TIME, BUT IF

12:10:14 WE COULD GET ANY KIND OF MONEY FROM THE GOVERNMENT TO DO
12:10:17 THAT KIND OF A PROJECT, WE'RE ALL FOR IT, IS WHAT I HEARD.
12:10:21 >>David Willems: DOES IT MAKE SENSE THAT MAYBE WHAT WE DO IS
12:10:24 REALLY THE PRESERVE LOCATION IS PROBABLY THE ONE THAT
12:10:27 PROVIDES THE MOST BENEFIT.
12:10:29 >>Joanne Ribble: YES, I AGREE.
12:10:30 >>David Willems: THE QUALITY DOES SUFFER WHEN WE HAVE -- SO
12:10:32 MAYBE WHAT WE LOOK AT IS WE LOOK AT THIS ONE AND SEE IF WE

12:10:36 CAN MAYBE MINIMIZE THE COST.
12:10:38 AND EVEN IF WE START GOING THROUGH THE PERMITTING PROCESS,
12:10:41 IF COUNCIL DECIDES THAT'S NOT -- THAT CAN JUST COME OUT.
12:10:44 BUT MAYBE WE MOVE FORWARD WITH THIS ONE AND THEN COUNCIL
12:10:47 WILL HAVE OTHER CHANCES TO LOOK AT IT AND MAKE THE FINAL
12:10:51 DECISION WHETHER WE GO FORWARD WITH THE CONSTRUCTION OF IT.
12:10:53 >>Mayor Errington: WE DON'T WANT TO ELIMINATE OUR CHANCES OF
12:10:55 GETTING ANY KIND OF FUNDING.
12:10:57 SO WE PUT THOSE IN AS OPTIONS.
12:10:59 >>David Willems: YEAH, BUT WE'RE GOING TO START -- SHORTLY,
12:11:02 WE'RE GOING TO START SPENDING REAL MONEY ON THOSE BECAUSE TO
12:11:05 GET THEM SO THAT WE'RE ABLE TO BUILD IT, WE HAVE TO START
12:11:08 PERMITTING THEM AND THEN ACTUALLY DESIGNING THEM.
12:11:12 >>Mayor Errington: THAT WOULD TAKE YEARS PROBABLY.
12:11:13 >>David Willems: YEAH, BUT TO GET IT GOING, YOU HAVE TO
12:11:15 START.
12:11:16 WE WOULD REALLY NEED TO START SOON SO THAT IN TWO YEARS WE
12:11:19 WOULD BE ABLE TO BUILD IT.
12:11:21 >>Vice-Mayor McLain: WHAT WE'RE SAYING IS GOT TENTATIVE
12:11:22 APPROVAL ON ONE, TWO, AND A RECONFIGURATION OF SIX.
12:11:30 >>David Willems: OKAY.
12:11:33 >>Vice-Mayor McLain: JUST FOR CLARITY ON THIS BECAUSE I'VE
12:11:36 HEARD SOME CONFLICTS HERE.
12:11:38 ONE, WE TALKED ABOUT THE PRESERVE AT CORKSCREW, ELEVATED
12:11:43 ROAD IS GOING TO BE EIGHT FEET OR SO AND YOU'LL HAVE TO WALK

12:11:46 UP AND DOWN.
12:11:48 IF YOU'RE WALKING UP AND DOWN THERE, AND THAT'S AN ISSUE,
12:11:52 WHY WOULDN'T IT BE AN ISSUE AT GRANDEZZA TO HAVE A BERM
12:11:56 WHERE YOU HAVE TO WALK UP AND DOWN?
12:11:58 >>David Willems: THE WALKING UP AND DOWN, THE REASON IT'S AN
12:12:00 ISSUE, YOU'RE WALKING UP AND DOWN RIGHT NEXT TO THE ROADWAY.
12:12:03 IN GRANDEZZA, YOU'LL BE 20, 30, 40 FEET OFF OF THE ROAD.
12:12:07 AND PUTTING IT ON THE BERM DOES, ACTUALLY NOT ONLY GOING UP
12:12:10 AND DOWN, BUT EVEN FURTHER OFF OF THE ROAD.
12:12:14 >>Joanne Ribble: IF WE DO PUT THE BOARDWALK IN AT THE
12:12:19 PRESERVE, THAT ELIMINATES THE GOING UP.
12:12:21 >>David Willems: NO LONGER REQUIRED TO GO UP ALONG THERE,
12:12:25 YES.

12:12:26 >>Joanne Ribble: IF WE WANT TO KEEP THAT ONE PARTICULAR
12:12:28 BOARDWALK OPTION, HOPEFULLY IN A LESS EXPENSIVE DESIGN,
12:12:31 MAYBE REDESIGN IT A LITTLE BIT, YOU WOULDN'T HAVE THAT ISSUE
12:12:36 OF WALKING UP AND DOWN.
12:12:40 >>David Willems: I THINK WE HAVE DIRECTION.
12:12:43 >>Joanne Ribble: WHAT BERM OPTION DOES EVERYBODY AGREE TO
12:12:46 USE IN GRANDEZZA?
12:12:48 THERE ARE THREE OPTIONS?
12:12:49 HOW DO YOU WANT TO PROCEED WITH THAT?
12:12:53 >>David Willems: IF THERE'S ONE OPTION THE COUNCIL REALLY
12:12:55 LIKES, THAT'S GREAT.
12:12:56 BUT THE COSTS WERE SOMEWHAT SIMILAR.

12:12:58 I GUESS WHAT I HAD ENVISIONED IS WE WOULD WORK WITH THE
12:13:01 LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT TO KIND OF FIGURE OUT MAYBE ONE OF
12:13:03 THOSE, MAYBE EVEN TWO OF THEM THAT KIND OF MAKES IT FEEL
12:13:07 DIFFERENT INSTEAD OF JUST HAVING ONE THE WHOLE WAY.
12:13:14 >>Mayor Errington: STEVE?
12:13:15 >>Steven Sarkozy: IT SOUNDS LIKE WE'VE GOT SOME GENERAL
12:13:17 IDEAS ON HOW TO SCALE THIS BACK A BIT.
12:13:20 WE'LL COME BACK WITH SOME ADDITIONAL DETAIL ABOUT THE DESIGN
12:13:25 AS WELL AS THE BUDGET AND NOT JUST THE BUDGET FOR THESE
12:13:28 ADDED ITEMS, BUT THE BUDGET FROM AGGREGATE.
12:13:32 ANOTHER PIECE THAT WOULD BE HELPFUL IS TO GET COUNCIL
12:13:36 CONCURRENCE THAT WE WANT TO DO PIGGYBACKING OR AT LEAST
12:13:40 EXPLORE PIGGYBACKING WITH LEE COUNTY.
12:13:43 AND DAVID, YOU CAN MENTION THAT, HOW FAR HAVE WE GOTTEN IN
12:13:48 THAT CONVERSATION?
12:13:49 >>David Willems: THE SUBJECT HAS JUST BEEN BROUGHT UP TO THE
12:13:52 STAFF OVER AT THE COUNTY.
12:13:54 THEY RECENTLY SENT OVER A COPY OF THE BID SO THAT I COULD
12:13:58 SEE WHAT DIFFERENT ITEMS THEY HAVE.
12:14:00 RYAN AND I JUST SPOKE ABOUT IT.
12:14:03 I THINK IT WAS LATE LAST WEEK OR MAYBE MONDAY OF THIS WEEK,
12:14:07 JUST TO SEE WHICH QUANTITIES WE WOULD BE ABLE TO USE FOR THE
12:14:11 PATH.
12:14:11 THEY HAVE ASPHALT, SUBGRADE, EMBANKMENT.
12:14:15 WE'RE LOOKING INTO DETAILS OF HOW CLOSELY WE WOULD BE ABLE

12:14:18 TO PIGGYBACK ONTO THAT.
12:14:20 IF THERE ARE SOME MINOR ITEMS THAT AREN'T INCLUDED IN THE
12:14:22 ORIGINAL BID, WE CAN ASK FOR ADDITIONAL COST.
12:14:24 WHAT WE DIDN'T WANT TO DO IS GET INTO ASKING FOR LIKE THE
12:14:28 STREETLIGHTS BECAUSE THEN YOU END UP WITH NOT A BID
12:14:32 SITUATION.
12:14:32 WE'RE JUST IN THE PRELIMINARY TO SEE IF IT COULD WORK AND
12:14:36 KIND OF GET A READ ON THE COUNTY IF THEY THOUGHT IT WAS
12:14:39 POSSIBLE.

12:14:41 >>Jim Ward: THE PIGGYBACKING IS BASICALLY ON THE BASE
12:14:44 PROJECT, CORRECT?
12:14:44 NOT ON THE PREMIUM ITEMS?
12:14:49 >>David Willems: YES, YEAH.
12:14:50 IT WOULD BE VERY DIFFICULT.
12:14:51 THE BOARDWALKS WOULDN'T BE IN THE ORIGINAL BID.
12:14:56 >>Jim Ward: THE PREMISE IS WE WOULD LIKELY APPROVE THAT YOU
12:14:59 CAN GO FORWARD WITH PIGGYBACKING BECAUSE IT'S ON THE BASE
12:15:03 PROJECT THAT WE'RE ALREADY COMMITTED TO.
12:15:05 >>Mayor Errington: STEVE.
12:15:07 >>Steven Sarkozy: WELL, WE WOULD USE THE BID, AS I
12:15:11 UNDERSTAND IT, WE WOULD USE THE UNIT BID PRICE FOR THE
12:15:17 COUNTY PROJECT, WHICH HAS THE PATHWAYS ALONG THE BACK OF THE
12:15:23 CURB AND APPLY THAT TO THE PATHWAYS FURTHER OUT.
12:15:29 SO THAT WOULD BE THE SUBSOIL WORK AS WELL AS THE ASPHALT
12:15:36 SURFACE.

12:15:37 WE'D HAVE TO CHECK THIS OUT WITH THE COUNTY.
12:15:39 WE'D HAVE TO CHECK IT OUT WITH THE CONTRACTOR.
12:15:41 BUT GETTING YOUR APPROVAL TO AT LEAST DO THAT INVESTIGATION
12:15:47 WOULD BE HELPFUL AND THEN WE'LL TRY TO COME BACK TO YOU
12:15:52 PROBABLY IN ANOTHER WORKSHOP SETTING IN A FEW WEEKS TO
12:15:55 DISCUSS THAT THROUGH.
12:15:58 >>Mayor Errington: I THINK THAT'S A GOOD IDEA, THAT IF WE
12:16:01 HAVE A CONSENSUS HERE TODAY TO FIT ALL -- PUT ALL OF THIS
12:16:07 TOGETHER AND LET DAVID COORDINATE GETTING ALL THE IDEAS THAT
12:16:10 HAVE BEEN GENERATED HERE AND COME BACK AND BRING IT BACK IN
12:16:13 ANOTHER WORKSHOP FORMAT, I THINK THAT WOULD BE -- THIS IS A
12:16:17 HUGE PROJECT.
12:16:17 >>Steven Sarkozy: IT IS.
12:16:18 >>Mayor Errington: AND WE WANT TO DO IT RIGHT.
12:16:21 AND I AGREE WITH THAT.
12:16:22 ARE YOU IN CONSENSUS WITH BRINGING IT BACK THEN?
12:16:27 >> SURE.
12:16:27 >>Mayor Errington: LET DAVID TAKE EVERYTHING TOGETHER AND
12:16:31 COMPILE IT.
12:16:32 >>Steven Sarkozy: GREAT.
12:16:33 IT'S A HUGE PROJECT.
12:16:36 >>Jim Boesch: WE ALSO SHOULD KEEP IN MIND THAT THERE'S A
12:16:39 LEVEL, STEVE.
12:16:41 I THINK A POINT THAT EVERYBODY UNDERSTAND THAT THERE IS A
12:16:45 LEVEL ONE WHICH IS REALLY BASIC.

12:16:48 AND WE DIDN'T BUILD ESTERO PARKWAY ON THE LOWEST LEVEL BASIS
12:16:53 OF THE COUNTY.
12:16:54 SO IT'S IMPORTANT TO COMPARE THAT.
12:16:58 WE DID LEVEL THREE OR LEVEL FOUR ESTERO PARKWAY VERSUS LEVEL
12:17:05 ONE.

12:17:06 THE COUNTY IS GOING TO TRY TO COME IN AND GIVE US THE LOWEST
12:17:11 POSSIBLE AMOUNT OF MONEY THEY CAN ON THIS PROJECT.
12:17:13 IT'S IMPORTANT WE HAVE A COMPARISON OF WHAT WE DID ON ESTERO
12:17:22 PARKWAY COMPARED TO WHAT THE COUNTY WOULD HAVE PAID FOR
12:17:28 DOING THE SAME THING.
12:17:29 >>Mayor Errington: THANK YOU.
12:17:30 WE ARE NOW ON OUR LAST ITEM, COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SOFTWARE.
12:17:38 STEVE, AGAIN.
12:17:40 >>Steven Sarkozy: THANK YOU, MAYOR, MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.
12:17:42 SINCE OUR INCORPORATION, WE'VE BEEN UTILIZING SOFTWARE FOR
12:17:48 OUR COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT PROCESS THAT HAS BEEN PROVIDED BY
12:17:52 OUR CONTRACTOR, CALVIN GIORDANO AND ASSOCIATES.
12:18:00 IT'S BEEN BARELY ADEQUATE, TO SAY THE LEAST, AND WE REALLY
12:18:04 NEED TO MOVE TO A MORE MODERN SYSTEM FOR OUR INTERNAL
12:18:08 OPERATIONS.
12:18:09 THIS IS A HUGE PROJECT.
12:18:11 WE WANT TO LET THE COUNCIL KNOW ABOUT THE WORKLOAD THAT IT
12:18:16 REPRESENTS, BUT IT'S REALLY LONG OVERDUE IN TERMS OF US
12:18:22 MODERNIZING OUR BACK-OF-HOUSE OPERATIONS.
12:18:25 THAT DOES HAVE A FRONT FACE TO THE PUBLIC AND HELPS US

12:18:30 IMPROVE OUR DELIVERY OF SERVICES TO THE PUBLIC.
12:18:34 MARY GIBBS IS HERE TO KIND OF WALK US THROUGH THE PROJECT
12:18:37 ITSELF AND JUST LET YOU KNOW OF WHAT'S COMING.
12:18:43 THIS IS BUDGETED, BUT IT'S A HUGE EFFORT FOR THE VILLAGE.
12:18:50 >>Mary Gibbs: I'LL BE QUICK BECAUSE I KNOW EVERYBODY IS
12:18:52 GETTING HUNGRY, INCLUDING ME.
12:18:54 IF YOU REMEMBER A COUPLE OF WEEKS AGO, WE HAD SOMEBODY HERE
12:18:58 FROM THE TAX COLLECTOR'S OFFICE THAT WAS TALKING ABOUT
12:19:00 THINGS THAT THEY WERE DOING TO INCREASE THEIR CUSTOMER
12:19:03 SERVICE, PROVIDING MORE THINGS THAT YOU CAN DO ONLINE SO
12:19:07 THAT YOU DON'T HAVE PEOPLE COMING IN AND OUT WITH COVID.
12:19:11 SO WE NEED TO UPGRADE OUR SOFTWARE SO WE CAN PROVIDE BETTER
12:19:16 CUSTOMER SERVICE AND ALSO HAVE OUR STAFF BECOME MORE
12:19:19 EFFICIENT.
12:19:20 SO WE'VE BEEN LOOKING INTO THIS FOR SOME TIME.
12:19:25 SO WHAT WE WANT TO DO IS EXPLAIN TO YOU A LITTLE BIT ABOUT
12:19:28 WHAT WE'VE DONE FOR THE STAFF RESEARCH, AND WHY WE NEED THE
12:19:31 NEW SOFTWARE, AND THEN TALK ABOUT SOME NEXT STEPS BECAUSE WE
12:19:34 HAVE AN ITEM THAT MAY BE COMING TO COUNCIL IN THE NEXT
12:19:37 COUPLE OF WEEKS, AND THEN AS STEVE MENTIONED, THIS IS A
12:19:40 REALLY COMPLEX PROJECT.
12:19:42 IT'S A LOT OF TECHNOLOGY, A LOT OF DETAIL.
12:19:45 WE NEED SOME SPECIALIZED EXPERTISE, WHICH IS
12:19:53 GOVERNMENT-LIGHT.
12:19:54 WE DON'T HAVE A LOT OF DETAILED TECHNOLOGY KNOWLEDGE.

12:19:56 AGAIN, I ALREADY MENTIONED THIS SOFTWARE WOULD PROVIDE US

12:20:00 BETTER CUSTOMER SERVICE.
12:20:01 IT DOES A LOT OF THINGS THAT NOW WE'RE DOING MANUALLY TOO
12:20:06 MANY THINGS.
12:20:07 IT WILL AUTOMATE THE PROCESS.
12:20:09 WE'VE GOT SOME SOFTWARE NOW.
12:20:12 OUR FINANCIAL AUDITS HAVE CRITICIZED IT A COUPLE OF TIMES IN
12:20:15 THE PAST FEW YEARS SAYING WE NEED TO DO SOMETHING BETTER
12:20:19 BECAUSE WE HAVE A LOT OF ISSUES WITH THE SOFTWARE THAT WE'VE
12:20:22 GOT NOW.
12:20:23 THE SOFTWARE WILL ALLOW ALSO FOR ELECTRONIC REVIEW, WHICH WE
12:20:30 DO A LITTLE BIT NOW, BUT IT'S A LITTLE BIT BAND-AIDED
12:20:32 TOGETHER, SO WE'LL BE ABLE TO DO PLAN REVIEW ELECTRONICALLY
12:20:37 AND HAVE A LOT OF FEATURES THAT WILL BRING US INTO LIKE THE
12:20:41 21st CENTURY.
12:20:42 AGAIN, THIS IS UPGRADING THE SOFTWARE AND INSTALLING NEW
12:20:48 SOFTWARE TYPICALLY TAKES ABOUT A YEAR TO DO.
12:20:51 IT'S BEEN TOLD BY OTHER CITIES THAT WHEN YOU GET READY TO DO
12:20:54 IT, EXPECT A YEAR OF HELL BECAUSE IT'S JUST VERY PAINFUL,
12:20:59 BUT IT REQUIRES A BIG COMMITMENT FROM THE VILLAGE STAFF.
12:21:03 I THINK I SKIPPED OVER THE SLIDE THAT SHOWS WHAT THE TEAM
12:21:05 IS.
12:21:06 BASICALLY THE TEAM IN THE VILLAGE IS EVERYBODY.
12:21:09 EVERYBODY HAS BEEN INVOLVED EXCEPT MAYBE DAVID BECAUSE HE'S
12:21:12 BUSY DOING ALL THE ROAD PROJECTS, BUT WE'VE HAD EVERYBODY

12:21:15 FROM STEVE, KYLE, ME, MY STAFF, TAMMY AND CAROL HAVE, SO
12:21:22 IT'S REALLY A TEAM EFFORT.
12:21:24 IT INVOLVES EVERYBODY.
12:21:26 BUT WE DO NEED SPECIAL EXPERTISE, PARTICULARLY WITH PICKING
12:21:29 A PRODUCT BECAUSE IT'S VERY TECHNICAL AND IT'S VERY COMPLEX.
12:21:32 SO WE'VE DONE SOME PRELIMINARY RESEARCH OVER THE PAST YEAR.
12:21:38 WE'VE LOOKED AT THE SOFTWARE THAT OTHER CITIES AND COUNTIES
12:21:42 USE.
12:21:42 AND WE HAVE ISSUED A REQUEST FOR INFORMATION FROM DIFFERENT
12:21:47 COMPANIES THAT PROVIDE THE SOFTWARE.
12:21:48 WE GOT 13 RESPONSES.
12:21:50 WE ALSO ISSUED A REQUEST FOR INFORMATION TO SEE IF WE CAN
12:21:54 FIND A CONSULTANT THAT HAS TECHNICAL EXPERTISE TO HELP US
12:21:59 LOOK AT THE SOFTWARE AND LOOK AT THE CONTRACT AND HELP US A
12:22:03 LITTLE BIT WITH THE IMPLEMENTATION.
12:22:04 WE GOT EIGHT RESPONSES TO THAT.
12:22:06 SO WHAT WE'VE DONE IS WE SHORT LISTED THREE SOFTWARE
12:22:17 PROVIDERS, AND WE HAVE SCHEDULED DEMONSTRATIONS.
12:22:20 THEY DO IT ONLINE, AND THEY SHOW US HOW THE PRODUCT WORKS.
12:22:23 WE ACTUALLY STARTED GOING TO LOOK AT WHAT SOME OTHER PLACES
12:22:28 USE.
12:22:28 WE TALKED TO THE STAFF THAT WORKS THERE.
12:22:30 WE'VE BEEN TO CITY OF NAPLES.

12:22:33 THEY'VE GOT THE SAME SOFTWARE THAT COLLIER COUNTY USES.
12:22:36 WE'RE LOOKING AT SOFTWARE THAT CHARLOTTE COUNTY USES.

12:22:39 AND MARCO ISLAND.
12:22:40 WE TALKED TO THEM THE OTHER DAY TO SEE HOW THEIR PROJECTS
12:22:44 WORK.
12:22:45 SO WE GET A SOFTWARE DEMO, BUT WE ALSO LIKE TO TALK TO THE
12:22:48 PEOPLE THAT ACTUALLY, THE STAFF THAT USES IT AND THE
12:22:51 CUSTOMERS TO SEE HOW THE CUSTOMERS LIKE IT.
12:22:52 SO WHAT WE ARE LOOKING AT IN TERMS OF CONSULTANT ASSISTANCE
12:23:02 IS WE NEED SOMEBODY THAT WILL HELP PROVIDE US THE TECHNICAL
12:23:07 ASSISTANCE TO TRANSLATE ALL THE TECHNICAL JARGON AND MAKE
12:23:10 SURE THAT WE ARE PICKING A SOFTWARE THAT'S GOING TO WORK FOR
12:23:15 US.
12:23:16 WE ARE GOING TO SUGGEST THAT PROVION COMPANY.
12:23:21 MIKE SKWEIR IS THE ONE WE HAVE SHORT LISTED.
12:23:26 WE THINK HE CAN PROVIDE THE BEST SERVICE.
12:23:28 HE HAS BEEN DOING THIS 20 YEARS AND HAS ASSISTED OTHER
12:23:30 COUNTY GOVERNMENTS WITH THIS.
12:23:31 WE'LL BE COMING BACK TO THE COUNCIL WITH SOMETHING TO LOOK
12:23:34 AT IN A COUPLE OF WEEKS FOR THAT.
12:23:37 AGAIN, WHAT'S NEXT, WE WANT TO ASK THE COUNCIL APPROVAL FOR
12:23:42 A SOFTWARE CONSULTANT CONTRACT, AND THAT WILL PROBABLY BE IN
12:23:45 TWO WEEKS OR SO.
12:23:47 THEN WE'RE GOING TO LOOK AT ALL THE FEEDBACK WE'VE GOTTEN
12:23:50 FROM THE DIFFERENT DEMONSTRATIONS AND THE SITE VISITS, AND
12:23:53 TALKING TO OUR CUSTOMERS, AND THEN WE WILL PICK A SOFTWARE
12:23:58 PACKAGE THAT WE THINK WILL BE THE BEST TO SERVE US.

12:24:01 AND WE'LL HAVE TO COME BACK TO COUNCIL APPROVAL WITH A
12:24:04 CONTRACT FOR THAT.
12:24:05 WE DO HAVE IT BUDGETED ALREADY.
12:24:07 WE'VE HAD IT BUDGETED FOR A FEW YEARS BECAUSE WE KNEW THAT
12:24:10 OUR EXISTING SOFTWARE WAS KIND OF HANGING ON BY A THREAD.
12:24:16 IT IS BUDGETED BUT WE STILL WILL BE COMING BACK TO THE
12:24:18 COUNCIL BEFORE WE PROCEED WITH ANYTHING ELSE.
12:24:21 WE JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE YOU ARE AWARE OF WHAT WE'VE BEEN
12:24:25 DOING AND WHAT THE NEXT STEPS WOULD BE.
12:24:27 THAT'S IT.
12:24:33 >>Mayor Errington: JON.
12:24:35 >>Vice-Mayor McLain: YOU'RE LOOKING FOR -- CONSULTANT.
12:24:39 DID HE USE A REQUEST FOR SUBMISSION OR WHATEVER FOR A
12:24:43 CONSULTANT?
12:24:44 HOW DID YOU FIND THE CONSULTANT?
12:24:45 >>Mary Gibbs: IT'S CALLED A REQUEST FOR INFORMATION.
12:24:48 SO IT'S NOT A BID, BUT IT'S BASICALLY WE LIST ALL THE
12:24:51 QUESTIONS.
12:24:53 IT'S IN YOUR PACKET, PROBABLY IN THE BACK OF YOUR BOOKLET

12:24:56 TODAY.
12:24:56 AND IT LISTS ALL THE QUESTIONS THAT WE ASKED THEM TO PROVIDE
12:24:59 TO US.
12:25:00 WE DID TWO REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION.
12:25:03 ONE FOR THE SOFTWARE COMPANIES THEMSELVES AND ONE FOR THE
12:25:05 CONSULTANT.

12:25:06 AND WE HAD A LOT OF QUESTIONS.
12:25:08 OUR PROCUREMENT MANAGER, BOB FRANCESCHINI, HELPED PUT THAT
12:25:22 TOGETHER FOR US.
12:25:22 >>Vice-Mayor McLain: SO YOU WENT THROUGH THE NORMAL
12:25:22 PROCESS TO COME UP HERE WITH A RECOMMENDED PERSON.
12:25:22 >>Mary Gibbs: YES, YES.
12:25:24 >> HOW MUCH?
12:25:24 >>Mary Gibbs: WELL, THE SOFTWARE WE BUDGETED I THINK AT
12:25:25 ABOUT \$250,000.
12:25:27 I DON'T HAVE A PRICE ON A CONTRACT YET FOR THE TECHNICAL
12:25:31 ASSISTANCE FROM THE CONSULTANT, BUT IT'S GOING TO BE
12:25:36 EXPENSIVE.
12:25:37 NOW, THE SOFTWARE COST, THE 200,000, I THINK IT WAS ABOUT
12:25:43 150, AND THEN THERE'S AN ANNUAL MAINTENANCE FEE.
12:25:47 AND IT DEPENDS ON HOW MANY USERS YOU HAVE THAT USE IT.
12:25:49 THAT'S THE WAY ALL THESE COMPANIES ARE SET UP.
12:25:51 SO IT'S NOT GOING TO BE CHEAP, BUT IT'S REALLY NEEDED.
12:25:58 >> HALF A MILLION?
12:25:59 >>Mary Gibbs: WELL, IF YOU INCLUDE THE CONSULTANT AND THE
12:26:02 SOFTWARE, THAT COULD BE A BALLPARK.
12:26:06 >>Mayor Errington: ANYONE ELSE?
12:26:07 JIM.
12:26:10 >>Jim Ward: I HAD A PRIOR DISCUSSION WITH STEVE ON THIS
12:26:13 ISSUE.
12:26:13 I'VE BEEN DOWN THIS ROAD MANY MORE TIMES THAN I CARE TO

12:26:17 REMEMBER DOING SOFTWARE IMPLEMENTATIONS, AND IF THERE'S ANY
12:26:21 PLACE WHERE MURPHY'S LAW WILL STEP UP, IT'S IN A SOFTWARE
12:26:25 PROJECT.
12:26:26 BUT ONE OF THE KEY THINGS THAT I'VE LEARNED IS TO FIND OUT
12:26:29 IF THEY'VE HAD USER GROUPS IN THE AREA THAT CURRENTLY USE
12:26:33 THE PRODUCT AND HAVE THE ABILITY TO MEET ON A PERIODIC BASIS
12:26:38 TO IDENTIFY PROBLEMS, IDENTIFY ISSUES, AND BRING THEM BACK
12:26:41 TO THE SOFTWARE DEVELOPER.
12:26:43 THAT'S REALLY KEY.
12:26:44 AND IT ALSO CAN GIVE YOU SOME INSIGHT INTO SOME OF THE
12:26:48 ISSUES THAT THEY CURRENTLY HAVE WITH THE PROJECT OR WITH THE
12:26:54 SOFTWARE.
12:26:56 AND THEN THE LAST THING IS MAKE SURE THAT THERE ARE UPGRADES
12:26:59 THAT ARE AVAILABLE EACH YEAR AND TYPICALLY ARE INCLUDED IN
12:27:03 THE ANNUAL MAINTENANCE FEE.

12:27:04 >>Mary Gibbs: RIGHT.
12:27:06 AND THE USER GROUPS IS A GOOD POINT.
12:27:08 ALL THE BIG SOFTWARE COMPANIES DO HAVE USER GROUPS BECAUSE
12:27:12 EVERYBODY THAT WE'VE TALKED TO -- AND WHEN I WAS AT THE
12:27:15 COUNTY, WE DID AN IMPLEMENTATION OF TIDEMARK SOFTWARE.
12:27:18 SO THEY HAD THE USER GROUPS AND EVERYBODY BANDED TOGETHER
12:27:22 AND ASKED THE QUESTIONS.
12:27:23 AND THEN IF THERE WERE THINGS THAT WERE PROBLEMS, THEN THE
12:27:25 COMPANY WOULD SEE THAT THE USER GROUP SUGGESTED THEY NEEDED
12:27:29 TO FIX THINGS AND THEN THEY INCLUDE THEM IN THE UPGRADES.

12:27:32 THEN THE UPGRADES ARE INCLUDED WITH YOUR ANNUAL FEE.
12:27:35 JUST ONE THING ABOUT THE PRICE, TOO, THAT I WANTED TO
12:27:40 MENTION IS THAT WE HAVE SEPARATE SUBFUNDS FOR OUR BUILDING
12:27:45 FEES.
12:27:45 BECAUSE OF THE STATE LAW, WE HAVE TO KEEP THE BUILDING FEES
12:27:49 SEGREGATED FROM THE REST OF THE BUDGET BECAUSE YOU CAN ONLY
12:27:52 USE THEM FOR BUILDING-RELATED ITEMS.
12:27:54 SO WHAT WE'VE DONE IS WE'VE KEPT THOSE FEES SEPARATELY, AND
12:28:00 WE HAVE SAVED UP THE REVENUES SO THAT WHEN YOU GET A SURPLUS
12:28:03 REVENUE, WE CAN USE THE SURPLUS REVENUES TO PAY FOR THE
12:28:07 SOFTWARE.

12:28:12 >>Jim Boesch: THINK ABOUT SOME OF THE BASICS.
12:28:18 HAVE THEY EVER DONE A MUNICIPALITY BEFORE?

12:28:22 >>Mary Gibbs: OH, YEAH.

12:28:23 THAT IS THE FIRST QUESTION YOU ASK IS LIKE WHERE HAVE YOU
12:28:26 DONE YOUR BUSINESS?

12:28:27 IF THEY WEREN'T IN FLORIDA, WE WERE LIKE, HMM, SCRATCH THEM
12:28:30 OFF THE LIST.

12:28:31 WE WANT PEOPLE WHO HAVE DONE IT LOCALLY.

12:28:34 >>Mayor Errington: MARY, I HAVE A QUESTION ABOUT THE
12:28:37 CONSULTANT.

12:28:38 SAID THAT THE CONSULTANT IS NEEDED TO PROVIDE TECHNICAL I.T.
12:28:44 ASSISTANCE FOR THIS PROJECT.

12:28:45 WE REALLY NEED CYBERSECURITY, AND YOU NEED TO HAVE SOMEBODY
12:28:49 WHO KNOWS -- I.T. IS DEFINITELY NOT THAT QUALIFICATION.

12:28:54 I MEAN, THAT'S ON ANOTHER SIDE OF THE REALM OF DOING THE
12:28:57 WORK FOR THE VILLAGE.

12:28:59 BUT WE NEED A CYBERSECURITY SPECIALIST OR PROFESSIONAL.

12:29:04 I WANT TO MAKE SURE IN THE CREDENTIALS OF THE PROGRAM
12:29:08 MANAGER, HAS HE LISTED ANYTHING ABOUT DOING ANYTHING IN
12:29:11 CYBERSECURITY?

12:29:13 THIS IS EXTREMELY IMPORTANT WITH TODAY'S ACTIVITIES THAT'S
12:29:17 GOING ON IN MUNICIPALITIES AND BUSINESSES WITH THINGS THAT
12:29:22 ARE OCCURRING WITH SECURITY BREACHES.

12:29:25 >>Mary Gibbs: I DO KNOW -- I THINK WE TALKED ABOUT IT IN
12:29:28 GENERAL TERMS.

12:29:29 WE HAD A FEW CONVERSATIONS.
12:29:30 WE'LL MAKE SURE WHEN WE COME BACK WITH THE CONTRACT THAT
12:29:33 HE'S AVAILABLE TO ANSWER THAT OR WE FIND OUT BEFORE THEN.
12:29:36 BECAUSE WE LOOKED INTO ALL THIS BECAUSE THESE NEW SOFTWARES
12:29:41 ARE NOW CLOUD-BASED VERSUS GETTING THEM ON YOUR OWN SERVER.
12:29:46 I KNOW KYLE HAS BEEN DOING A LOT OF THAT BACKGROUND WORK
12:29:50 TRYING TO FIGURE OUT HOW WE CAN MOVE THE THINGS TO THE CLOUD
12:29:52 BUT ALSO LOOKING AT MAKING SURE WE DON'T GET HACKED.
12:29:55 >>Mayor Errington: I CAN'T EMPHASIZE ENOUGH HOW IMPORTANT
12:29:57 THAT IS.
12:29:58 AND IF HE HAS 20 YEARS' EXPERIENCE, WELL, I HOPE HE'S
12:30:02 PROGRESSED OVER 20 YEARS AND HE'S UP TO DATE NOW.
12:30:05 SO HE'S NOT LIVING BACK IN THE 20 YEARS WHEN HE FIRST
12:30:08 STARTED.

12:30:09 THAT IS SO IMPORTANT FOR US TO PROTECT WHAT WE HAVE HERE,
12:30:12 ALL THE DOCUMENTS AND EVERYTHING, FINANCIALLY AND IN THE
12:30:16 SECURITY OF THE EMPLOYEES AND ALL OF THAT.
12:30:19 THIS WOULD BE THE TIME TO REALLY EMPHASIZE CYBERSECURITY
12:30:24 WITH THIS PROJECT.
12:30:26 AND I HOPE YOU CAN FIND SOMEBODY.
12:30:29 AND IF HE'S THE PERSON, I WOULD LIKE TO SEE IT.
12:30:32 I'D LIKE TO SEE THE BACKGROUND.
12:30:34 I'D LIKE TO SEE IT WHEN WE COME BACK, WHAT HIS CREDENTIALS
12:30:37 ARE.
12:30:37 >>Mary Gibbs: SURE.
12:30:38 >>Mayor Errington: AND HOW HE'S WORKED WITH -- WORKING WITH
12:30:40 ANOTHER MUNICIPALITY MAY NOT BE THE BEST CHOICE -- I MEAN,
12:30:44 SOMETIMES -- I MEAN, SOMETIMES MUNICIPALITIES AREN'T UP TO
12:30:47 DATE ON EVERYTHING.
12:30:48 SO I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT HE'S DOING THE RIGHT THING.
12:30:52 OKAY.
12:30:52 ANY OTHER COMMENTS?
12:30:56 OKAY.
12:30:59 DO WE HAVE -- ARE YOU STILL CONTINUING?
12:31:02 >>Mary Gibbs: I'M TRYING TO CLOSE IT DOWN.
12:31:04 >>Mayor Errington: SORRY.
12:31:10 >>Mary Gibbs: EXCEPT I CAN'T.
12:31:14 >>Mayor Errington: IS THAT IT?
12:31:16 >>Steven Sarkozy: THAT'S IT.

12:31:16 >>Mayor Errington: I NEED A MOTION TO ADJOURN.
12:31:18 SO MOVED.
12:31:19 COUNCIL MEMBER FIESEL --
12:31:25 [AUDIO CUT OFF]
12:31:27 [ADJOURNED]

DISCLAIMER:

THIS FILE REPRESENTS AN UNEDITED VERSION OF REALTIME
CAPTIONING WHICH SHOULD NEITHER BE RELIED UPON FOR COMPLETE
ACCURACY NOR USED AS A VERBATIM TRANSCRIPT.
ANY PERSON WHO NEEDS A VERBATIM TRANSCRIPT OF THE
PROCEEDINGS MAY NEED TO HIRE A COURT REPORTER.